




A Canadian Patriot Review Special Report

Breaking Free of Anti-China Psyops 2



Know your Enemy

By Matthew Ehret and Cynthia Chung



Breaking Free of Anti-China Psyops Volume 2

Know your Enemy

By Matthew Ehret and Cynthia Chung

Breaking Free of Anti-China Psyops
Canadian Patriot Review Special Report © 2023 by Matthew Ehret and Cynthia Chung. All Rights Reserved.

All rights reserved. Many of the chapters within this book were adapted from articles featured on the Strategic Culture Foundation and The Cradle published between 2019-2022

Matthew Ehret
Cynthia Chung
www.canadianpatriot.org

Printed in the USA

First Printing: 2023
Canadian Patriot Press

ISBN- 9798853704442

Cover Design: Jonathan Ludwig

Table of Contents

Introduction

Cutting Through The Noise: The Synthetic Creation of a Chinese Bogeyman

By Matthew Ehret

P.5

SECTION 1: OPEN VS CLOSED SYSTEMS

Chapter 1

Bandung and the 5 Principles of Peaceful Coexistence

By Cynthia Chung

P.8

Chapter 2

The Clash of Two Opposing Green Destinies

By Matthew Ehret

P.22

SECTION 2: GEOPOLITICAL REALITIES TODAY

Chapter 3

Will U.S. 'Interests' Become Sacrificed on Altar of New Indo-Pacific Strategy?

By Matthew Ehret

P.36

Chapter 4

Semiconductor Wars and the Failure of US Strategic Thinking

By Cynthia Chung

P.39

Chapter 5

Is Japan Willing to Cut Its Own Throat in Sacrifice to the U.S. Pivot to Asia?

By Cynthia Chung

P.53

Chapter 6

Why Shinzo Abe Was Assassinated: Towards a 'United States of Europe' and a League of Nations

By Cynthia Chung

P.62

SECTION 3: KNOW YOUR ENEMY

Chapter 7

The Anglo-American Origins of Color Revolutions & NED

By Matthew Ehret

P.74

Chapter 8

How the Trilateral Commission Drove a Bankers' Coup Across America

By Matthew Ehret

P.79

Chapter 9

HSBC Never Left the Dope Trade nor the Crown

By Cynthia Chung

P.83

Chapter 10

The Project for a New American Century and the Age of Bioweapons: 20 Years of Psychological Terror

By Matthew Ehret

P.97

Chapter 11

CSIS and the Round Table Origins of the Five Eyes

By Matthew Ehret

P.101

Chapter 12

A Brief History of Domestic Terrorism: From Cointel Pro to 9/11 and Beyond

By Matthew Ehret

P.105

Chapter 13

Xi and Putin Stand Up for Humanity at Davos: Closed vs Open System Ideologies Clash Again

By Matthew Ehret

P.111

Epilogue

Communism, Capitalism and Feudalism: How Nominalism Makes us Fools

By Matthew Ehret

P.117



INTRODUCTION - Cutting Through The Noise The Synthetic Creation of a Chinese Bogeyman By Matthew Ehret

Citizens of the Trans Atlantic are living through something that few ever imagined they would have to face in their lifetimes.

Not only is the vast trans-Atlantic system now in the fatal stage of a meltdown that will sweep across the globe wrecking terror and havoc if no leadership can arise in time to address it, but conflicting ideological systems are struggling over what the principle character of the new order will be and whom it will serve.

Will the coming new system service an oligarchical elite at the expenses of the majority of human civilization under a new feudal order of degrowth and war?

Or will the new system serve sovereign nation states and the needs of the people under a system of win-win cooperation, large scale development initiatives and leaping beyond the limits to growth?

Unfortunately for many citizens trapped within those regions of the globe that are directed by NATO, and Anglo-American finance, the clarity of this fight has been obscured by mountainous misinformation in both mainstream and alternative media reporting.

Myth-makers and narrative re-framers affiliated with Anglo-American intelligence organizations have deployed a wide array of misinformation into the zeitgeist in order to make the good appear evil and the evil appear good. The simple goal of these efforts are to convince those citizens who would normally organize towards solutions in the face of a raging fire that the water is their greatest enemy.

Such is the case we now see with the portrayal of those nations of Eurasia as the evil bogeymen whom the intrepid Five Eyes Intelligence agencies teaches citizens to fear on a daily basis.

These Eurasian nations whom we have been taught to fear have successfully waged war against vast deep state operations embedded within their governing class over the course of the past two decades, while maneuvering to establish a coalition of nations capable of doing battle with the centralized evil of Anglo-American private finance and its associated power over the military industrial complex that has lit the world on fire over the course of eight decades of forever wars.

Yet for so many people trapped within the NATO-sphere of influence, this fight cannot be seen.

The role that China has played in stabilizing the Middle East, doing battle with George Soros, pulling nearly a billion people out of poverty, and increasing standards of life for all who have participated in the Belt and Road Initiative is invisible to minds that have been soaked in anti-China propaganda.

For most consumers of western media, the Belt and Road Initiative is associated with imperialism, and China is associated with the “China virus” that was released to destroy the free and civilized western order.

China is treated much as Russia had been during the years of Russiagate (proven definitively to have always been a lie through the publication of the Durham Report in May 2023).

Without a shred of evidence, westerners have been told by their “patriotic” intelligence agencies that China has interfered in elections, maintained “secret police stations”, attacked us with military balloons, directed honey pots to subvert western politicians, and carried out cultural subversion through Beijing’s Confucius Institutes and Tik Tok.

In those rare cases where a source is actually cited justifying an anti-China accusation, consumers of media rarely take the time to verify the nature the source.

The Strategic Importance of this Special Report

Within the following report, Cynthia Chung and I have done our best to paint a thorough picture of the dynamics shaping our world and the sources telling those lies about China which we are fed on a daily basis. Our intention in crafting this report is to give readers the tools they need to break free of the anti-China narratives that have been deployed to revive a new Cold War using the same stories that worked to keep us malleable during the worst days of J. Edgar Hoover’s management of the USA.

Our aim is not to disprove every single false claim about China, since accusations are literally created out of thin air with new stories generated every few days.

Our goal in this present report is not to engage in cutting heads off of a hydra, but rather to address *the common theme* underlying all of these stories by helping the reader understand CONTEXT that are always left out of mainstream media brainwashing.

It is through this exploration of *context* that the nuance needed to discern fact from fiction is gained, and it is through context that the mind will always be able to escape the trappings of spell casters among our CIA-run media outlets that seek to manipulate our thoughts and feelings.

Thus we have found it expedient to follow up our first edition of Breaking Free of Anti-China Psyops with this second special report that will help you better understand the global and historic dynamics underlying the corruption that has taken over so much of the world during the post-WWII years.

We will showcase the real belligerent players in the Asia Pacific and Europe seeking to establish a global military regime even at the risk of lighting the world on nuclear fire, and we will see who is the principal culprit behind the growth of international terrorism, biowarfare and regime change operations (and no it isn’t China).


We will outline the clash of two opposing green paradigms, with one outlook driven by an intention to green deserts and improve life of both the biosphere and humanity while the other is driven by an intention to protect deserts while reducing human population drastically.

We will also showcase the true nature of “the microchip wars” and the real reasons why Japan’s former Prime Minister Shinzo Abe was assassinated, and we will review the growth of NATO from a Cold War Atlanticist operation towards an increasing global military order pressing on China’s backyard.

Perhaps most importantly of all will be the final section of this report that will introduce the actual power structures behind those intelligence agencies and organizations that were created during the Cold War with the intention of undermining the viability of sovereign nation states across the trans Atlantic zone of influence.

SECTION 1

THE HISTORIC CLASH OF OPEN VS CLOSED SYSTEMS



Chapter 1

Bandung and the 5 Principles of Peaceful Coexistence

By Cynthia Chung

“Neither the sure prevention of war, nor the continuous rise of world organisation will be gained without ... a special relationship between the British Commonwealth and Empire and the United States...not only the growing friendship and mutual understanding between our two vast but kindred systems of society, but the continuance of the intimate relationship between our military advisers”.

– Winston Churchill, ‘Iron Curtain Speech’, 1946

This will sound like an outrageous statement to many. How could the British have reconquered the United States?! They never won any war against her and by the 20th century had always fought alongside the United States as a trusted ally. And isn’t it rather the opposite, doesn’t the United States dictate British foreign policy at this point?

It is true, Britain never won a war against the United States, and it was understood by the mid-19th century that the British would never succeed in conquering the United States externally. Rather, if they were to be successful, she would need to be conquered *from within*, to which this paper is dedicated to telling the story of.

Two Opposing Systems

During the American Civil War, British support for the Confederate army had reached a point where Britain would have certainly militarily intervened on their behalf if it had not been for Tsar Alexander II deploying the Russian navy on both eastern and western coasts of America for seven months in support

of Lincoln’s Union. Russia was prepared to go to war with Britain in order to keep the United States whole.


Tsar Alexander II explained in an interview with pro-industry American banker Wharton Barker on Aug. 17, 1879 why he thought it important that Russia should take such a strong stance in America’s Civil War (published in *The Independent* March 24, 1904):

“...All this I did because of love for my own dear Russia, rather than for love of the American Republic. I acted thus because I understood that Russia would have a more serious task to perform if the American Republic, with advanced industrial development were broken up and Great Britain should be left in control of most branches of modern industrial development.”

In other words, Tsar Alexander II understood that the United States had created the only economic system (known as “The American System”) that was capable of competing and defeating Britain’s slave-based economic policy of free trade.

In stark contrast to this sentiment, Lord Robert Cecil, who later became Marquess of Salisbury, a very prominent position in British peerage and who served three times as Prime Minister of Britain, had this to say in Parliament during the American Civil War:

The Cotton Cycle and Slavery -



British Cotton Trade about 1850

“The Northern States of America never can be sure friends because we are rivals, rivals politically, rivals commercially...With the Southern States, the case is entirely reversed. The population are an agricultural people. They furnish the raw material of our industry, and they consume the products which we manufacture from it. With them, every interest must lead us to cultivate friendly relations, and when the war began they at once recurred to England as their natural ally.”

In other words, the cotton plantations that ran on slave labour in the American South, were in service of the British Empire’s global cotton trade which also ran on slave labour from India and conducted terrible exploitation of its British cotton workers.

The American Civil War was about two different economic systems in opposition to each other, and it was the South that was the “natural ally” to the British Empire.

However, the Union won the Civil War and the United States managed to keep itself whole. The South had to

end its slave labour and the United States managed to push back on Britain’s slave-based economic policy¹.

In fact, many leaders throughout the world, during this period were pushing back on Britain’s slave-based economic policy of free trade enforced by the British East-India Company. With leading opposition coming out of Germany, Russia, Japan and China, in addition to the United States².

In 1879, Otto von Bismarck broke Germany’s free trade system implementing an American style tariff policy for his nation. The kinship between Germany and the United States became so strong at this time that Otto von Bismarck’s speech in the parliament (1879) was quoted by McKinley on the floor in US Congress:

¹ For more on this story refer to: [What it Means to be an American Citizen this Fourth of July](#) by Cynthia Chung, Rising Tide Foundation, July 2, 2022

² [Why Russia Saved the United States](#), by Cynthia Chung, Strategic Culture Foundation, Dec 25, 2020



"A success of the United States in material development is the most illustrious of modern time. The American nation has not only successfully born and suppressed the most gigantic and expensive war of all history, but immediately afterward disbanded its army, found employment for all its soldiers and marines, paid off most of its debt, given labour and homes to all the unemployed in Europe as fast as they could arrive within its territory and still by a system of taxation so indirect as not to be perceived, much less felt... Because it is my deliberate judgement that the prosperity of America is mainly due to its protective laws, I urge that Germany has now reached that point, where it is necessary to imitate the tariff system of the United States."

Otto von Bismarck was heavily organising for the building of the Berlin to Bagdad railway, which after much resistance and delay would only be completed in 1940. If this has been accomplished during Otto von Bismarck's life, the Middle East could have avoided the Sykes Picot carving up³.

In 1869, Japanese modernizers working directly with the Lincoln-Carey strategists ran the Meiji Restoration which industrialized Japan.

In the 1880s and 90s, Lincoln-Carey Philadelphia


industrialists were contracted for huge infrastructure and nation-building projects in China. Hawaiian Christian missionary Frank Damon, having participated in the Carey group's strategies at a very high level, helped instigate, shape, and build the Sun Yat-sen organization that gave birth to modern China.

Sun Yat-sen referred to his admiration of Lincoln's USA as the basis for a new multipolar system saying:

"The world has been greatly benefited by the development of America as an industrial and a commercial Nation. So a developed China with her four hundred millions of population, will be another New World in the economic sense. The nations which will take part in this development will reap immense advantages. Furthermore, international cooperation of this kind cannot but help to strengthen the Brotherhood of Man."

The Three Principles of the People by Sun Yat-sen and The National System of Political Economy by Friedrich List were both modelled off of American System economics.

It was crystal clear that the leadership of the world had embarked upon a common path and was desirous to enter a new age where slavery might truly become a thing of the past; that the individual was from henceforth to be seen as sacrosanct, that after centuries



³ [The 'Clean Break' Doctrine: A Modern-Day Sykes-Picot Waging War and Havoc in the Middle East](#) by Cynthia Chung, Rising Tide Foundation, February 9, 2022

President Abraham Lincoln assassinated April 15, 1865 (4 weeks after second inauguration)

Tsar Alexander II assassinated March 13, 1881

President Garfield assassinated Sept. 19, 1881

Otto von Bismarck ousted March 18, 1890

President of France Sadi Carnot assassinated June 25 1894

Tsar Alexander III most likely poisoned Nov. 1 1894

President McKinley assassinated Sept 14, 1901

Vyacheslav von Plehve Russian Minister of the Interior assassinated July 15, 1904

Grand Duke Sergei Alexandrovich of Russia assassinated Feb. 17, 1905

Russia's Minister of Interior Pyotr Stolypin (Prime Minister from 1906-1911) assassinated Sept 17 1911

Tsar Nicholas II assassinated July 17, 1918

President of China Sun Yat-sen forced to step down after less than one year (Jan 1 to March 10, 1912)

of brutal war the world was finally ready for peace and was willing to uphold liberty and freedom for all.

Was it just a dream?

No, it was not just a dream, although it was just as fleeting. The reason for this is found in the list of names below.

This list is by no means complete, there are many more names that were assassinated or ousted from their positions in this purge of "American System" supporters.

Yet, not even this ongoing purge was seen as enough to guarantee that nothing again would rise in opposition to the system of empire. It was for this reason that the world was dragged into two world wars...

Liberty for All?

"Two systems are before the world; the one looks to increasing the proportion of persons and of capital engaged in trade and transportation, and therefore to diminishing the proportion engaged in producing commodities with which to trade, with necessarily diminished return to the labor of all; while the other looks to increasing the proportion engaged in the work of production, and diminishing that engaged in

trade and transportation, with increased return to all, giving to the laborer good wages, and to the owner of capital good profits... One looks to pauperism, ignorance, depopulation, and barbarism; the other in increasing wealth, comfort, intelligence, combination of action, and civilization. One looks towards universal war; the other towards universal peace. One is the English system; the other we may be proud to call the American system, for it is the only one ever devised the tendency of which was that of elevating while equalizing the condition of man throughout the world."

– Henry C. Carey, *Harmony of Interests*, 1851

These words written by Henry C. Carey at one time were understood by many to be the inherent difference between the English vs American economic system. However, today, we have forgotten much about what constitutes the American system.

Romans too, were once faced with a similar existential circumstance.

Junius Brutus, known as "the man who saved Rome", ended the tyranny of King Superbus' rule during Rome's Age of Kingdoms. It was Junius Brutus' actions that brought about the formation of the Roman Republic, and it was he who had the Roman people

vow that never again would they accept the arbitrary rule of a king.

However, over the course of nearly five hundred years, the Roman people increasingly forgot this important lesson and what it meant to be a Roman citizen. In 49 BCE, Julius Caesar crossed the Rubicon leading to civil war and the Roman people offered him a crown for it.

The Roman people had forgotten what it meant to be a Roman citizen. They had forgotten that a free people who upheld dignity and liberty above all else would never accept to serve under the arbitrary rule of a king, an emperor. And upon forgetting such a thing, they had stripped themselves of any valour they once held. They had become mere subjects, at the mercy of whoever found themselves for that brief moment sitting on the throne⁴.

If we are not careful, such a moment will also visit us...

The world wars were fought to divide the partnerships that were forming against the system of empire. Germany and the United States were to be pitted against one another in both world wars. Japan was also to be pitted against the United States in the second world war. As a result, the economies of Germany and Japan were greatly set back, and their sovereignty was null and void. From post-WWII on, Germany and Japan had no choice but to enforce the will of the newly established Anglo-American Empire.

Russia and China were all that was left. Hence, 76 years of Cold War going strong...

But how did the United States get drawn in by Britain as a consequence of the world wars?

Under the Surrounding Sea of Dictatorship

“Western Europe has only 20 to 30 more years of democracy left in it; after that it will slide, engineless and rudderless, under the surrounding sea of dictatorship, and whether the dictation comes from a

politburo or a junta will not make that much difference.”

– Willy Brandt (German Federal Chancellor, right before he stepped down in 1974)

What Willy Brandt, who Samuel P. Huntington so fondly quotes in both his *“The Crisis of Democracy”* and *“Disaffected Democracies”* leaves out was that this was not only envisioned as an inevitability for Western Europe, but for the United States as well.

Churchill had announced the Iron Curtain on March 5th, 1946, declaring the Soviet Union and China as the next targets in sight. If the United States were to commit to this long-term cold war stand-off, it was understood that it was only a matter of time before they increasingly saw the necessity of empire, of a global dictatorship and abandon their constitutional ideals.

In August 1946, after nuclear bombs were dropped on Hiroshima and Nagasaki, Bertrand Russell (member of the Fabian Society and British grand strategist) wrote *The Bomb and Civilization*, calling for a one world government:

“Either war or civilization must end and if it is to be war that ends, there must be an international authority, with the sole power to make the new bombs...But I fear that all of this is utopian...If America were more imperialistic, there would be another possibility, less utopian and less desirable, but still preferable to obliteration of civilized life. It would be possible for Americans to use their position of superiority to insist upon disarmament not only in Germany and Japan, but everywhere except the United States, or at any rate, in every country not prepared to enter into a close military alliance with the United States...During the next few years, this policy should be enforced. If one or two wars were necessary, they would be brief and would soon end in decisive American victory. In this way, a new League of Nations could be formed under American leadership, and the peace of the world could be securely established. But I fear that respect for

⁴ for more on this story refer to: [How To Conquer Tyranny and Avoid Tragedy: A Lesson on Defeating Systems of Empire](#), Rising Tide Foundation, May 21, 2022

international justice would stop Washington from adopting this 'policy'.”

Just a few months later, in October 1946, Russell writes *On the End of Nation-States* published in the *Bulletin of Atomic Scientists*:

“There is only one way in which great wars can be permanently prevented, and that is the establishment of an international government...There is one other method by which in theory the peace of the world could be secured, and that is the supremacy of one nation or one closely allied group of nations. By this method, Rome secured the peace of the Mediterranean area for several centuries. America at this moment, if it were bellicose and imperialistic could compel the rest of the world to disarm, and establish a worldwide monopoly of American armed forces. But the country has no wish for such enterprises. And in a few years, the opportunity will be gone. In the near future a world war, however terrible, would probably end in American victory without the destruction of civilization in the Western Hemisphere. An American victory would no doubt lead to a world government under the hegemony of the United States, a result which for my part I should welcome with enthusiasm.”

Incredibly, in the year 1946, a little over a year after the end of WWII, Russell was calling for yet another world war, a war that would finish off the last two countries who threatened Anglo-American global hegemony, Russia and China.

The reason why Russell was stating that in a few years the opportunity would be gone was in reference to the Soviet Union and China getting the bomb, to which at that point, the great strategic advantage of the United States with seemingly next to nil consequence, this window of “opportunity” for unilateral colossal destruction would be lost.

It was for this reason that in November 1948, Russell while addressing a gathering at Westminster School, shocked his listeners when he advocated a pre-emptive nuclear strike against the Soviet Union. He argued that a war between the United States and the Soviet Union was inevitable. It would, therefore, be a

humanitarian gesture to get a nuclear war over with quickly and unilaterally.

On the 29th of August, the Soviet Union conducted its first successful weapon test. The so-called window of “opportunity” was lost for the formation of a quick American led global domination.

The Soviets had discovered how to create an atomic bomb several years before the 1953 date forecast by the CIA. This advent was a discouraging one for those who had had similar hopes to that of Russell, however, they understood it not as all hope was lost, but that a much longer game would now have to be played.

The Soviets acquisition of the atomic bomb was used as a justification to form a military industrial complex within the United States.

The Joint Intelligence Committee submitted an estimate of the nature of the nuclear threat from the Soviets. JIC-502, titled “Implications of Soviet Possession of Atomic Weapons” and drafted January 20th 1950 claimed that once the Soviets had 200 atomic bombs, they could launch a surprise attack and defeat the United States.

It was JIC-502 which would be the first to put forward a justification for the preventive first strike concept, supported by a massive military buildup under the pretence of pre-emptive war.

NSC-68 would be drafted the same year,⁵ declaring that the U.S. was in the moral equivalent of war with the Soviet Union and called for a massive military buildup to be completed by 1954 dubbed the “year of maximum danger”, the year JIC-502 claimed the Soviets would achieve military superiority and be able to launch war against the U.S. This proposed military buildup would increase the defense budget from \$10 billion to \$40 billion from 1950-53⁶.

During this same period another security doctrine was drafted, titled “NSC-75: A Report to the NSC by the Executive Secretary on British Military Commitments”. The report concluded that if the British Empire collapsed, and Britain could no longer carry out these deployments, in defending the “free

⁵ [“National Security Council Report, NSC 68, 'United States Objectives and Programs for National Security',”](#) April 14, 1950, History and Public Policy Program Digital Archive, US National Archives.

⁶ As we now know this decision was not based on any actual observations of Soviet capability or intention, for more on this refer to: [In Search of Monsters to Destroy: The Manufacturing of a Cold War](#), Rising Tide Foundation, October 22, 2022

world” against the Soviets, the U.S. would not be able to carry out its current foreign policy, including NSC-68.

It was thus concluded in the report that it would be more cost-effective to aid Britain in saving its Empire!

If you were ever wondering why the CIA was constantly found paired with British Intelligence, starting from its very inception, in a series of coups in countries they had no reason to be in, now you know why.

The U.S. had gone from an explicit mission to end imperialism worldwide under Roosevelt, to actively supporting and upholding British colonies and vassal states under Truman.

Caesar had been handed his crown and the American people had no idea.

A Century of War

As a consequence of this very foolish decision, the United States found itself doing the bidding of the British Empire, and there was a great deal to do after WWII in re-securing British interests.

You see, much of the world had understood WWII to be about the sovereignty of nation-states against the tyranny of fascism and empire. After all, the imperialists and the fascists were often found linked hand in hand, as seen with Edward VIII (though he was not alone in the British Royal family in his views), the Vichy government in France, King of Italy Victor Emmanuel III who appointed Benito Mussolini as Prime Minister in 1922 (who only deposed Mussolini in 1943 when it was clear they were going to lose the war) and Imperial Japan under Emperor Hirohito.

It is for this reason that we saw, before WWII was even over, the imperialists and the fascists in discussion with each other as to what would form the post-war world. It is for this reason that the countries chosen to oversee this post-WWII Grand Strategy would be the U.S., Britain, France, Germany and

Japan, rather than Roosevelt’s choice of the U.S., Russia, Britain and China.

However, countries from all throughout the world started to rise up against their colonial oppressors once WWII was “won.” For they understood that if freedom and sovereignty for all had indeed won, there was no further place for the brutal rule of colonialism. Finally, all countries would have the right for self-determination.

Ho Chi Minh, a Roosevelt ally who had fought against the Japanese fascist imperialists during WWII, signed the Declaration of Independence for a new nation, the Democratic Republic of Vietnam on September 2nd, 1945, stating the following:

“A people who have courageously opposed French domination for more than eighty years, a people who have fought side by side with the Allies against the Fascists during these last years—such a people must be free and independent.”

After a long and horrific battle against the ruthless Japanese fascists, with support during the war from the United States and China, it was the hope of Ho Chi Minh that Vietnam could return to its former days of peace with its new-found independence from colonial rule.

Instead, the French would re-enter into Vietnam to re-secure their colonial asset. When sparks of revolution also started to form in Algeria, the United States agreed to enter Vietnam in support of France’s colonial interests⁷.


There was also another reason.

In the November 3rd, 1965 Draft Memorandum from Secretary of Defense McNamara to President Johnson, it is written under “Courses of Action in Vietnam”⁸:

“The February decision to bomb North Vietnam and the July approval of Phase I deployments make sense only if they are in support of a long-run United States policy to contain Communist China. China – like Germany in 1917, like Germany in the West and Japan in the East in the late 30’s, and like the USSR in 1947

⁷ For more on this refer to: [The U.S. Pivot to Asia: Cold War Lessons From Vietnam for Today](#) by Cynthia Chung, Rising Tide Foundation, Mar. 17, 2022

⁸ [Draft Memorandum](#) From Secretary of Defense McNamara to President Johnson, Washington, November 3, 1965



– looms as a major power threatening to undercut our importance and effectiveness in the world and, more remotely but more menacingly, to organize all of Asia against us...This understanding of a straightforward security threat is interwoven with another perception – namely, that we have our view of the way the US should be moving and of the need for the majority of the rest of the world to be moving in the same direction if we are to achieve our national objective...the role we have inherited and have chosen for ourselves for the future is to extend our influence and power to thwart ideologies that are hostile to these aims and to move the world, as best we can, in the direction we prefer. Our ends cannot be achieved and our leadership role cannot be played if some powerful and virulent nation –whether Germany, Japan, Russia or China – is allowed to organize their part of the world according to a philosophy contrary to ours.”

A philosophy that counters Anglo-American supremacy that is.

Bandung: The Voice of the People

Despite the Americans launching the Korean War from 1950 to 1953, and partaking in a coup alongside British MI6 of Iran's Prime Minister Mosaddegh and derailing Iran's century and a half fight for sovereignty, much of the world still remained hopeful

that the United States had not yet fully succumbed to the siren's call towards empire.

It was for this reason that the Bandung Conference was held, a conference you have likely never heard of, and where more than half of the world's population was represented in their call for the right to self-determination. It was these countries' hope that the United States would be in support of this, for they understood that they were unlikely to succeed in their defiance against systems of empire without American support.

They also understood that the United States' founding principles were for this very thing and it was their hope that Americans would remember their original cause against empire.

The Bandung Conference held in April 1955 was the first large-scale Asian–African Conference in history, its five organisers were Indonesia, India, Sri Lanka (formerly the British colony Ceylon), Myanmar (formerly the British colony Burma), and Pakistan (all fresh out of British and Dutch colonialism). The conference took place over six days and was held in Bandung, West Java, Indonesia. 29 countries participated, representing a total population of 1.5 billion people, 54% of the world's population at the time.



Bandung Conference 1955.

The conference's stated aims were to promote Afro-Asian economic and cultural cooperation and to oppose colonialism or neocolonialism by any nation. The conference was an important step towards the eventual creation of the Non-Aligned Movement, which was as an effort to avoid the polarized world of the Cold War between Communist and Capitalist states. Drawing on the principles agreed at the Bandung Conference in 1955, the Non-Aligned Movement was established in 1961 in Belgrade, Yugoslavia through an initiative of the Indian Prime Minister Jawaharlal Nehru, Ghanaian President Kwame Nkrumah, Indonesian President Sukarno, Egyptian President Gamal Abdel Nasser and Yugoslav President Josip Broz Tito.

All of these men in the picture below were elected leaders of their respective countries who were adherents to The American System, and still had great hope for the United States to return to its roots as an anti-imperialist force in the world.

This was very much what made up the spirit of the Bandung Conference as seen by this statement by Sun Yat-sen, China's first President of the Republic of China, in his book *A True Solution for the Chinese Question* (1904):

"To work out the salvation of China is exclusively the duty of our own but as the problem has recently found a worldwide interest, we, in order to make sure of our success...must appeal to the people of the United States in particular for your sympathy and support, either moral or material, because you are the pioneers of western civilization in Japan: Because we intend to model our government after yours; Above all, because you are the champion of liberty and democracy. We hope to find many Lafayette's among you."

And in his 1919 treatise 'The International Development of China, Sun Yat-sen wrote:

"The world has been greatly benefited by the development of America as an industrial and commercial nation. So a developed China with her

The Sino Indian treaty of 1954 stated in its preamble that the two governments being desirous of promoting trade and cultural intercourse between the Tibet region of China and India, and of facilitating pilgrimage and travel by the peoples of China and India based their agreement on The Five Principles of Co-Existence, also known as Panchsheel.

1. Mutual respect for territorial integrity and sovereignty
2. Mutual non-aggression
3. Mutual non-interference
4. Equality and mutual benefit, and
5. Peaceful co-existence

four hundred millions of population, will be another New World in the economic sense. The nations which will take part in this development will reap immense advantages. Furthermore, international cooperation of this kind cannot but help strengthen the Brotherhood of Man."

Within the opening speech at the Bandung Conference (April 1955), President Sukarno (one of its leading organisers) spoke:

*"Today is a famous anniversary in that battle—against colonialism. On the 18th of April, 1775, just 180 years ago, Paul Revere rode at midnight through the New England countryside, warning of the approach of the British troops and of the opening of the American War of Independence, **the first successful anti-colonialist war in history**. About this midnight ride the poet Longfellow wrote:*

"A cry of defiance and not of fear,

"A voice in the darkness, a knock at the door,

"And a word that shall echo for evermore..."



Premier Zhou Enlai arriving for the Banquet at Rashtrapati Bhavan with Dr. S. Radhakrishnan and Mrs. Indira Gandhi, June 26, 1954



President Dr. Rajendra Prasad, Vice President Dr. S. Radhakrishnan and Prime Minister Jawaharlal Nehru with the Chinese Premier at Rashtrapati Bhavan on June 26, 1954

Yes, it shall echo forevermore. That battle which began 180 years ago is not yet completely won.

...Colonialism has also its modern dress, in the form of economic control, intellectual control, actual physical control by a small but alien community within a nation...It behooves us to take particular care to ensure that the principle which is usually called the 'live and let live principle' – mark, I do not say the principle of laissez-faire, laissez-passer, of Liberalism, which is obsolete – is first of all applied by us most completely within our own Asian and African frontiers."

In April 1954 just before the Geneva Conference on Vietnam, Zhou Enlai, Premier of the People's Republic of China, initiated bilateral agreements with India (under the leadership of Nehru) and with Myanmar (under the leadership of U Nu) which established the Five Principles of Peaceful Co-Existence. This initiative by Zhou, Nehru and U Nu would become a central concept motivating the Spirit of Bandung.

Panchsheel or Five Principles of Coexistence was a new set of principles for the conduct of international

relations that would reflect the aspirations of all nations to co-exist and prosper together in peace and harmony. It was a peaceful offering as an alternative to the war mongering Cold War Doctrine.

In 2005 the Ministry of External Affairs Government of India released a pamphlet for the 50th anniversary of Panchsheel:

“The timeless relevance of Panchsheel is based on its firm roots in the cultural traditions of its originators, two of the world’s most ancient civilisations. The linkage that was established by the spread of Buddhism in China laid the historical basis for the formulation of the principles of Panchsheel by India and China.

On the 50th anniversary of Panchsheel, we can without hesitation say that its relevance, as embodied in the Joint Statement of 1954, shines as brightly today as when it was first conceived. Panchsheel was developed in the context of a post-colonial world where many were seeking an alternative ideology dedicated to peace and development of all.

Fifty years later, the world is now searching for an alternative to the adversarial constructs that dominated the Cold War era. Countries all over the world are focusing on creating extended and mutually supportive arrangements, and attempting to define a new economic, social and political world order in the context of globalisation, non-traditional security threats and the quest for multi-polarisation.

Today, Panchsheel can help the world move away from the traditional concepts of balance of power and competitive security, the consequent searching for an

enemy, and the predicating of activities on conflicts rather than cooperation.

...What should be stressed today is that the principles of Panchsheel are not just empowering principles, they are also guiding principles that enshrine a certain code of behaviour. Their essence is the non-use of power, the approach of tolerance, ‘of living one’s life, learning from others but neither interfering nor being interfered with’, and the obligation to do unto others as you would have them do unto you. It may not be out of place in a world searching for moral certainties to emphasise this message of Panchsheel.”

British Southeast Asia Command (SEAC) – aka Save England’s Asian Colonies!

Before WWII was even over, Churchill in 1943 at the Quebec conference established a British Southeast Asia Command (SEAC) headquartered in Kandy British Ceylon (now Sri Lanka) with Adm. Louis Mountbatten in command.

What this did was divide the Southeast Asia between General MacArthur’s Pacific Command and Mountbatten’s SEAC. The British got Burma (now Myanmar) and Malaya (now Malaysia) their previous colonies, as well as Thailand, Singapore and Sumatra. But they were not satisfied and continued to pressure the United States to allow British priority in “liberating” the entire region.

The U.S. intention in agreeing to the establishment of SEAC was that the British would take a larger role in defeating the Japanese fascists in Burma, thus opening up a southern route for the resupply to China to aid in their fight against the Japanese fascists.

By 1944, however, Mountbatten had successfully sabotaged this effort, such that General Stilwell, Commander of the US forces in China-Burma-India concluded:

“The Limies have now shown their hand. This pusillanimous and double-crossing program amply confirms our suspicions. They are determined to keep China blocked and powerless.”

“Limey” is an American derogatory nickname for a British person. Some of the American military personnel joked that SEAC in truth stood for Save England’s Asian Colonies.



Lord Mountbatten

Winston Churchill

This was not a far stretch of the imagination considering the head of British intelligence operations in China was John Keswick the chairman of Jardine Matheson, a major player in the opium trade that was enforced on China against its will, culminating in China's loss of the two Opium Wars against Britain. Keswick and his special operations were ordered out of China in 1942.

General Wedemeyer, who was a United States Army commander who served in Asia during World War II from October 1943 to the end of the war, recognised one of the major reasons why the CCP and the Kuomintang were unable to form an alliance against the Japanese was due to British sabotage and instigation. The British were playing the same old same old imperial game of flaring up the bad blood that had already developed between the two groups, the age old game of divide and conquer. The CCP did recognise that this would ultimately lead to the balkanisation of China, if the union of China against the Japanese imperialists was not put forward as the number one priority before all else.

General Wedemeyer stated:

*"We Americans interpret US policy as requiring a strong unified China and a China fighting effectively against Japanese. There is considerable evidence that British policy is not in consonance with US policy. The British Ambassador personally suggested to me that a strong unified China would be dangerous to the world and certainly would jeopardize the white man's position immediately in the Far East and ultimately throughout the world."*⁹

The actual target of this British policy was revealed in an article in the London Daily Mail, October 1945:

"anti-British psychology has not been discouraged by our American ally. US propagandists have been working from Lanchow, gateway to Tibet, to the Gobi Desert of Mongolia...A Great plan to dam the Yangtze, known as the 'Yangtze Valley Authority' will be one of the greatest engineering contracts of modern times...Their geologists have plodded the old caravan trails to the fringes of Tibet and the wild western tribal countries."

In other words, the British had already identified the "threat" over fifty years ago of an independent China who had already had her sights on great industrial projects such as the Yangtze dam (now completed as the Three Gorges Dam) and the reconstruction of the old Silk Road (now the center of China's development policy under the name of the Eurasian Land-Bridge). And, as today, there is much pressure for the U.S. not to collaborate with China on such great projects. And, as today, Britain and the U.S. regard the Belt and Road as a threat to western hegemony and have even gone so far as to call the BRI a threat to national security.

Projects like the Mekong River Basin Survey modeled after the Tennessee Valley Authority were set back for decades or were never built to this day, such as the Kra Canal or Thai Canal, which would connect the Gulf of Thailand with the Andaman Sea across the Kra Isthmus in southern Thailand. It is envisaged that such a canal would improve transportation in the region, similar to the Panama Canal and Suez Canal and thus greatly increase the standard of living and development in the surrounding areas.

This exposes the true intention of the Cold War doctrine which continues to this day, that the threat was never really considered communism, but rather the cause of nationalism (to which the communists more often than not supported), since under nationalism, industrialisation is considered fundamental to the liberation of the people. It is the liberation of a people and the creation of sovereign nation states which is considered the real enemy to a system of imperialism, which is the reality of the western framework today.

Such remarks by General Stilwell and General Wedemeyer further showcase how American foreign policy was hijacked by Britain's foreign policy upon the death of President Roosevelt.

Roosevelt died April 12th, 1945. Two week later the first United Nations conference was held (April 25 to June 26, 1945).

At the first United Nations Conference, Lord Cranborne spoke:

⁹ Cited in Maochun Yu, [OSS in China- Prelude to Cold War](#), New Haven, Yale University Press, 1996

“The genuine conviction of the US delegation that the unity and strength of colonial empires (not only the British) is essential to world security... [is] a very healthy development in US opinion.”¹⁰

With Roosevelt’s death the British were able to convince the rather naïve President Truman to transfer post-war responsibility for all of Southeast Asia to the British SEAC, under Mountbatten.

The British (and to a lesser extent the French and the Dutch) at re-conquest of their former colonies, made promises of good intentions to eventually de-colonize, however, once they had established their power militarily, they reneged on their promises. The justification being that these colonies were too weak to defend themselves against the spread of Russian dictated communism.

People such as Sukarno, overnight, went from being criticised as an abider to Japanese fascism to instead being a communist sympathiser. And thus, much of Asia and Africa found themselves in a similarly debased fashion, they were not allowed to be individuals, to be free to create their own fate from something new. They were not free to have their own ideas, their own schools of thought, instead they were treated as children, with no choice but to choose the ideology of one parent or the other. However, this was largely western hypocrisy, as was so clearly seen by the very domineering presence of western imperialism and increasingly clandestine warfare.

The reason why so many nationalist leaders were open to working with the communists within their country was because the communists were also for nationalism and against imperialism, and nothing came remotely close to the level of destruction that was occurring on a military and economic level from western imperialism, which curbed industrial progress in favour of backwardness. To side with western imperialism was equivalent to agreeing to perpetual tyranny through poverty and enslavement.

This is why so many countries continued a strong pro-American sentiment, even after the disaster of the Korean War, because they recognized a choice between western imperialism and Soviet communism

was an artificial construct, and that there existed a very clear third option that of the American System of economics which was opposed to systems of imperialism. However, as we have seen, this pro-American System, pro-Roosevelt sentiment was entirely ignored.

At the Crossroad

Are we committed in handing Caesar the crown? I am not so sure for there remains a great deal of love for liberty and the pursuit of happiness, however, we must remind ourselves that this was never in the cause of liberty for a few but rather liberty for all.

If we delude ourselves into believing in such a thing as liberty for a few, and you make think you are included in such a privileged few, take heed, for tomorrow who is to say what your station shall be?

Liberty for a few, is in reality, Liberty for none. It is the acceptance to be ruled by a Caesar, and be satisfied with the crumbs of freedom and thus to have no freedom at all. If we side with the world’s oppressor, we too will be consumed in the process.

And thus, I will leave off with President Sukarno’s further comments in his opening speech at Bandung. Take heed, for the world is still awaiting, will the United States finally wake from its century of madness and return to its founding principles?

“Great chasms yawn between nations and groups of nations. Our unhappy world is torn and tortured, and the peoples of all countries walk in fear lest, through no fault of their own, the dogs of war are unchained once again...The nations of Asia and Africa cannot, even if they wish to, avoid their part in finding solutions to these problems...We have heavy responsibilities to ourselves, and to the world, and to the yet unborn generations.

‘We are living in a world of fear...Perhaps this fear is a greater danger than the danger itself.’ [quoting President Roosevelt]

... Bear in mind the words of one of Asia’s greatest sons [Sun Yat-sen]: ‘To speak is easy. To act is hard.

¹⁰ Lord Cranborne quote cited in Christopher Thorne, [Allies of a Kind- The US, Britain and the War Against Japan 1941-45](#), New York, Oxford University Press, 1978

To understand is hardest. Once one understands, action is easy.'

...The highest purpose of man is the liberation of man from his bonds of fear, his bonds of human degradation, his bonds of poverty – the liberation of man from the physical, spiritual and intellectual bonds which have for too long stunted the development of humanity's majority. And let us remember, Sisters and Brothers, that for the sake of all that, we Asians and Africans must be united."

– excerpt of President Sukarno's opening speech at the Bandung Conference



Chapter 2 The Clash of Two Opposing Green Destinies By Matthew Ehret

On February 4, 2022, Presidents Xi Jinping and Vladimir Putin unveiled a 5000 word *Joint Declaration on the International Relations Entering a New Era and the Global Sustainable Development*.¹¹

The words “sustainable development” tied to “international relations” have obviously caused more than a few concerned citizens of western nations to gasp in fear that these two Eurasian powers who are apparently in a conflict with the unipolar death cultists managing the NATO cage, are in reality, simply controlled opposition.

The very fact that these Eurasian leaders are saying nice things about the UN, sustainable development and world economic order is enough to prove that they are just as rotten as the creatures navigating the liberal Borg order from their high pedestals at Davos.

In the following chapter, we will explore at some length WHAT EXACTLY China and her allies *are doing in physical reality*.

We will review the physical economic, scientific, cultural and cognitive factors of the past, present and future trajectories of Eurasia as compared with the western states, and we will look for any evidence to support or reject the thesis that China, Russia, India

and their allies are in cahoots with the supranational death cultists managing Davos.

Don’t be surprised if, in the course of this exercise, you discover that there is not one, but two diametrically opposing concepts underlying the term “sustainability” currently at play¹².

The 2009 Sabotage of a Green World Government

Before we begin, it should be recalled that China and India were instrumental in sabotaging the December 2009 COP14 agenda in Copenhagen which had promised to establish legally binding emission target cuts to guide the de-carbonization (and de-industrialization) of much of society. Amidst a supposed pandemic and economic meltdown, puppet leaders like Sarkozy, Merkel and Obama championed a new era of green global governance and promised to consolidate a legally binding treaty to enforce decarbonization onto the nations of the globe. But it didn’t happen.

Why not?

The London Guardian had reported in 2009¹³ that “*Copenhagen was a disaster. That much is agreed. But the truth about what actually happened is*

¹¹ [‘Joint Declaration](#) on the International Relations Entering a New Era and the Global Sustainable Development. by Presidents Xi Jinping & Vladimir Putin Feb 4, 2022

¹² While I am not denying that there exists nefarious influences and deep state fifth columnists within nations like Russia or China, I hope that the case will be made that these

operatives are not the dominant influence over the governments or policy making agendas as they are in our unfortunate western Five Eyes circus.

¹³ [How do I know China wrecked the Copenhagen deal?](#) I was in the room by Mark Lynas, London Guardian, Dec. 20, 2009

in danger of being lost amid the spin and inevitable mutual recriminations. The truth is this: China wrecked the talks, intentionally humiliated Barack Obama, and insisted on an awful 'deal' so western leaders would walk away carrying the blame."

The London Guardian continued:

"To those who would blame Obama and rich countries in general, know this: it was China's representative who insisted that industrialised country targets, previously agreed as an 80% cut by 2050, be taken out of the deal. 'Why can't we even mention our own targets?' demanded a furious Angela Merkel. Australia's prime minister, Kevin Rudd, was annoyed enough to bang his microphone... The Chinese delegate said no, and I watched, aghast, as Merkel threw up her hands in despair and conceded the point... China, backed at times by India, then proceeded to take out all the numbers that mattered. A 2020 peaking year in global emissions, essential to restrain temperatures to 2C°, was removed and replaced by woolly language suggesting that emissions should peak 'as soon as possible'."

Apparently China and India, along with African governments like Sudan (which had not yet been carved up on the careful watch of Rhodes Scholar

Susan Rice¹⁴) did not wish to sacrifice their industry and national sovereignty on the altar of climate change models and technocrats that had only weeks earlier been publicly exposed as frauds by East Anglia University researchers during the embarrassing Climategate scandal.¹⁵


While China and India should be celebrated for having sabotaged this effort (and the subsequent efforts to impose legally binding treaties in 2021 or 2022), very few people have been able to hold this drama in their memory, and fewer still realize how this fight over sovereignty was tied to 1) the right of all nations to develop without engaging in culling their people, 2) supporting the right of sovereign nations to supersede edicts of globalist institutions and 3) a rejection of the New World Order script outlined by the likes of Bush Sr, Kissinger and Biden in 1992¹⁶.

Imposing Limits to Growth onto Humanity: Derailing Nuclear and Space Economics

Under the post-industrial logic of the Trilateral Commission agents that took over the reigns of government in the 1970s [see chapter 8], a full spectrum program was unleashed that shattered the spirit of progress that once animated a vibrant western culture. The creative momentum towards new breakthroughs in nuclear fission and fusion power, space tech and assisting former colonial people in their aspirations for industrial progress was systematically sabotaged as a new logic of scarcity management, population reduction and global governance was made the top priority for all US foreign and domestic policy.

Funding for advanced breakthroughs in space science was destroyed with the cancellation of the Apollo missions in 1973.

Where NASA's 'crash science' methods formerly drove the entire economy towards nonlinear bursts of growth through expanding



¹⁴ [Susan Rice's Sudan disaster](#) By Arthur Herman, New York Post, Jan. 5, 2014

¹⁵ [The Evidence of Climate Fraud](#) By Marc Sheppard, The American Thinker, Nov 21, 2009

¹⁶ Despite paying lip service to the Paris Climate Accords, in 2022 China increased coal production by 9%, natural gas production by 6.4%, electricity generation by 2.2% and power

consumption by 3.6%. While the post-industrial west is gripped by a top down shut down of fertilizer use and financial support to non-green energy systems under 'Green New Deals', China has gone in the opposite direction by increasing agriculture output and farming-associated energy consumption by 10.4% over 2021.

fields of new discoveries touching on every aspect of life¹⁷, the funding collapsed by design from 4% of GDP/year in 1965, to less than 1% by 1975 [see graph].

Just as the limits to growth were imposed onto humankind's quest to become a space-driven species on the macro scale, breakthroughs in atomic energy were also sabotaged with the cancellation of hundreds of new reactor builds in the late 1970s, while research and development into next generation reactors, nuclear propulsion for deep space exploration, fusion power, and closing the nuclear cycle using fast breeder reactors were cancelled under President Carter. Fusion funding was cut so deeply during this time, that scientists were deprived of the means of building prototypes to test their ideas resulting in a deep demoralization and idiotic "truism" that commercial fusion power "would always be 30 years away"¹⁸.

Heavy industry was outsourced under a new logic of "cheap labor" and the formerly economic independent nations of the west became ever more dependent upon


sweat shops, child labor and increasing rates of unpayable debts.

Henry Kissinger pulled every string¹⁹ to ensure that the world would remain addicted to hydrocarbons which became the basis upon which a new era of asymmetric war and economic terrorism under the guise of the petro-dollar would be unleashed.

The only groups to benefit were those 'stakeholders' prancing about the Bilderberger or Davos who wished to transform once viable economies into speculative, hollowed-out time bombs whose detonation decades later would ensure a traumatic shock to the zeitgeist sufficient to usher in a new post-nation state world order.

As we saw in part two of this series, this was the trap which Kissinger, Soros and the Trilateral Commission imposed onto Russia and China, during the 1980s and which China began resisting in earnest in 1989.

Resisting Malthus and Defending Open System Economics



[1] U.S. Energy Research and Development Administration, 1976. "Fusion power by magnetic confinement: Program plan" ERDA report ERDA-76/110. Also published as S.O. Dean (1998), *J. Fus. Energy* 17(4), 263–287, doi:10.1023/A:1021815909065

Now let's begin to see what China and other nations of the Multipolar Alliance are actually doing to reverse this trend towards decay, and artificial scarcity under their own particular re-definition of "sustainability".

Unlike the post-modern basket cases in the west, Eurasian nations are not resting their entire development strategies on windmills and solar panels (although China has become a leader in the development of these things as well).²⁰

Instead what we find are competent programs for hydropower, oil, coal, natural gas, hydrogen power and importantly next generation nuclear power (with pioneering


¹⁷ Just some of the [non-linear effects of the space program](#) which we take for granted include: GPS, cell phones, medical technologies like ECGs, laser eye surgery, artificial limbs, new materials processing, microwave technology, 3D printing, aeroponic agriculture, water purifiers, air purifiers, and the internet (to name a few)

¹⁸ [Who Killed U.S. Nuclear Power?](#) by Marsha Freeman From the Spring 2001 issue of 21st Century Science & Technology

¹⁹ [Saudi Minister Yamani: 'Kissinger Was Behind 1974 Oil Shock'](#), by EIR Special Correspondent
EIR Vol 28, No 4, January 26, 2001

²⁰ Windmill and solar energy are less than useless for supporting heavy industry but are adequate forms of energy for providing basic civilian electrical needs for household appliances. China understands this elementary fact. The "collective west" on the other hand seems to believe that all industries can become dependent on green energy which is scientifically impossible. As demonstrated in [Michael Moore's Planet of the Humans](#), one cannot create windmills or solar panels (which include vast mining requirements) utilizing wind or solar energy making them the very opposite of "renewable".

Number of Operable U.S. Nuclear Plants And Cumulative Shutdowns



Source: EIA, MER, March 2016

IER INSTITUTE FOR
ENERGY RESEARCH

work on Molten Salt thorium as well as fusion power in the works).

The Strategic Role of Nuclear Power

As of December 2021, China became the first nation to complete a fourth-generation high temperature pebble bed gas reactor in Shandong Province with over 93% of the material and all core technology domestically sourced.

While the United States relies on nuclear power for nearly 20% of its electricity base, ALL of this capacity was built up during the 1960s-1970s. Due to the takeover by a neo-Malthusian technocratic class under Presidents Nixon, Ford and Carter, not a single new construction was permitted between 1977 and 2013 as the USA (and Canada) was forced into a nuclear moratorium. The IER graph below showcases the direct effects of the Malthusian takeover and imposition of a system of artificial scarcity onto the formerly pro-industrial growth USA.

James Schlesinger was an important Trilateral Commission ideologue who would jump from the directorship of the CIA (1973) to the Department of Defense (1973-76) to head the Department of Energy (1977-79) where he oversaw the cancellation of nearly all nuclear projects planned for the 1980s. Why this particular individual was selected to carry his assignment can be seen in his 1960 book 'The Political Economy of National Security' where he outlined his concept of economics and the necessary future of the world saying²¹:

"Economics is the science of choice in a world of limited resources.... We have gone around the world spreading the 'gospel of plenty' raising the level of expectations ... [but] in the nature of things, these rising expectations can never be satisfied.... We must in our strategic policy return to the days before the Industrial Revolution ... [and] prepare to fight limited wars."

²¹ [The Political Economy of National Security](#). A study of the economic aspects of the contemporary power struggle. James R. Schlesinger. Praeger, New York, 1960. vii + 292

Schlesinger's Canadian Kindred Spirit: Maurice Strong

In Canada, arch-Malthusian, Rockefeller agent and god-father of the Great Reset Maurice Strong had endorsed a nuclear moratorium while simultaneously acting as head of Petro Canada from 1976-78²².

In a 1990 interview to West Magazine²³, Strong described a fiction book that he wanted to write. This book would take place amidst the meetings of a global elite where a grouping of enlightened elitists conspired to collapse industrial civilization to save nature. Strong said:


“What if a small group of world leaders were to conclude that the principal risk to the Earth comes from the actions of the rich countries? And if the world is to survive, those rich countries would have to sign an agreement reducing their impact on the environment. Will they do it? The group’s conclusion is ‘no’. The rich countries won’t do it. They won’t change. So, in order to save the planet, the group decides: Isn’t the only hope for the planet that the industrialized civilizations collapse? Isn’t it our responsibility to bring that about?”

The 1992 Rio Summit overseen by Maurice Strong had established a new era in the consolidation of NGOs and corporations under the “green” agenda. This doctrine was formalized with Agenda 21 (later renamed Agenda 2030) and the Earth Charter, co-authored by former Soviet Premier Mikhail Gorbachev, and Strong between 1996-2000.

The International Earth Charter drafting Committee was chaired by none-other than transhumanist billionaire Steven Rockefeller. Mikhail Gorbachev had played his role marvellously for his

China overtakes the USA in both GDP and life expectancy terms

Data from World Economics and United Nations



handlers, and under his liberalizing reforms, armadas of George Soros’ Open Society Foundations, and other CIA front groups had a field day taking control of everything that moved in the former Soviet space.²⁴

After the RIO conference, Strong went on to his next assignment heading Canada’s nuclear power sector as the head of Ontario Hydro from 1992-1995 where the aging Malthusian went to war with the entire Canadian nuclear industry using every dirty trick to cancel refurbishments, designs for new constructions and all funding in research and development in favor of “sustainable” energy pathways²⁵.

While some of Strong’s damage was undone after his departure, the wounds were deep and Canada still hasn’t healed to this day, having witnessed a consistent decay of its once-vibrant nuclear industry and total destruction of its fusion energy efforts.

USA vs China Energy Paradigms

In the USA, overall national energy production has not only stagnated but has actually fallen from 26,545 Terrawatt hours (TWh) in 2000 to 25,825 TWh in

²² [Maurice Strong and the Roots of the Great Reset Agenda](#), Canadian Patriot Review, Nov. 25, 2020

²³ [The Wizard of Baca Grande](#), West Magazine, 1990

²⁴ Another paradox which black pillers analysts avoid when advancing their thesis of “Russia and China are a part of the New World Order” is: *Why did the Gorbachev-Yeltsin program of unbounded destruction not continue after 2000?*

Why did so many of those oligarchs who rose to power under the IMF/CIA/Soros 1990s get sent to jail or exiled? And while we’re at it, why were the NED and Soros banned from Russia in 2015?


²⁵ [Today’s Disastrous Climate and Energy Policies – What Not to Do](#), Dr Tim Ball, Watts up with That? January 25, 2017

2021 with an increased slide projected into the coming decades by those same forces who 1) sabotaged America's advanced energy policies over the past decades and 2) wish to induce energy scarcity onto a frightened populous in order to justify a culling of humanity to "sustainable levels".

In contrast, China has used this same 21 year interval very differently, increasing its annual energy use from 12,470 TWh in 2000 to 43,791 TWh in 2021.


The effects upon quality of life, per capita powers of labor, energy security, food production and educational opportunities have increased dramatically. The one child limit (imposed by Kissinger and the Club of Rome 40 years ago) has been abolished and average longevity which was once led by the USA has been overtaken by China which has lifted the average life expectancy from 44 years in 1963 to 77.5 years today stands in stark contrast to the USA whose average life expectancy fell to only 76.1 years of age as of September 2022. China's purchasing power parity has also superseded that of the USA over the past few years [see graph below]


The dramatic increase of a middle class which totalled only 3% of the Chinese population in 2000 to become 54% today are just a few effects of this overall energy



policy. One finds that each one of these factors has intentionally been reduced across the Trans Atlantic West over the course of the same period. If we take the 32 period between China's 1989 expulsion of George Soros to the present, we find a ten-fold increase of incomes and labor productivity on top of a thirteen fold increase of GDP.

While nuclear is costly and takes several years to finish an average 1-4 Gigawatts plant, the fact remains that it is the most efficient form of energy that takes up fractions of land (compared to solar or wind farms), and operates at 90% capacity even when there is no wind or sun. On the other hand, solar and wind farms operate at an average of 25-35% respectively on the windiest or sunniest of days. Despite this embarrassing performance, when the wind doesn't





nations whose leaders have all signed deals with Russia to construct nuclear power.

While the USA is still ahead of China on per capita energy use with an average of 76,634 kilowatt hours used per person each year (compared to China's 30,711 kWh), a very different picture emerges when we shift our focus to look not upon each nation in a crystalized state, but as a process of change. Here a stark contrast is seen when comparing the two nations.

blow, those wind turbines can fall to less than 2% capacity (as they did in the UK recently) resulting in exploding energy price and black outs.

China on the other hand hasn't drunk Green New dealing kool aid, and instead thinks of energy in qualitative terms which is why the Chinese government has made nuclear power a top priority for its coming 15-year-energy-strategy.

On Nov. 2, 2021, Bloomberg reported that *"China is planning at least 150 new reactors in the next 15 years. More than the rest of the world has built in the last 35."*

India meanwhile has 23 reactors in operation and will add 12 more by 2024. Among those new designs built and operational in India are the fast breeder reactors imported from Russia which opens up the door for India's use of the abundant thorium-233 found under Indian soil which will be used as part of India's new three-step nuclear designs. Between 2012-2021, Russia constructed 19 new reactors, 15 of which have been built in former colonial nations abroad.

Other nations collaborating with the Multipolar alliance have also seen the birth of a nuclear renaissance. Of those nations now making the leap into nuclear power development, we find the UAE, Saudi Arabia, Indonesia, Egypt and dozens of African

In the case of the USA, per capita energy has fallen below 1971 levels (which were 91,613 kWh per capita according to the Our World in Data), while China's 1971 per capita energy use clocked in at a measly 3320 kWh. This is nearly a 1000% increase which says a lot considering China's leadership has committed to tripling those levels by 2030!

Water Abundance vs Scarcity: China vs the West

One of the most overlooked components of China's anti-zero-sum paradigm is a \$76 billion megaproject called the *"Move South Water North Project"*.

Begun in 2001, the \$64 billion water diversion project is the largest such program ever devised in human history. In order to bring much needed water from the flood prone, low-population density headwaters of the Yangtze River of the south to the highly populated industrial zones of drought-prone North, engineers have embarked on a project which aims to bring 44.8 billion cubic meters (1.5 trillion cubic feet) of water per year from the south to the North and Yellow River basin²⁶.

Divided into three routes, the first to begin construction was the Eastern Route upgrade of the Grand Canal bringing 12.6 billion cubic meters/year of water from the Yangtze via massive tunnels to

²⁶ A CGTN overview of the megaproject can be seen here: https://youtu.be/WmYpQyL8_bY

avoid evaporation across 760 miles to Tianjin. This journey will take the water through 23 pumping stations, and provide 45.4 MW of power along the way. This route was completed in 2013.

The next route which was built between 2004-2014 is the Central Route diverting 9.5 billion cubic meters/year of excess water from the Danjiangkou Reservoir on the Han River to Beijing 765 miles away. This immense endeavor features the construction of two tunnels moving this water 65 meters below the Yellow River in its journey north.

Finally, the third Western Route will only be completed in 2050 and will bring water from the Yangtze and tributaries to the Yellow River passing through the Tibetan Plateaus.

While detractors of these projects focus only on the 330,000 people who were displaced due to these projects, it is undeniable that the flood controls, increased irrigation, and water availability for urban and industrial activity will save countless lives over the coming centuries.

This paradigm of water development, and conservation should be held firmly in mind when contrasting China's philosophy of "sustainability" to that dominant amongst the technocrats managing a depopulated world order in the west.

The case of California here stands in contrast where years of droughts and lack of water infrastructure development have resulted in one of the largest food crises in US history (even before COVID was used to justify the destruction of supply chains).

Where great projects like the North American Water and Power Alliance once animated the best of western nation building, the murder of JFK and RFK put an end to this paradigm over 50 years ago resulting in several generations of California politicians who have put "saving desert ecosystems" ahead of saving people²⁷.

Not only have no new hydroelectric dams been built since the early 1980s in North America, current energy policies in California have focused on pouring

²⁷ [Presentation](#) - Solving the US Water Crisis: JFK, NAWAPA and China's New Silk Road, by Matt Ehret on YouTube, Nov 21, 2020 at Canadian Patriot Press



Caption: NASA Earth Observatory data demonstrated that the vast increase in global biomass is driven primarily by the large scale water and development projects led by China and India


\$500 million into destroying four dams²⁸ in order to "liberate the rivers and restore the natural deserts", while depriving living human farmers and residents of vital water reserves and energy. Degrowth and depopulation of California dominate the thinking of the technocrat class and urban yuppies of the west without any regard to the suffering caused along the way.

China on the other hand has built four of the ten largest hydroelectric dams in the world during the past 25 years, and on June 14, 2022, Power China unveiled plans to build 200 new pumped hydro stations generating 270 GW of energy by 2025.

While the greens of the west have been trained to think only of deconstructing industrial civilization to save deserts (or destroy arable land by spreading solar panels over millions of acres thus increasing both heat and lifeless deserts on earth), the concept of "green" in Eurasia involves bringing life to deserts.

Looking to NASA's recent surprise discovery that the world's biomass has increased by over 5% due in large measure to India and China's economic activity, the fact is slowly emerging into the zeitgeist that the

²⁸ [Green Justice Policies Could Cause Desertification of California - California scraps plans for a desalination plant and intends to tear down four dams](#) by Leslie Eastman Wednesday, June 1, 2022



The primary arteries within the 151 Belt and Road Initiative

apparent conflict between humanity's aspirations to grow vs the health of ecosystems is a chimera. The obvious fact that carbon dioxide also happens to be considered by all chlorophyll-based life to be a delicious food should also not be lost in the rush to demonize CO₂.

The New Silk Road vs Build Back Better

The Northern Corridor: Currently the most developed and utilized of the three corridors that make up the 4 trillion dollar Belt and Road Initiative (aka: New Silk Road), it consists of railways and pipelines that run from China to Kazakhstan, Russia, and Europe. Some Atlanticist geopoliticians would like to see this corridor shut down to further isolate 'new enemy' Russia's transportation and commercial routes.

The Southern Corridor: Less developed but still important, this corridor involves the construction of continuous rail connections from China to Pakistan, Afghanistan, Iran, Iraq, Syria, Lebanon, and potentially Turkey, before reaching Europe through ports in Lebanon and Syria, and via land-based connections in Turkey.

This route has the potential to promote sustainable peace and reconstruction in West Asian nations, and

could possibly be extended to integrate and industrialize the Persian Gulf states through large-scale high-speed railway projects like the 2000 km Persian Gulf-Red Sea high-speed railway, and hasten development prospects in the strategic Horn of Africa.

The Middle Corridor: The most complicated but no less essential of these arteries is the Trans-Caspian International Transport Route (TITR), dubbed "the Middle Corridor" and features multimodal rail and sea transit of goods from China to Europe via Kyrgyzstan, Turkmenistan, Azerbaijan, Armenia, Georgia, and Turkey.

Although this path involves the shortest distance, complications and additional costs arise with the complex process of transitioning from land routes to sea routes via ports in the Caspian Sea.

In recent months, the nations along the Middle Corridor have worked to harmonize their interests and coordinate their efforts to tap, process, and move the energy resources in the Caspian Sea (which contains the fourth largest natural gas reserves in the world).

On 30 March 2022, a quadrilateral agreement was signed between Turkey, Azerbaijan, Kazakhstan, and Georgia to advance the construction of the Baku-Tbilisi-Kars railway system, the Baku-Tbilisi-Ceyhan

pipeline, and the Trans Anatolian National Gas Pipeline (TANAP), which is already in operation. The TANAP is part of the larger Southern Gas Corridor, which involves seven countries and consists of 3500 km of pipelines worth \$35 billion.

The importance of the INSTC

In addition to the three primary east-west corridors connecting China with the west, the much-anticipated Russia-Azerbaijan-Armenia-Iran-India International North-South Transport Corridor (INSTC) has also seen significant growth in recent years, with an additional eastern extension now stretching from Russia to Kazakhstan, Turkmenistan, Kyrgyzstan, Iran, and India.

Once goods from Russia reach Iran via either the western or eastern branches of the INSTC, they can be delivered to markets in India, South Asia, and East Africa through the Ports of Chabahar and Bandar Abbas on the Indian Ocean.

Contrary to the claims of some Atlantic Council-affiliated analysts, the east-west BRI corridors and the north-south INSTC are highly synergistic and united in a grand strategic outlook for broad Eurasian growth and integration in a post-zero-sum game world order.

The effects of these categories of programs involve 1) empowering sovereign nations in opposition to privatized central banks, 2) uplift people to better standards of living with greater intelligence, 3) increase abundance rather than scarcity, 4) induce peaceful cooperation instead of divide-to-conquer programs used by empires since time immemorial.

The Unipolar Green BRI Doppelganger

In contrast to this healthier multipolar operating system, a pathetic concept called “Build Back Better” was introduced. The oft-repeated term was ambiguously defined, but it was embraced by technocratic leaders of Atlanticist states, including the USA’s Joe Biden, Canada’s Justin Trudeau, the UK’s Boris Johnson, and the EU’s Ursula von der Leyen.



Caption: The International North South Transportation Corridor stretches from the Russian north to India with dozens of secondary corridors, pipelines, rail and industrial zones along the way which is synched up with the typically east-west New Silk Road

The concept was later rebranded as “Build Back Better for the World” (B3W).

Despite its warm and fuzzy image, the Global Green New Deal and B3W failed to gain traction due to a lack of concrete action plans or details on how to finance and demonstrate the viability of the grand vision.

In March 2021, Biden and Boris Johnson unveiled a new program called the “Green Belt Initiative²⁹,” which they described as a response to China’s Belt and Road Initiative. When asked for details on how to fund the \$3 trillion in investments required to make the “green transition” to a world dependent on solar panels and windmills, no specifics were provided.

Once again, the concept was under defined, but the image presented was one of a green revolution expected to usher in a new era of “clean zero carbon infrastructure” led by utopian rules-based orderistas of the transatlantic west.

Within the framing of the B3W branding, “Global Green New Deal³⁰” was often celebrated as a warm and fuzzy concept which former Bank of England Governor Mark Carney heralded as a \$130 trillion renaissance into a post-hydrocarbon age.

In September 2021, the EU’s Ursula von der Leyen announced the “Global Green Gateway³¹” as Europe’s response to the BRI. However, this initiative faced criticism for ignoring the hundreds of thousands of engineers trained by China³² in Africa over the past decade, and for projecting the historic predatory lending practices of Europe onto China³³.

In a September 15, 2021 tweet, Von der Leyen stated: *“We want to create links and not dependencies... It does not make sense for Europe to build roads between a Chinese-owned copper mine and a Chinese-owned harbor.”*

Despite this, the Global Green Gateway failed to propose a viable lending mechanism or staff, and soon faded away, similar to the Build Back Better and Global Green New Deals before it.

On 26 June, 2022, the global situation had changed dramatically as Russia’s military intervention in Ukraine was already four months old and the erection of a new Iron Curtain attempting to cut Europe off from both Russia and China was in full swing. Despite these developments, the demand for nations to access affordable and reliable energy and food had risen higher than ever.

In response, the White House released its newest rebranding of the B3W in the form of a G7-led program now titled “The Partnership for Global Infrastructure and Investment”³⁴.

This program promised \$600 billion over five years to recipient nations in Africa, Southwest Asia, Latin America, East Asia, and Eastern Europe to build digital infrastructure, telecommunications, green energy, and soft infrastructure with a focus on gender equity.

The goal of this program was to provide poor nations with an alternative to China’s alleged predatory lending ambitions. However, few of the nations offered this “life raft” have shown much interest so far.

International Dynamics: Open vs Closed Systems Clash

The Belt and Road Initiative has already won over much of Africa as BRI-connected rail, ports, and other infrastructure are providing a breath of fresh air to nations long held hostage by IMF/World Bank conditionalities. Pakistan and much of Southwest Asia are also increasingly on board the BRI through the growing China-Pakistan Economic Corridor. Twenty Arab states³⁵ consolidated massive BRI infrastructure

²⁹ [Build Back Better: Boris and Biden Plot ‘Green Alternative’ to China’s Belt and Road Initiative](#), by Kurt Zindulka 28 Mar 2021 in Breitbart

³⁰ [Exclusive — Jeff Brown: Green New Deal, Build Back Better, Great Reset All Intertwined](#), by Jordan Dixon-Hamilton 6 Apr 2022 in Breitbart

³¹ [The EU’s Global Gateway: A Belt & Road Alternative?](#) By Chris Devonshire-Ellis Sep 22, 2021 in Silk Road Briefing

³² [NIGERIA: China to train engineers on hydropower projects](#), By Jean Marie Takoulevu - August 1 2018 in Afrik21

³³ [What Does China Want with Africa and the Middle East?](#)

Spotlight on Africa on KPFF California, with guest Matt Ehret Dec. 2022 in Rising Tide Foundation

³⁴ [FACT SHEET: President Biden and G7 Leaders Formally Launch the Partnership for Global Infrastructure and Investment](#), released by the White House on June 26, 2022

³⁵ [West Asia transforms: Twenty Arab states in China’s BRI sights](#), By Cynthia Chung January 26 2022 in The Cradle

projects over the past three years with Iran finalizing a \$400 billion deal with China in July 2021³⁶, and even western controlled puppet states like Turkey and Saudi Arabia realizing their lack of future within the collapsing unipolar order grabbing the opportunity to join the New Silk Road.

Additionally, much of Latin America has also joined with hundreds of billions of dollars of infrastructure projects with China holding controlling stakes in over 40 strategic ports across Latin America


Although China is often accused of intellectual theft, the reality is that it has begun to clearly outpace western nations becoming a pioneer on every level of science and technology. China now registers more patents than the USA, has become the cutting-edge leader of high speed rail engineering with over 38,000 km (the USA has less than 200km and Canada has zero),

China has set records for bridge building, tunneling, as well as water management, quantum computing, AI, advanced telecommunications, and even space science becoming the first nation to ever land on the far side of the moon with an intent to mine Helium-3 and develop permanent bases on the Moon alongside Russia in the coming decade.

The unification of China's space program, lunar development and Mars agenda which are integrated with Russia are not coincidentally tied to China's commitment to tap into the moon's abundant helium-3 resources which are nearly non-existent on earth due to our planet's intense magnetic field³⁷.

Science journalist Jeremy Beck wrote of China's space/fusion program in the following terms³⁸:

"Professor Ouyang Ziyuan, the chief scientist of the Chinese Lunar Exploration Program (CLEP), has said that the Moon is so rich in He-3, that this could 'solve humanity's energy demand for around 10,000



years at least.' While talking about the Moon's reserves of iron and other metals, Ziyuan particularly drew attention to He-3, which he called 'an ideal fuel for nuclear fusion power, the next generation of nuclear power.' He added, 'It is estimated that reserves of helium-3 across Earth amount to just 15 tonnes, while 100 tonnes of helium-3 will be needed each year if nuclear fusion technology is applied to meet global energy demands. The Moon, on the other hand, has reserves estimated at between one and five million tonnes'."

Some closing thoughts

If any of the above elementary facts surrounding the economic projects built in Eurasia were more well known in the western media landscape, I believe that it would be much more difficult to either 1) Demonize Russia or China as the cause of our problems or 2) posit that the multipolar alliance is just another depopulation-fixated controlled opposition committed to global enslavement.

As I said at the beginning of this report, similar words might be used by representatives of either side, but the meaning, design, purpose and intention shaping the substance of these words represents two very different visions for the future.

³⁶ [China-Iran \\$400 Billion Accord: A Power Shift Threatens Western Energy](#), by Ariel Cohen Apr 5, 2021 in Forbes

³⁷ [Might the Russia-China-USA Alliance for Space Exploration Define the New 'New World Order'?](#) Strategic Culture Foundation, April 15, 2020

³⁸ [China's Helium-3 Program: A Global Game-Changer](#) by Jeremy Beck, Space Safety Magazine, March 19 2016

Now that we have observed what China, Russia, India and other members of the multipolar alliance *are actually doing, how they are doing it and why*, it hopefully has become clearer that the Eurasian/multipolar operating system is incompatible with the ancient formula for depopulation, war and stupidification used by oligarchs for thousands of years.

With a sense of the physical economic dynamics shaping both open vs closed systems in combat over

the contours of the coming post-globalization age, we are now well placed to review the competing concepts of regionalization, “custom unions” and “world order” which have shaped the entire sweep of the 19th, 20th and early 21st century.

SECTION 2

GEOPOLITICAL REALITIES TODAY



As the trans-Atlantic world is pulled into the vortex of a McCarthyite nightmare with a renewed wave of anti-Russian and now anti-China hysterics, a wave of new “Asia Pacific” doctrines have emerged across captured states... I mean “member” states throughout NATO.

Starting with the February 2022 American ‘Indo-Pacific Strategy’³⁹, similar anti-China programs have popped up left and right with one principled target in mind: eliminate the threat of China through every tool available.

By early June 2022, the UK announced its own branding of the Asia Pivot remixed into the oddly named ‘Indo-Pacific Tilt’ which focuses less on the liberal eco-friendly language of the EU and devotes itself entirely to vastly increasing its military presence in China’s backyard.

After NATO’s June 2022 Madrid Summit officially designated China as ‘a systemic rival’, Canada’s foreign ministry announced its own Indo-Pacific Strategy in November 2022 followed by an absurd 26 page program published in January 10, 2023 outlining the details of Canada’s new role in the Pacific.

On January 25, 2023 NATO’s ironically named ‘Science for Peace and Security Program’ launched a new ‘cooperative initiative on the Indo-Pacific’, followed by a January 30, 2023 Atlantic Council Indo-Pacific Security Initiative focused on dealing with “China’s growing threat to the international order”. The same day the Atlantic Council unveiled this new

doctrine, an American intelligence spook named Markus Garlauskas was named the program’s new director.

While efforts have been made to avoid using an explicitly militaristic language within the majority of the seemingly unconnected reports outlined above, the fact is that what is emerging is a mutation of Obama’s toxic ‘Asia Pivot’. Unlike the small kinetic wars against non-nuclear states like Iraq or Libya, this new war plan against China is a diverse hodgepodge of every single tool of asymmetrical war launched all at once and targeting not only China, but more importantly China’s weaker neighbors. Besides the obvious conventional military and color revolutionary techniques, this new era of Indo-Pacific Strategies rely upon:

- 1- Seducing Asian neighbors into trade deals, economic partnerships, and military partnerships with the Trans Atlantic community which pull them out of China’s orbit
- 2- Coerce China’s neighbors into military agreements with the U.S., Canada, the EU and especially the absurd ‘Global NATO’ advocated by Jens Stoltenberg and his think tank clones in Brussels and Washington.
- 3- Promote an anti-Chinese human rights consensus to justify endless sanctions on Beijing for imagined abuses of Tibetans, forced labor of Uyghurs and tyrannized Hong Kongers.

³⁹ [FACT SHEET](#): Indo-Pacific Strategy of the United States, The White House Briefing Room, Feb. 11, 2022

4- Induce as many nations in the Anglo-American sphere of influence to cut themselves off of business with China or Chinese state firms in order to defend the rules based order

5- Build an anti-development cage around China and its neighboring regions under the guise of ‘ecosystems management’, ‘green finance’, ‘decarbonization’ and ‘ocean conservation’

6- Construct new trade alliances in the Pacific to counteract both China’s maritime Silk Road and also the China-led Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership (RCEP) with an ambiguously titled U.S.-led Indo-Pacific Economic Framework for Prosperity (IPEF)

Since the architects of this agenda are not known for their commitment to reality, the objectives also include a fair amount of tools that aren’t available but are imagined to be so.

Chief among the list of imaginary tools to subdue China, we find the incredible economic power of the mighty U.S. dollar whose business everyone in the world is believed to desperately desire.

Take the example of some champions of the anti-China program writing at The Hill who criticized IPEF not for being delusional- but rather not for being delusional enough saying: *“The IPEF neglects one of the secrets of U.S. success in Asia- access to U.S. markets. It was this lure and a U.S. regional security umbrella that fostered the economic miracles of Japan and South Korea after World War II and later Hong Kong, Singapore, Taiwan and China itself”*.

Ignoring the fact that the once viable U.S. economy of the post-WWII decades has become a hollowed out shell of de-industrialized rot replaced with a cancerous speculative bubble economy, the authors of the article cited above exhibit a complete ignorance to the reality that the only insecurity shaking the foundations of the Asia Pacific is caused by the belligerent antagonisms of an insecure dumb giant overcompensating for its own mediocrity and impending collapse.

Despite the fact that China is the undisputed driver of economic growth, national banking and scientific

progress in the world [see previous chapter], the Anglo-American foreign policy hawks drafting the Indo-Pacific Agenda imagine that the world is somehow yearning to be liberated from Beijing’s nefarious agenda to end poverty, increase food production, build infrastructure and reconstruct war torn sectors of the globe that have been shredded by NATO-led bombing campaigns⁴⁰.

Even if one disregards my remarks about China’s program as “romantic idealism” and instead consider only the basic self-interest of anyone doing business with China, the basic economic facts of China’s trade relationship with its neighbors should cause anyone with half a brain to recognize where Asian-Pacific nations see as the principled force of their present and future prosperity.

Take the case of the U.S. military colony of Japan, which saw China consume over 20% of her trade exports in 2020, surpassing the USA and which increased from \$146 billion to \$206 billion in 2021. Despite being run by synthetic puppets clamoring for antagonism with China, Japan much more dependent on China economically than any other nation, including the USA.

Or take South Korea – another candidate for the Pacific NATO and second largest military colony of the Pacific behind Japan, whose largest trading partner is China running up to the tune of \$240 billion between 2016-2021 (contrasted with a mere \$131 billion with the USA over that same period). Without China, South Korea’s economy literally falls to pieces.

Despite the fact that the USA is desperately trying to intimidate nations of Asia to partner up with itself in opposition to China, Beijing’s trade with all 10 ASEAN nations rose by an incredible 71% over last year and grew 41% with India – both of whom share common interests with Russia, Iran, Africa and the broader multipolar alliance.

The European Union has conducted its fair share of blood-letting under Anglo American pressure over the past year.

First by slashing access to cheap and abundant Russian oil and natural gas, but then by freezing a long-awaited

⁴⁰ [Assad, Syria and China’s new Silk Road](#), by Matthew Ehret in The Cradle, December 7, 2021

EU-China Comprehensive Agreement on Investments in May 2021 after China counter sanctioned five European parliamentarians for using CIA-propaganda to justify a sanction regime onto China over alleged abuses of Uyghurs. The freezing of this deal was followed Brussel's decision to begin imposing tariffs onto Chinese aluminum and by Germany's cancelling of a Chinese purchase of a chip manufacturer and blocking of China's purchase of an un-named construction firm.

As of January 30, Thierry Breton, European Commissioner for International Markets attested to the EU's devotion "to the goal of choking China's semiconductor industry" and went on to say "We fully agree with the objective of depriving China of the most advanced chips. We cannot allow China to access the most advanced technologies".

Despite these ugly facts, the fact remains that the EU is still (and will continue to be) completely reliant upon trade with Beijing which is still by far the EU's #1 trade partner. Not only is China the biggest source of exports to the EU (making up 22% of exports in 2021 and whose bilateral trade amounted to \$711 billion during the first 10 months of 2022), but the EU is also dependent upon rare earth metals controlled by China (which controls nearly 90% of global supplies). It should be noted that before the USA announced its Indo-Pacific Strategy in February 2022, the EU had already made its own intentions clear to launch its 'EU Strategy for Cooperation in the Indo-Pacific' in September 2021 except with the important difference that China was not targeted as a rival or 'systemic

disrupter' but rather as a partner in cooperation⁴¹. This spirit of cooperation was obviously intolerable to an oligarchy seeking to set the stage for a new dark age.

Not that this obvious fact should need to be stated, trade with Russia, the Russian-led EAEU, the African Union, Southwest Asia, Central Asia, Gulf States and CELAC nations has also increased in leaps and bounds this year showing no signs of reversal.

I've stated this before, and I'll say it again: China, Russia and every other nation sitting on the other side of the trans-Atlantic gated community are extremely aware of the precarious time bomb that is the Wall Street-City of London bubble banking system.

While synthetic shells might currently be sitting in positions of management within the capitals of Germany, France, Japan, Taiwan and other abused sacrificial states, the vast majority of the people, business class and intelligentsia knows that the script that celebrated a new world order and 'end of history' in 1992 no longer applies to the Eurasian-led world.


Barring a mindlessly desperate unleashing of nuclear warheads in the short term, the very fact of the real centers of gravity caused by the pro-growth, human-centric priorities of Eurasia led by China's evolving Belt and Road initiative ensure that the storms which WILL befall the western world will not be everlasting nor will the dark abyss caused by the meltdown of the banking system be something which cannot be replaced by a viable economic and security architecture more befitting the human species.

⁴¹ [EU Strategy for Cooperation in the Indo-Pacific](#), European Commission Press Corner, Sept 16, 2021



Greater China Dominates Global Microchip Exports

Mainland China, Hong Kong and Taiwan remain dominant players in global microchip production, which also allows them to control half of the worldwide export market, Statista writes. Numbers from the UN Comtrade database show that Greater China's exports of microchips totaled just under \$400 billion in the pandemic year of 2020.



* and other Asian territories that are not Hong Kong or Macau

Sources: UN Comtrade, Statista

Doomsday prophesising of how China's days are numbered and that it is in the midst of an economic collapse could not be any further from reality.

The reasons for the spread of this sort of gossip is to keep up the belief (and thus investment) in the idea that the United States is secure in its alpha dog global status and that the world is better off siding with said alpha in its escalating and reckless, not to mention unnecessary, economic and geopolitical war against Russia and China. It is to intimidate any country foolish enough to throw too many of its eggs into the Russia-China basket with the thought that they will be left hanging in the wind once China collapses from the international stranglehold on its economy, which is touted as inevitable.

It is to convince the American people, that the further sacrifices they are about to make in their livelihood and standard of living will be worth it, perhaps to even go so far as to proclaim that this will be the necessary "new normal" all for the cause of world security, of course.

The reality of the situation which should be plain for everyone to see at this point, is that the United States' sanctions and banning of various types of trade with Russia and China, meant to cripple their economies, is doing more damage to the world markets than anything else, including that of Europe and the United States.

These sanctions have brought the world into a very predictable energy and food crisis to which European and American citizens are told they must suffer through in the name of western security. A crisis which is gutting essential infrastructure required to sustain developed countries. A crisis from which there is no quick return to a first world living standard for its citizenry.


It was thought that such manoeuvres would cripple the Russian economy and cause internal unrest demanding for the removal of President Putin. Instead we see the Russian rouble stronger than it has ever been in large part due to the competent leadership of Sergei Glazyev (Minister of Macroeconomic Integration of the Eurasian Economic Union) who is

in the process of organising a "*new economic order...[which] will involve a creation of a new digital payment currency founded through an international agreement based on principles of transparency, fairness, goodwill, and efficiency*" as he explained in an interview with Pepe Escobar for The Cradle⁴².

In other words, the world's new monetary system, underpinned by a digital currency, will be backed by a basket of new foreign currencies and natural resources. And it will liberate the Global South from both western debt and IMF-induced austerity. What this means is that with this new system, the economic sovereignty of a nation will be protected; that a country rich in resources will finally be in a position to use the profits of these resources to strengthen their currencies and build infrastructure essential for any first world nation rather than be forcefully reduced to an indebted raw resource producer and nothing more as enforced by the policies of the IMF over the past several decades.

⁴²[Russia's Sergey Glazyev introduces the new global financial system](#), The Cradle, April 14, 2022

Figure 1. The rouble has recovered all its losses against the US dollar and is now stronger



Russia's economy did not tank, and the alliance between Russia and China has only grown stronger. And despite attempts to isolate their markets, trade opportunities remain ample and in high demand while the United States and Europe who refuse to participate in these markets are pushing themselves further into a corner.

Instead of reassessing its position, the U.S., which clearly did not expect such an outcome, has instead decided to escalate this economic war with China, which will create further hardship for the world markets, in the middle of a very serious semiconductor backlog.

Semiconductors/chips are an essential component for any smart device, including automobiles and smart phones. They are essential in the production of AI, quantum computing, and microelectronics.

Semiconductors are also essential for advanced weapons systems, including hypersonic missiles.

Interestingly, the United States has shot itself in its own kneecap in the middle of a race for military supremacy since the crisis of the semiconductor/chips backlog (triggered by the United States), which has heavily hit the consumer market especially the

automobile industry, has also hit the United States' ability to churn out advanced weapons systems in mass quantity.

In December 2020⁴³, SMIC along with other Chinese firms were put on a U.S. blacklist for exports called the Entity List. This was an attempt to prevent SMIC, China's largest and most sophisticated semiconductor producer, from importing the necessary material and equipment to manufacture 14nm and 20nm semiconductors/chips, which also ended up cutting off the world markets from China, the main supplier of these chips.

TSMC (Taiwan) and Samsung (South Korea) are the present titans in the cutting-edge semiconductor industry (that is 7nm and 5nm chips), however, China's SMIC was the largest supplier of 20nm+ chips which are essential for the consumer market. When China was taken out of this market it created a bottleneck since TSMC and Samsung, who do not specialise in bulk manufacturing of lower grade chips, were already way above capacity. This created a massive global bottleneck in semiconductor/chip production. [East Asia is manufacturing about 75% of the chips in the world.]

Ironically, the United States would have had an easier time amping up production in their advanced weapons

⁴³ [U.S. blacklists dozens of Chinese firms including SMIC](#), [DJI](#) by Reuters Dec. 18, 2020 on CNBC

systems if they had left China as the main global supplier of 20nm chips.

Over the past month or so the U.S. State Department has been busy setting up weapon sales with countries such as Japan (\$293 million deal, principally with Raytheon Technologies for 150 air-to-air missiles that can be loaded on F-35 fighters), Singapore (\$630 million deal for laser-guided bombs and other munitions), Australia (\$235 million deal with Lockheed Martin for 80 air-to-surface missiles), South Korea (\$130 million for 31 lightweight torpedoes to use with MH-60R helicopters for anti-submarine warfare) and Germany (with a potential sale of over 35 F-35 fighters).

These are orders that presently are unable to be fulfilled by these companies in the short-term due to the semiconductor backlog of 1-2 years or more.

The United States is attempting to increase its onshore manufacturing capabilities so that it can control much more of the supply chain within its domestic market, rather than the present reality, which is that there are several countries dispersed throughout the world who are the specialised leaders of one of the approximately 50 steps, requiring high standard specialisation, in manufacturing cutting edge semiconductors.

The specialising process for all the components required for leading chip manufacturing is so costly (in the hundreds of billions) and precise that it is estimated that it would take at least 4-6 years or more to master each area of specialisation.

“If you want a resilient [self-sufficient] chip supply chain, you not only need chip plants, you also need a whole string of suppliers from critical chemicals and precision components all coming along,” said an executive at Japan’s Daikin, reported by NIKKEI Asia. *“Building a semiconductor plant takes several years, but building chemical plants will take even longer given the extensive environmental assessments and regulations for handling chemicals.”* [short

YouTube video explains ⁴⁴ how semiconductors are made.]

Presently, no country comes even close to this capability. Later on in this paper I will explain why if any country is to reach resilience, that is self-sufficiency in this market, it will be China not the United States.

Is China too far behind to catch up?

There has been a lot of persistence, perhaps in the belief that if one repeats something out loud enough times it will come true, that China is too far behind to be a contender in the semiconductor race. Since the U.S. ban on China purchasing certain essential materials for chip manufacturing in December 2020, in just the past year, China has experienced a 33% semiconductor growth output.

According to Bloomberg⁴⁵, China’s chip industry is the fastest growing anywhere else in the world, including the world leaders TSMC and Samsung, owning 19 out of the world’s 20 fastest growing chip industry firms over the past four quarters.

SMIC and Hua Hong Semiconductor Ltd., the biggest contract chip makers, have kept their Shanghai-based plants operating at almost full capacity even as the worst Covid-19 outbreak since 2020 paralyzed factories and logistics across China, while other leading semiconductor industries, such as TSMC and Samsung, have been operating at 60% capacity or lower (due to the backlog in essential materials). SMIC recently reported a 67% surge in quarterly sales, outpacing far larger rivals GlobalFoundries Inc. and TSMC.

Despite the United States having already pushed the Netherlands to ban ASML Holding NV from selling China EUV technology⁴⁶ (considered essential for manufacturing cutting edge semiconductors), at the time of writing this, it is now attempting to put further pressure on the Netherlands to ban even DUV systems⁴⁷ (which predate EUV and are generations behind cutting edge lithography technology) to China

⁴⁴ [How are microchips made?](#) by Interesting Engineering on YouTube Jan 4, 2022

⁴⁵ [US Sanctions Help China Supercharge Its Chipmaking Industry](#), By Bloomberg News 20 June 2022

⁴⁶ [ASML Products EUV lithography systems : Mass producing leading-edge microchips](#), ASML Website

⁴⁷ [US Wants Dutch Supplier to Stop Selling Chipmaking Gear to China](#), By Jillian Deutsch, Eric Martin, Ian King and Debby Wu on 5 July 2022 in Bloomberg

in the U.S.' desperate attempt to remove or at least contain China as a competitor. The U.S. has also attempted to put pressure on Japan's Nikon (the only other DUV manufacturer at 5% market share) as well.

However, Dutch Prime Minister Mark Rutte said this past June he is against reconsidering trade relations with China and called for the EU to develop its own policies toward Beijing. China is the Netherlands' third-biggest trade partner after Germany and Belgium. ASML opposes a ban on sales of DUV lithography equipment to Chinese customers because it is already a mature technology.

I suspect this sort of response will become the new normal for the United States if they continue along this line of thinking that everyone, and not just the U.S., should also be ready to shoot themselves in the kneecap or worse, in service of a self-sabotaging idea of U.S. hegemony.

You have to admit, it is a hard story to sell when China is increasingly one of the top trading partners of the majority of countries, while the U.S. seems to only ask that countries be willing to do frequent bloodlettings and be the first to poke their heads up from the trenches in reckless military posturing, all in service to the Anglo-American empire⁴⁸, who has consistently shown that they have not a care in the world for the future state of such countries.

And it gets much worse for American aspirations for global military supremacy...

China's SMIC has had the capability of manufacturing 7nm chips since 2021⁴⁹, thought to be equivalent to Taiwan's TSMC's N7 (7nm chip) in yield optimization. [TSMC is capable of 5nm chip production and is expected to achieve 3nm chip production by the end of this year]. This puts China in the lead of the United States' Intel, which has yet to achieve manufacturing capability of 7nm chips and

hopes to reach capability by the end of 2022 or early 2023⁵⁰.

[Note: Presently, TSMC is banned⁵¹ from selling semiconductors to Huawei that have been made with U.S. technology. Huawei up until that point was the biggest client of TSMC.]

TSMC had already filed lawsuits in U.S. courts against SMIC for products made with TSMC trade secrets in 2003 and 2006, the latter which ended with a settlement⁵². This was a rather controversial manoeuvre on the part of TSMC since the United States is not neutral ground and stands to benefit greatly by crippling what is now China's no. 1 semiconductor producer. However, TSMC did decide to make a settlement with SMIC rather than attempting to go for the full jugular. Interestingly one of the terms of settlement was the transfer over of about 10% of SMIC's stocks to TSMC.

There are no signs yet that TSMC is seeking another lawsuit against SMIC, however, even if they were successful, it were likely that China would be prevented from trading with unfriendly countries in 7nm chips but would continue its production and application within China and its trade to friendly countries. The U.S. is increasingly in no position to enforce anything further than this.

With the advent of [SMIC's N+1 and N+2](#) (7nm chips), China is now 4-6 years behind the leaders (TSMC, Samsung) in cutting edge semiconductor capability. HiSilicon and Huawei together will no doubt [accomplish much with N+2](#)⁵³ and are capable of massive output.

This is very big news.

Funny how western press seems to be rather silent on this massive breakthrough for China which has propelled it ever close to the front of the race. Instead,

⁴⁸ [The Special Relationship': How the British Reconquered the United States and Established an Anglo-American Empire](#), by Cynthia Chung July 27, 2022 on Substack/Through A Glass Darkly

⁴⁹ [China's SMIC Shipping 7nm Chips, Reportedly Copied TSMC's Tech](#), By Paul Alcorn July 21, 2022 in Tom's Hardware

⁵⁰ [Intel: Sorry, But Our 7nm Chips Will Be Delayed to 2022, 2023](#), by Michael Kan July 23, 2020 in PCMag

⁵¹ [TSMC stops new Huawei orders after U.S. restrictions: Nikkei](#), By Reuters Staff on May 18, 2020 in Reuters

⁵² [TSMC settles lawsuit with SMIC](#), November 11, 2009 in Taiwan Today

⁵³ YouTube Video : [China's 7nm Chips: SMIC N+2](#), July 31, 2022 by Asianmetry

we seem to be told, with ever more vigor, that China's economy is in the midst of a collapse...

China has accomplished what almost everyone thought to be actually impossible. Namely, it was thought an extremely difficult if not impossible task to produce 7nm chips with high yield optimization without EUV technology (to which China has been banned from using after the U.S. pressured the government of the Netherlands). China has also been banned from other thought-to-be key components and yet, have either found a way to bypass the requirement of such things or have successfully specialised in their production on their own.

In January 2022, legendary TSMC R&D Director Dr. Burn Lin mentioned in an interview that SMIC can even fabricate N5 equivalent chips with only multi-patterning no EUV necessary. (TSMC had also become quite good at making cutting edge semiconductors before the EUV technology had come out).

Thus, those simply accusing China of just "copying" TSMC N7 have no comprehension that to actually successfully manufacture TSMC N7 yield optimization level chips is akin to successfully building the Star Trek Enterprise and all its features with 60% of the parts and using technology thought to be about 20 years behind the requirement to build such a thing. You can understand why almost everyone thought this was an impossibility for China.

Instead of accusing China of merely copying, her critics should in fact acknowledge this impressive achievement for what it is. China is increasingly finding itself in the lead of the U.S., despite being the only country banned from full participation in this market.

How the U.S. was the first to use the "Copy EXACTLY! Technology Transfer Method"

Before I go on to discuss where is the U.S. positioned in the semiconductor race, I thought it necessary to review a very important piece of history that everyone, but especially Americans, should know about in relation to their criticism of China.

In the 1980s Japan was the kingpin in cutting edge semiconductors production. This was especially the case relating to a specific type of memory chip DRAM.

Japan's product was not only superior to that of what the United States was producing but it was also much cheaper, due to Japan's investment in automation tools and processes. This resulted in much lower defect rates and higher yields.

It was also because Americans were dependent on NMOS⁵⁴ for their DRAM technologies, whereas the Japanese had decided to go the more difficult and risky route with CMOS⁵⁵, that is, more expensive and harder to pull off. Rapid advances in lithography technology made the cost of CMOS far lower and became the industry standard.

American chipmakers were now the owners of outdated, expensive technology. And Japan became the kingpin of the semiconductor world.

In 1985 there was a recession in the computer market, with the microcomputer market seeing an 8% decline. This decline had massive reverberations down the supply chain. Prices collapsed by 60%.

Intel, DRAM pioneer, experienced the biggest crash in orders in over ten years, resulting in their exiting of the DRAM industry entirely. Leading U.S. semiconductor industries saw 14-17% decline in revenues. By 1986, the American DRAM industry had dropped from fourteen producers in 1970 to just three.

Complaints from certain quarters in the United States began criticizing Japan for "predatory" and "unfair" trade practices despite the recession in 1985 being a demand problem and not a competition problem. These complaints were primarily:

1. That the Japanese were dumping semiconductors into the U.S. market at below fair market value.
2. The Japanese were not providing foreign chip makers sufficient access to its domestic market

⁵⁴ [Wikipedia/NMOS logic](#)

⁵⁵ [Wikipedia/CMOS](#)

However, Japan's semiconductor presence in the U.S. did not harm all American markets, IBM and AT&T greatly benefitted from these lower prices for DRAM.

Discussions began about implementing trade barriers, which would in turn make America an even more expensive place to make things, accelerating the electronic assembly off-shoring trend already underway.

In June 1985, Micron filed an anti-dumping complaint against Japanese exporters of 64K DRAM. Intel, AMD and National Semiconductor soon followed, doing the same for the EPROM⁵⁶ market.

The Reagan Administration had filed a complaint of their own over 256K DRAM (64K DRAM was released by Japan in 1982 and 256K DRAM was released by Japan in 1984).

President Reagan, who was supposed to be all about free markets, in the spring of 1986 forced the U.S.-Japan Semiconductor Agreement with METI (Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry in Japan).

Part of the conditionalities of this agreement were that the American semiconductor share in the Japanese market be increased to a target of 20-30% in five years, that every Japanese firm stop its "dumping" into the American market and the Americans wanted a separate monitoring body to help enforce all of this.

Many Japanese companies felt that their government had caved and had betrayed them. They had hoped for a simple fine. It was thought incredible, and rightly so, that their company trade data now needed to be submitted to a third party in order to be reviewed and judged in accordance to the demands of an American market competitor.

Not only this, but these Japanese companies were being asked to trade with American companies, regardless of whether the American product was the most superior or best priced from its competitors, to meet this U.S. demand for a 20-30% Japanese market share.

No surprise here, the Japanese companies refused to do this and METI had no way of forcing them to do so.

President Reagan responded by imposing a 100% tariff on \$300 million worth of Japanese goods in April 1987. Combined with the 1985 Plaza Agreement which revalued the Japanese Yen the U.S.-Japan Semiconductor Agreement gave the U.S. memory market the extra boost it needed.

Of course, that boost was akin to pushing Japan's head underwater for several minutes while a race was underway, however, rather embarrassingly, Japan would still maintain a lead.

The U.S. was in no position to beat the Japanese in its domination of the semiconductor industry. There was no choice but to...*copy* Japanese technology, in the name of American supremacy that is.

It appears no country has the right to earn its way to the top other than the U.S. in a world we are told benefits the smartest, most innovative and fastest growing industries. Apparently, everyone at the end of the day works for a global boss, who reserves the right to determine your company's fate and the rules are changed accordingly to what suits this global boss' needs case by case, the world markets be damned.

Intel would be the first to implement vast copying of Japanese semiconductor technology in order to stay in the competition. Craig Barrett CEO (1998-2005) and Chairman (1968-2009) of Intel was the first to implement "Copy EXACTLY! Technology Transfer Method."⁵⁷ I think the title gives you a good enough idea of what that entails.


Again, if we have learned anything from the generous lessons bestowed on us by the great arbiter, the United States, it is to "Do as I Say, Not as I Do."

Apparently copying is only an infringement on patents when other countries do it. I guess this is sort of similar to the American lesson⁵⁸ that biological research facilities only turn into dangerous bio labs threatening world security when in the possession of the Russians, when in the possession of the Americans

⁵⁶ [Wikipedia/EPROM](#)

⁵⁷ [Wikipedia/Copy Exactly!](#)

⁵⁸ [Fact Checking the Fact Checkers: Why Does Ukraine Seem to Have So Many Nazis Nowadays?](#) by Cynthia Chung Mar 26, 2022 on Substack/Through A Glass Darkly



Note that in the case of China in the above graph, this is an estimate.

should be prioritised and downgraded, and which projects should be killed in their cradle never to be talked about again with threat on your life.

For those who take issue in all advancement of technology because they are fearful that we are heading towards such dystopic visions as *Terminator*, the *Matrix*, or *Bladerunner*, this is not because this is what humans “naturally” do, it is not even fair nor accurate to say that this is what all countries will inevitably do. It is namely what a country will do if

the military industrial complex is its sole reason for existing on this Earth. And it will feed this monster at the cost of the welfare and livelihood of its actual people, as we see so clearly occurring specifically within the United States.

And yes, this is specifically striking in the United States, especially since the 2007-2008 financial crisis, to which the U.S. has yet to do anything differently in terms of how it runs its finances, despite countless Americans losing their homes and more while the big

banks have been repeatedly bailed out with taxpayer money.

Today, the U.S. continues to spend hundreds of billions of dollars on its military industrial complex and leaves the ever-growing poverty, suicide and drug abuse unaddressed while it loudly bales its woke priorities as to how to speak in such a way that no person could possibly be emotionally offended and in the process render anything you have to say entirely irrelevant.

People should wake up and realise that they are not mad because of this or that label, it is because your future was stolen from you, and it was done by the hand of your very own government.

Your oppression is situated in your lack of opportunity, in your lack of real choice for a better future. In today's western world you are free to choose whatever avatar you like but you are not free to make any changes to the status quo that is governed by the military industrial complex, which keeps the American people in ever-increasing poverty and destroys entire nations. What sort of freedom is that?

This is the reason why no decent scientist can hope to accomplish great benefits for their society with any research they conduct in the United States. It is all about business at the end of the day, and there is no bigger business than the military business in the United States, except perhaps "biological research" which is drawing a close second, and technically speaking figures into the military business...

In the case of China what we see is the very opposite to what is occurring in the United States. As the World Bank and BBC attest, surprise surprise, China is doing what they say they are doing⁶² in addressing poverty levels, you can read about it on the BBC news site⁶³. According to the World Bank, which draws a higher poverty line for upper-middle income countries, to reflect the economic conditions, China is now considered an upper-middle-income country according to the World Bank.

Therefore, China is able to not only compete with the American arms race (in self-defence to the clear war

escalation), but it is also able to compete in other areas of more useful endeavours as well as raising its own people out of poverty.

China is not subservient to a military industrial complex and using the lazy logic that if it happened to the U.S. it will happen to China, is to not only disregard what is actually going on in China today but it is also to disregard the cultural orientation of its country and its people.

Now back to our story.

Intel, which would exit out of DRAM in 1985 would turn their focus on logic chips, which they are now a world leader in.

In 1991, the Japanese real estate bubble burst. The real estate bubble had inflated due to the Yen's appreciation, triggered by the 1985 Plaza Accord.

In 1983 Samsung received a technology transfer from Micron (the company that launched the complaint suit against Japan that started this whole thing off) for 64K DRAM.

To the credit of Samsung, they utilised this technology transfer to maximum use and along with LG and Hyundai were able to produce the 4M DRAM, with their product hitting the market just 6 months after the Japanese.

Micron was one of the few American DRAM producers to have survived the 80s and had made adjustments making production very cheap for their lower-end DRAM chips.

While Samsung was beginning to compete for the lead in cutting edge DRAM technology with the Japanese, Micron in a pincer move flooded the Japanese markets with half-a-generation behind DRAM chips at a cost of \$4 when Japan was selling them at \$6.

Japan's semiconductor market was hit from the top by Samsung with their high-end products and at the bottom by Micron with their low-end products, known as the Micron Shock. The Micron Shock set into motion the fall of the Japanese semiconductor manufacturing industry.

⁶² [The Real Global Agenda Pushing for War with China](#), by Cynthia Chung Aug. 2, 2022 on Substack/Through A Glass Darkly

⁶³ [Has China lifted 100 million people out of poverty?](#), By Jack Goodman Feb. 28, 2021 on BBC

128M DRAM prices collapsed by 50% in a single year. Fujitsu exited the DRAM market in 1998. Japanese companies Hitachi and NEC merged their operations together to create Elpida in 1999. They later took over Mitsubishi's DRAM division in 2002. Elpida was later acquired by none other than....Micron Technology.

Japan's semiconductor industry is still strong but they are much smaller players in the world market and no longer have a capability of developing cutting edge semiconductors. Companies such as TSMC, Samsung, SMIC, and Intel are much further in the lead. (for more on this story to which I largely drew from Asianometry⁶⁴).

Today, the United States has reopened its arms to Japan in what is promised to be a loving embrace this time around, Japan will open an R&D center for next-generation 2nm chips by year-end under a partnership with the U.S., part of their efforts to establish secure chip supply chains amid tensions around industry leader Taiwan.

Perhaps Japan truly believes it will really be different this time around.

Is the U.S. too far behind to catch up?

Intel was scheduled to have its 7nm chips in production by 2021, instead one year later, Intel has yet to develop the capability of 7nm chip production


which they hope to achieve by the end of 2022 or early 2023.

However, despite China having figured this all out in 2021, we are still supposed to believe that China is hopelessly behind the U.S. in the semiconductor race. Back in July 2021, Intel is still making big announcements of their comeback, now moved back to the year 2025⁶⁵...

2025 is something that is almost lightyears away in the semiconductor R&D. Who knows what TSMC, Samsung and SMIC will have achieved by then, there may even be a new form of technology to replace the entire present way of doing things. Perhaps the U.S. is used to making these predictions assuming everyone else will remain stationary? Someone should tell them and their investors that this is no longer 1985.

With this set back in mind that things tend not to run on schedule in the semiconductor business, is it a feasible prospect of the United States to increase its onshore manufacturing capabilities so that it can control much more of the supply chain within its domestic market?

The short answer is no. But don't take it from me, take it from Morris Chang, an elder statesman of the semiconductor industry who founded and formerly chaired TSMC, who put this smack of reality most bluntly in remarks addressed to the U.S. as reported by NIKKEI Asia:



"If you want to re-establish a complete semiconductor supply chain in the U.S., you will not find it as a possible task," he said at an industry forum last year. "Even after you spend hundreds of billions of dollars, you will still find the supply chain to be incomplete, and you will find that it will be very high cost, much higher cost than what you currently have."

Despite these words of advice, amidst what I think can be fairly called an economic recession if not

⁶⁴ [Asianometry](#)

⁶⁵ [Intel has a new architecture roadmap and a plan to retake its chipmaking crown in 2025](#), By Chaim Gartenberg Jul 26, 2021 on The Verge

depression, the United States has just recently passed the \$52 billion CHIPS Act, with \$39 billion planned to be spent domestically, to namely benefit, you guessed it, the military industrial complex.

U.S. Commerce Secretary Gina Raimondo warned in a recent interview with CNBC while the CHIPS Act was being considered by Congress:

“If you allow yourself to think about a scenario where the United States no longer had access to the chips currently being made in Taiwan, it’s a scary scenario...It’s a deep and immediate recession. It’s an inability to protect ourselves by making military equipment. We need to make this in America.”

Notice the only concern she names by name? The military industrial complex. At some point Americans need to ask themselves, what is the point of all of this military equipment if the American people’s livelihood is not economically secure, in violation to the promise of freedom from want as one of the four core American freedoms⁶⁶. How is the American government providing the American people security if they do not even have enough food to eat and have no homes to live in but increasingly ghettofied communities living like an ant colony. Or Elon Musk’s ridiculous house box⁶⁷. They are literally selling boxes for homes as if this is some sort of luxury product.

Why is it ok for Americans to increasingly have no access to the most basic of basic needs while hundreds of billions of dollars are poured into Department of Defense Frankenstein projects?

In case you haven’t noticed, the Cold War has been going on for 76 years now. When has the military industrial complex ever been justified and not in fact based on conscious lies⁶⁸? President Eisenhower departed his office actually acknowledging that he left a monster growing in the basement and had no idea how to get rid of it in his farewell address:

*“In the councils of government, we must guard against the acquisition of unwarranted influence, **whether sought or unsought, by the military-industrial***

complex... The potential for the disastrous rise of misplaced power exists, and will persist.”

Honestly, he might as well have gotten into a helicopter and left the country waving like Nixon did while the Americans all stared dumbstruck. But somehow we largely regard that speech as if it were something respectable. Let us call a spade for what it is. And what happened to the next President who was elected to address the matter? His brains were splattered onto the pavement in broad daylight on live television.

Anyway, I digress, back to the matter of American supremacy...

The U.S. is confident that it can once again unleash its jungle roar of supremacy with the help of TSMC. TSMC is scheduled to building fabs (semiconductor fabrication plants) in the U.S. and Japan.

Intel doesn’t expect its new fabs in Ohio to begin production until 2025. Intel is also building two new factories in Arizona expected to go online in 2024. That’s when TSMC and Samsung also plan to open new fabs in Texas and Arizona, respectively. What this means is essentially that they have agreed to share some of their trade secrets with the U.S.

This is likely not being done voluntarily, without some very scary threats.

As NIKKEI Asia reported Taiwan’s government is keenly aware that if TSMC were to move production sites it could weaken the island’s security position, analysts say. For many analysts, those factories and their role in the global economy act as an insurance policy for the island.

“The Taiwanese government will definitely hope TSMC keeps its most cutting-edge factories all in Taiwan,” said Su Tzu-yun, director of the Institute for National Defense Security Research in Taipei. *“That could make Taiwan a safer place. No one would want to damage TSMC’s advanced chip production capacities, which are the hearts of many electronic devices...We don’t think the tension and competition between the U.S. and China will end any time*

⁶⁶ [Wikipedia/ Four Freedoms](#)

⁶⁷ [See inside the \\$50,000, prefab tiny house that Elon Musk uses as a guest house in Texas](#), by Brittany Chang and Tim Levin Updated Aug 5, 2022 in The Insider


⁶⁸ [Why the United States Has Set Itself Up for Failure in the Semiconductor Race for Military Supremacy](#), by Cynthia Chung Sept. 18, 2022 on Substack/Through A Glass Darkly

soon...As a crucial supplier, TSMC may still face a tough issue later – choosing sides.”

TSMC is thus stuck in a very tight spot right now. What Taipei should also understand is that Taiwan is expendable to the United States, but it is not expendable to China. Rather, Taiwan is actually essential for China’s security. Taiwan needs to realise that words are words but actions are another thing. Independence for Taiwan is not a reality. It is not an economic reality. And it is not a political reality (not to mention cultural reality).

The United States will not stop until Taiwan becomes its own military base (added to the list of South Korea and Japan) and becomes an upholder to U.S. hegemony in the area, which pretty much runs counter to every country’s interest in South-East Asia. Or Taiwan can return to China and receive protection from America’s war mongering. China will do this one way or another, that is, defend their land against a U.S. invasion, but it would be nice if Taiwan had its head together if such a stand-off were to occur.

In case you have not been informed, Taiwan is internationally recognised, including by the United States as part of China. China has enough missiles to defend Taiwan without any issue. For more on



Source: NIKKEI Asia

China’s military capability refer to ex-Marine Brian Berletic’s New Atlas⁶⁹.

⁶⁹ [China's Taiwan Military Option - How and Why?](#), by Brian Berletic’s New Atlas video

Thus, though Intel has high ambitions to somehow be in the lead in 2025 despite only being thrown scraps from the alley presently, the elephant in the room here is that the U.S. seems to have lost any ability to actually be an innovator in the field. The only thing keeping them in the game right now as a leading cutting edge semiconductor manufacturer is their use of intimidation and threat of force.

The writing is on the wall, as Russia and China gain in economic and military supremacy, South Korea and Taiwan will be free to conduct their business as they choose and not be obligated to constantly give the U.S. favors under uncomfortably tense situations threatening the very sovereignty of these companies let alone countries.

Another rather large factor to the U.S.’ miscalculation in their strive for supremacy is the matter of a little mineral called Fluorite.

Fluoropolymers are a key material for semiconductor production. Fluoropolymers are processed from fluorspar, also known as fluorite, a mineral of which China controls **nearly 60% of the global production output**, according to data from market research company IndexBox. China has long identified fluorspar as a strategic resource and back in the late 1990s limited exports due to its importance to industries from agriculture, electronics and pharmaceuticals to aviation, space and defense.

In a supply chain review paper published by the White House in 2021, the U.S. flagged the risks of critical materials subject to foreign domination and identified fluorspar as one in a list of “shortfall strategic and critical materials.”

An independent commission established by Congress⁷⁰ recently concluded: *“If a potential adversary bests the United States in semiconductors over the long term or suddenly cuts off U.S. access to cutting-edge chips entirely, it could gain the upper hand in every domain of warfare.”*

The Centre for Strategic and International Studies (CSIS) report⁷¹ “China’s leaders have set a goal to

⁷⁰ [National Security Commission on Artificial Intelligence Final Report](#)

⁷¹ [Semiconductors and National Defense: What Are the Stakes?](#), by Sujai Shivakumar and Charles Wessner

build a 'fully modern' military by 2027 based on 'informatization,' 'intelligentization,' and 'mechanization,' investing heavily in technical areas which support such an approach, such as AI, quantum computing, hypersonics, and microelectronics."

As the chair and vice chair of the National Security Commission on Artificial Intelligence (NSCAI) put it in 2021, *"We do not want to overstate the precariousness of our position, but given that the vast majority of cutting-edge chips are produced at a single plant separated by just 110 miles of water from our principal strategic competitor, we must re-evaluate the meaning of supply chain resilience and security."*

U.S. dependency on Taiwanese production of chips for defense systems extends beyond AI. TSMC makes semiconductors used in F-35 fighters and a wide range of "military-grade" devices used by the U.S. Department of Defense (DOD).

Interestingly, the CSIS report acknowledges that advances in semiconductor technology are primarily driven by the development of devices for commercial use.

Which is what China is exactly focusing on right now. Recall China was the number one world supplier of semiconductors for commercial use with 75% of all semiconductor production coming out of East Asia.

What China has done in fact is, to quote Pascal Coppens⁷², set up an ecosystem in Shenzhen that will allow for top industrial focus on all levels of the semiconductor production line for commercial use, while SMIC, HUAWEI, and HiSilicon focus on the cutting edge semiconductor technology. As Pascal Coppens makes the point, China's semiconductor ecosystem is in fact a form of decentralization, while the United States is approaching the semiconductor race from an approach of centralization (around the military industrial complex).

TSMC is bottlenecked due to the reasons already discussed above. TSMC is operating at around 60% capacity and to achieve 80% capacity would be considered an incredible achievement. China has the ability to correct the semiconductor shortage that is bankrupting western companies right now, including the automobile industry. And 90% of the chips needed to make the smart world will have to come from China. Recall, TSMC owns 10% of SMIC stocks, if SMIC is able to meet these demands, TSMC stands to benefit greatly, much above what they are capable of producing as output.

And everyone knows, there is no greater romance than a *forbidden* romance.



Chapter 5 - Is Japan Willing to Cut Its Own Throat in Sacrifice to the U.S. Pivot to Asia?

By Cynthia Chung

In case you haven't been able to hear under all the media thunder of doomsday prophesying by so-called "experts" on China's future economic performance (which has been going on for close to a decade and is more akin to wishful thinking than economic analysis), Japan's economy does not require a prophet or crystal ball to tell you what lies ahead in its very near future: that is, that Japan has become the ticking time bomb for the world economy⁷³.

According to NIKKEI Asia, in an October report, Japan's "yen weakened past 150 against the dollar reaching a new 32-year low as the policy gap widens between the Bank of Japan and the U.S. Federal Reserve...The Fed has repeatedly raised interest rates to tackle inflation, while the Bank of Japan maintains its ultraloose monetary policy to support the economy.

The Fed's hawkish monetary policy, along with persistent inflation expectations, has pushed the benchmark 10-year U.S. Treasury yield up to 4%. The Bank of Japan, meanwhile, is continuing to hold the 10-year Japanese government bond yield near zero. The Japanese central bank conducted a bond-buying operation for the second straight day to keep the yield within its implicit range of -0.25% to 0.25%.

The yield gap is prompting investors to invest in dollars rather than yen, exerting strong downward pressure on the Japanese currency." [emphasis added]

In response to this the Bank of Japan (BOJ) decided to maintain its "ultraloose monetary policy" as BOJ Governor Haruhiko Kuroda "highlighted downside risks to the economy and indicated his willingness to accept a weaker yen." By mid-November it was reported that the Japanese economy shrank for the first time in four quarters as inflation and the weak yen hit the country. "Japan has a history of having suffered from extreme yen strength," Kuroda added, suggesting that excessive weakness is easier to bear than a too-muscular currency.


By mid-November, NIKKEI Asia reported "Bank of Japan's ultralose policy under pressure as inflation hits 40-year high," with food prices increasing by 3.6% on the year in October, well above the 2% target. Governor of the BOJ, Kuroda responded "The bank will continue with monetary easing, aiming to firmly support Japan's economy and thereby achieve the price stability target of 2% in a sustainable and stable manner, accompanied by wage increases."

By mid-January Japan had reported a record low in annual trade deficit of \$155 billion USD for 2022.

⁷³ [Japan's economy: the world's ticking time bomb](#), By Alex Krainer Jan. 19, 2023 on Substack/ Alex Krainer's TrendCompass

Japan's trade balance

(In trillions of yen)



Preliminary data for 2022

Source: Japan's Ministry of Finance

This is not a sudden outcome for Japan's economy but rather has been a slow burn over a 12 year period. Alex Krainer writes: *"Over the ensuing 12 years and several rounds of ever greater QE [quantitative easing], the imbalances have only worsened and in February last year, the BOJ was forced to go full Mario Draghi, all-that-it-takes, committing to buy unlimited amounts of JGB's [Japanese Government Bonds]. At the same time however, the BOJ capped the interest rates on 10-year JGBs at 0.25% to avoid inflating the domestic borrowing costs... Well, if you conjure unlimited amounts of currency to monetize runaway government debt, and you keep the interest rates suppressed below market levels, you are certain to blow up the currency."*

Not unrelated to this unfolding of Japan's economy was the meeting of the Trilateral Commission in Tokyo, Japan for their 50th anniversary this past November.

For those who are unaware, the Trilateral Commission was founded in the wake of the Watergate and oil crisis of 1973. It was formed under the pretense of addressing the "crisis of democracy" and calling for a

reshaping of political systems in order to form a more "stable" international order and "cooperative" relations among regions.

Alex Krainer writes⁷⁴:

"The commission was co-founded in July of 1973 by David Rockefeller, Zbigniew Brzezinski and a group of American, European and Japanese bankers, public officials and academics including Alan Greenspan and Paul Volcker. It was set up to foster close cooperation among nations that constituted the three-block architecture of today's western empire. That 'close cooperation' was intended as the very foundation of the empire's 'three block agenda,' as formulated by the stewards of the undead British Empire."

Its formation would be organised by Britain's hand in America, the Council on Foreign Relations, (aka: the offspring of the Royal Institute for International Affairs, the leading think tank for the British Crown).

Project Democracy would originate out of a Trilateral Commission meeting on May 31st, 1975 in Kyoto Japan, where the Trilateral Commission's "Task Force on the Governability of Democracies" findings were

⁷⁴ [Huge new cracks appear in the empire's edifice](#), by Alex Krainer, Nov. 30, 2022 on substack at Alex Krainer's TrendCompass

delivered. The project was overseen by Trilateral Commission Director Zbigniew Brzezinski and its members James Schlesinger (former CIA Director) and Samuel P. Huntington.

It would mark the beginning of the end, introducing the policy, or more aptly “ideology”, for the need to instigate a “controlled disintegration of society.”

However, it appears certain participants of this Trilateral Commission are starting to catch on that this alliance between the United States, Western Europe and Japan for the restructuring of regions (à la League of Nations⁷⁵) is not what they so naively thought it would be, that is, that it would not be just about the disintegration of competing economies but would include their very own.

In the end, all would be expected to bend the knee in subservience to the head of a new world empire. As one of the attendees of this latest Trilateral meeting joked⁷⁶ “some...say that all the significant events in the world have been predetermined by the Trilateral Commission,” he said to laughter from the veteran attendees, however, “we don’t know who’s in, what they are saying!”

Interestingly, three reporters from NIKKEI Asia were invited to observe this 50th anniversary gathering of the Trilateral Commission, the first time that press has been allowed entry into the notoriously secretive meetings. The meeting began with Rahm Emanuel, the U.S. Ambassador to Japan, delivering his remarks in a speech titled, “Democracy vs. Autocracy: You are going to see 2022 as an Inflection Point in the Success of Democracy.”

Interestingly, it seems that the Asian delegates weren’t too impressed.

NIKKEI Asia reported: “...*the press has been invited to highlight a rift that may be emerging between Asia and the other wings of the organization. ‘We feel that the U.S. policy toward Asia, especially toward China, has been narrow-minded and unyielding. We want the people in the U.S. to recognize the various Asian perspectives,’ said Masahisa Ikeda, an executive*

committee member of the Trilateral Commission. Ikeda has been named the next director of the Asia Pacific Group [of the Trilateral Commission], and is scheduled to assume the position next spring.

...*A new sentiment has now emerged from the Asia Pacific Group: Without proper steering, the U.S.-China rivalry may lead the world into a dangerous confrontation.*” [emphasis added]

The U.S. Ambassador to Japan, Rahm Emanuel was quoted as saying while democracy is “sloppy” and “messy,” “the institutions of the democratic process, the political stability of the United States, NATO, the European countries, have held.”

However, there were many attendees who disagreed with Emanuel’s pro-U.S., pro-NATO, anti-China stance. “What is the ambassador saying?” a former Japanese official said on background. “We must engage China. If we force countries to choose sides, the Southeast Asian nations will choose China. The key is to not force them to choose,” he said.

“I feel very much embarrassed and disappointed to see the complete void of Chinese participation in this meeting,” said a former Japanese financial official. A veteran member from the Philippines agreed, saying there is no point talking about Asia without the participation of the region’s largest country and expressed concern about dividing the world into two camps. “When two elephants fight, the ants get trampled. And we’re feeling it. When two elephants fight to the death, we will all be dead. **And the question is: What for?**” [emphasis added]

A South Korean professor told Emanuel in the Q&A period that there are concerns in Asia about the zero-sum thinking in U.S. foreign policy toward China. “We have to develop some deliverable strategy to persuade and engage un-like-minded countries as well.”

NIKKEI Asia also reported “There were also members who noted how the liberal international order that Washington advocates is different from the original liberal order that was formed after World

⁷⁵ [A Crusade for Pan-Europe](#), by Cynthia Chung Jan. 11, 2023 on Substack/Through A Glass Darkly

⁷⁶ [Inside the Trilateral Commission: Power elites grapple with China's rise](#), by Ken Moriyasu, Mariko Kodaki, Nikkei staff

writers, and Shigesaburo Okumura, Nikkei Asia editor-in-chief Nov. 23, 2022 in Nikkie

War II. 'The original order, led by the U.S., sought a multifaceted extensive international system based on multilateral institutions and free trade among the democratic bloc,' a South Korean academic said. The Six Party Talks on North Korea's nuclear weapons was one such example of the original order, the academic said, noting that the U.S., China and Russia were all at the table.' [emphasis added]

The NIKKEI Asia report ended with a veteran of the Trilateral Commission – a former Philippine cabinet minister – who stated “Just in the past week, we edged toward a nuclear confrontation,” referring to the missile blast in Poland, that was initially suspected to be a Russian-made missile, but was more likely a Ukrainian air-defense missile that landed in NATO territory ‘by mistake.’ **“And we edged toward that because of the type of zero sum games that us elders are playing. Is this what you want for your future? You don’t want a situation in the future where everybody’s edging toward the cliff and being macho about it without realizing that this is a zero-sum game that could wipe out the planet. It is beyond climate change,”** the veteran said.

Japan’s “Shock Therapy” as a Response to the “Crisis of Democracy”

The Trilateral Commission is a non-governmental body, its members include elected and non-elected officials scattered throughout the world, ironically coming together to discuss how to address the “crisis of democracy” in the most undemocratic process possible. It is an organisation meant to uphold the “interests” of its members, regardless of who the people voted into political office.

On Nov 9th, 1978, Trilateral Commission member Paul Volcker (Federal Reserve Chairman from 1979-1987) would affirm at a lecture delivered at Warwick University in England: **“A controlled disintegration in the world economy is a legitimate object for the 1980s.”** However, it would no longer be called by such a name, but rather as a “managed integration.”[1*] This is also the ideology that has shaped Milton Friedman’s “Shock Therapy”. By the time of Jimmy Carter’s Administration, the majority of the

government was being run by members of the Trilateral Commission.

In 1975 the CFR launched a public study of global policy titled the 1980’s Project. The general theme was “controlled disintegration” of the world economy, and the report did not attempt to hide the famine, social chaos, and death its policy would bring upon most of the world’s population.

The study explained that the world financial and economic system needed a complete overhaul according to which key sectors such as energy, credit allocation and food would be placed under the direction of a single global administration. The objective of this reorganization would be the replacement of sovereign nation states (using the League of Nations model).

This is precisely and demonstrably what has occurred to Japan’s economy over the past four decades, as showcased in the *Princes of Yen*⁷⁷ documentary based off of Richard Werner’s book by the same title. As Werner demonstrates, Japan’s economy was purposefully put through multiple economic crises throughout the 80s and 90s in order to push through massive structural reform despite their economy having been one of the world’s top performing before foreign tampering.


As Werner insightfully remarked, the best way to have a crisis is to manufacture a bubble, that way, nobody will stop you.

To understand the incredible significance of this, we will need a quick review of what occurred to Japan’s economy over a 40-year period.

Japan’s Offering to the Gods on the Altar of “Free Trade”

By the 1980s, Japan was the second biggest economy in the world next to the United States and was a leader in the manufacturing of consumer technology products to the West, including the United States. Due to Japan’s investment in automation tools and processes, Japan was able to produce products faster and cheaper than the United States that were also superior in quality.

⁷⁷ [Princes of the Yen](#) | Documentary Film by Independent POV on Nov. 4, 2014

Figure 1: Performance of the Nikkei 225 Stock Market Index (¥) and TOPIX Index

Source: Bloomberg.

One of the examples of this was competition between the two in the memory chip DRAM market. In 1985, there was a recession in the United States in the computer market, resulting in the biggest crash in over ten years for Intel. Complaints from certain quarters in the United States began criticizing Japan for “predatory” and “unfair” trade practices despite the recession in 1985 being a demand problem and not a competition problem.

Long story short, President Reagan, who was supposed to be all about free markets, in the spring of 1986 forced the U.S.-Japan Semiconductor Agreement with METI (Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry in Japan).

Part of the conditionalities of this agreement were that the American semiconductor share in the Japanese market be increased to a target of 20-30% in five years, that every Japanese firm stop its “dumping” into the American market and the Americans wanted a separate monitoring body to help enforce all of this.

No surprise here, the Japanese companies refused to do this and METI had no way of forcing them to do so.

President Reagan responded by imposing a 100% tariff on \$300 million worth of Japanese goods in April 1987. Combined with the 1985 Plaza Agreement which revalued the Japanese Yen the U.S.-Japan Semiconductor Agreement gave the U.S. memory market the extra boost it needed. (for more details on story of how the U.S. tampered with the Japanese semiconductor market refer to ‘Why the United States Has Set Itself Up for Failure in the Semiconductor Race for Military Supremacy’⁷⁸).

The Plaza Accord was signed in 1985 by Japan, Germany, France, Britain and the United States. The agreement depreciated the United States Dollar against the Japanese Yen and the German Deutsche Mark in an effort to improve the competitiveness of American exports. How very “free market”!!! (Refer here for the story of De Gaulle and Adenauer’s attempt to form the European Monetary System⁷⁹ which was sabotaged by Anglo-America). Over the next two years after the signing of the Plaza Accord, the dollar lost 51% of its value against the yen. Japan entered the Plaza Accord to avoid having its goods tariffed and locked out of the American market.

⁷⁸ [Why the United States Has Set Itself Up for Failure in the Semiconductor Race for Military Supremacy](#), by Cynthia Chung Sept. 18, 2022 on Substack/Through A Glass Darkly

⁷⁹ [The Sword of Damocles over Western Europe](#), by Cynthia Chung Sept. 14, 2022 on Substack/Through A Glass Darkly

The Yen's appreciation plunged the Japanese manufacturing sector into recession. In response to this, the Bank of Japan loosened monetary lending policies and lowered interest rates. This cheap money was supposed to be funneled into productive efforts. Instead, it went into stocks, real estate, and asset speculation. This is when Japanese real estate and stocks reached their peak price level.

Between 1985 and 1989, stocks rose in Japan by 240% and land prices by 245%. By the end of the 80s the value of the garden surrounding the Imperial Palace in central Tokyo was worth as much as the entire state of California.

Although Japan is only 1/26th of the size of the United States its land was valued at four times greater. The market value of a single one of Tokyo's 23 districts, the Central Chiyoda Ward exceeded the value of the whole of Canada.

With asset and stock prices rising inexorably even traditional manufacturers could not resist the temptation to try their hand at playing the markets. Soon they expanded their finance and treasury divisions to handle the speculation themselves. The frenzy reached such proportions that many leading manufacturers, such as the car maker Nissan, made more money through speculative investments than through manufacturing cars.

The *Princes of Yen* documentary explains: “Many credited the boom in Japan’s economy to high and rising productivity. In reality, Japan’s stellar performance in the 1980s had little to do with management techniques. Instead of being used to limit and direct credit, window guidance was used to create a giant bubble. **It was the Bank of Japan who had forced the banks to increasing their lending by so much. The Bank of Japan knew that the only way for banks to fulfill their loan quotas was for them to expand non-productive lending.**”

Between 1986 and 1989, Toshihiko Fukui was the head of the Banking Department at the Bank of Japan and would later become the 29th Governor of the Bank of Japan. This was the department that was responsible for the window guidance quotas.

When Fukui was asked by a journalist “Borrowing is expanding fast, don’t you have any intention of closing the tap of bank loans?” Fukui replied “*Because*

the consistent policy of monetary easing continues, quantity control of bank loans would imply a self-contradiction. Therefore, we do not intend to implement quantitative tightening. With structural adjustment of the economy going on for quite a long period, the international imbalances are being addressed. The monetary policy supports this, thus we have the responsibility to continue the monetary easing policy as long as possible. Therefore, it is natural for bank loans to expand.”

In Japan, total private sector land wealth rose from 14.2 trillion yen in 1969, to 2000 trillion yen in 1989.

The *Princes of Yen* documentary reported: “At his first press conference as the 26th governor of the Bank of Japan, in 1989, Yasushi Mieno said that ‘Since the previous policy of monetary easing had caused the land price rise problems, real estate-related lending would now be restricted.’ Mieno was hailed as a hero in the press to put a stop to this silly monetary policy that was responsible for the increasing gap between the rich and the poor. However, Mieno was deputy governor [of the Bank of Japan] during the bubble era, and he was in charge of creating the bubble.

All of a sudden land and asset prices stop rising. In 1990 alone, the stock market dropped by 32%. Then in July 1991, window guidance was abolished. As banks realised that the majority of the 99 trillion yen in bubble loans were likely to turn sour, they became so fearful that they not only stopped lending to speculators, but also restricted loans to everyone else. More than 5 million Japanese lost their jobs and did not find employment elsewhere. Suicide became the leading cause of death for men between the ages of 20 and 44.

Between 1990 and 2003, 212,000 companies went bankrupt. In the same period, the stock market dropped by 80%. Land prices in the major cities fell by up to 84%. Meanwhile, the Governor of the Bank of Japan, Yasushi Mieno, said that ‘Thanks to this recession, everyone is becoming conscious of the need to implement economic transformation’.”

Between 1992 and 2002, ten stimulation packages worth 146 trillion yen were issued. The thought was domestic demand had to be boosted by government spending and then loan demand would also rise. For a

decade the government executed this approach, boosting government debt to historic levels.

Richard Werner remarked *“The government was spending with the right hand, putting money into the economy, but the fundraising was done through the bond market, and therefore it took the same money out of the economy with the left hand. There was no increase in total purchasing power, and that’s why the government spending couldn’t have an impact.”*

By 2011, Japan’s government debt would reach 230% of GDP, the highest in the world. The Ministry of Finance was running out of options. Observers began to blame the Ministry of Finance (despite the clear sabotage by the Bank of Japan’s actions) for the recession, and started to listen to the voices that argued that the recession was due to Japan’s economic system.

In Japan, the authorities and the Bank of Japan argued, as did the Western powers almost two decades later, that the taxpayer should foot the bill. However, taxpayers have not been responsible for the banks problems, therefore, such policies have created a moral hazard (a moral hazard is a situation where an economic actor has an incentive to increase its exposure to risk because it does not bear the full costs of that risk).

According to the *Princes of Yen* documentary, Finance Minister Masajuro Shiokawa had turned to the Bank of Japan asking it to help stop deflation, or fight deflation at least. The Bank of Japan consistently defied calls by the government, by the Finance Minister and the Prime Minister of Japan, to create more money to stimulate the economy and end the long recession. At times the Bank of Japan even actively reduced the amount of money circulating in the economy, which worsened the recession. The Bank of Japan’s arguments always came to the same conclusion, namely that the **blame lay in Japan’s economic structure**.

It should also be noted that a whole generation of Japan’s economists were sent to the United States to receive PhDs and MBAs in U.S. style economics. Since neoclassical economics assumes that there is

only one type of economic system, namely, unmitigated free markets, where shareholders and central bankers rule supreme, many Japanese economists quickly came to regurgitate the arguments of U.S. economists.

By the late 1990s, Japan’s economy was heading for the rocks. Ira Shapiro who worked as a U.S. ‘negotiator’ of U.S.-Japan talks during this period stated *“Primary sector deregulation is needed to overcome the entrenched interests of large insurance companies, life and non-life, and the Ministry of Finance bureaucracy.”*

On Shapiro’s Federalist Society biography page, he is described⁸⁰ as playing “a central role in the negotiation and legislative approval of the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) and the multilateral Uruguay Round that created the World Trade Organization and the current trade rules.”

These U.S.-Japan talks needed to reach an agreement by a deadline decided by the United States. If no agreement were met after the declared deadline, then the U.S. had threatened to impose trade sanctions.

Richard Werner clarified what would be the consequences of Shapiro’s demands to the Japanese; that securitisation of the real estate was being pushed however, in order to have meaningful securitisation **we need deregulation**, and to get deregulation you have to reduce the power of the Ministry of Finance. This in turn would allow the Bank of Japan, who was under the purview of the Ministry of Finance, to gain power.

From the mid 1990s onwards the Government began to dismantle much of the power structure of the Ministry of Finance. The Bank of Japan, on the other hand, saw its influence grow significantly. The Bank of Japan was cut loose from the Ministry of Finance pretty much making it independent.

Soon after his retirement from the position of governor of the Bank of Japan in 1994, Mieno embarked on a campaign, giving speeches to various associations and interest groups. He lobbied for a change in the Bank of Japan law. His line of argument was to subtly suggest that the Ministry of Finance had pushed the

⁸⁰ [Ira Shapiro](#), President, Ira Shapiro Global Strategies, LLC at the Federalist Society

Bank of Japan into the wrong policies. To avoid such problems in the future, the Bank of Japan had to be given full legal independence.

In 1998 monetary policy was put into the hands of the newly independent Bank of Japan.

In early 2001, a new type of politician was swept into power. Junichiro Koizumi became the Prime Minister of Japan. In terms of his popularity and his policies he is often compared to Margaret Thatcher and Ronald Reagan. His message was simply: no recovery without structural reform.

Princes of Yen remarked: “During 2001, the message of no economic growth without structural reform had been broadcast on an almost daily basis on the nation’s TV screens. Japan was shifting its economic system to a U.S. style market economy, **and that also meant that the centre of the economy was being moved from banks to stock markets. To entice depositors to pull their money out of banks and into the risky stock market, reformers withdrew the guarantee on all bank deposits, while creating tax incentives for stock investments.**

As U.S. style shareholder capitalism spread, unemployment rose significantly, income and wealth disparities rose, as did suicides and incidents of violent crime. Then, in 2002, the Bank of Japan strengthened its efforts to worsen bank balance sheets and force banks to foreclose on their borrowers...Heizo Takenaka [the new Minister for Financial Services] was supportive of the Bank of Japan’s plan to increase foreclosures of borrowers...Takuro Morinaga, a well-known economist in Tokyo, argued forcefully that the Bank of Japan inspired proposal by Takenaka would not have many indigenous beneficiaries, **but instead would mainly benefit U.S. vulture funds specialising in the purchase of distressed assets...**[When Toshihiko] Fukui’s support for the bankruptcy plan was voiced... [he] was an adviser of the Wall Street investment firm Goldman Sachs, one of the largest operators of vulture funds in the world.”

Richard Werner remarked: “Mr. [Toshihiko] Fukui [29th Governor of the Bank of Japan], and also his mentor Mr. [Yasushi] Mieno [26th Governor of the Bank of Japan], and his mentor Mr. [Haruo] Maekawa [24th Governor of the Bank of Japan], and you’ve guessed it, these are some of the Princes of the Yen that the book is all about. They have said on the record in the 80s and the 90s, **‘What is the goal of monetary policy? It is to change the economic structure.’** Now how do you do that? Well, you need a crisis. They made a crisis in order to change the economic structure.”

The department responsible for the window guidance quotas at the Bank of Japan, was called the Banking Department. The man at the head of this from ‘1986 to ‘1989, was Toshihiko Fukui. Mr. Fukui thus directly helped create the bubble. When Fukui had become governor of the Bank of Japan, he would say “While destroying the high-growth model, I am building a model that suits the new era.”

Richard Werner remarked: “They have succeeded on all counts. If you look at the list of their goals, destroy the Ministry of Finance, break it up, get an independent supervisory agency, reach independence for the Bank of Japan itself by changing the Bank of Japan law, and engineer deep structural changes in the economy, by shifting from manufacturing to services, opening up, deregulating, liberalising, privatising, the whole lot.”

End note

1*. Although Volcker would shy away from the continued use of “controlled disintegration” in this speech⁸¹, encouraging rather to call it “managed integration” the two are effectively the same and lead to the same goal, that is to a League of Nations (more on this in part 2⁸² of this series.) In his speech Volcker stated: “To me, the charge to find a crisis-free system could not be satisfied. The passage of time has not altered the judgment. In an open-system, the external constraint is there. If ignored for long, a crisis will develop. **But a crisis can also be therapeutic - it**

⁸¹ [The Political Economy of the Dollar](#), by Paul Volcker, President, Federal Reserve Bank, NY on Nov. 9, 1978, FRBNY Quarterly Review/ Winter 1978-79
f

⁸² [Why Shinzo Abe Was Assassinated: Towards a ‘United States of Europe’ and a League of Nations](#), by Cynthia Chung Feb. 8, 2023 on Substack/Through A Glass Darkly

*forces a response....The problems in reaching that limited agreement provided ample warning of the inherent difficulty of reconciling the varied objectives of different countries when no single participant felt itself strong enough to, in effect, **take the risks of underwriting the system**. In retrospect, it still seems a remarkable achievement for the industrialized countries to have agreed together on a new grid of exchange rates...Moreover, there was no urge to settle unresolved disputes about the form and nature of convertibility obligations in a new monetary system in the heat of crisis. So, when the new rates came under attack in the market, the alternative of permitting the dollar to float for an indefinite period **no longer seemed so unthinkable a step**. The industrial countries were tired of trying to make a fixed exchange rate system work, at least without reaching fundamental agreement about the manner in which such a system would work." Hmmm, sounds a lot like a "controlled disintegration" theory after all. He goes on to say "I do not depart from the strong*

*consensus that we have, on a worldwide scale, no other practical choice than to work ahead within **the broad framework of a floating system - and that system offers the most promising framework for 'managing integration' as far ahead as we can now see**."* The floating system effectively removed the economic sovereignty of nation states which is precisely the goal of the League of Nations. So there you have it, Volcker acknowledges that there needed to be a crisis (he does not call it a manufactured crisis of course because that would be rightfully regarded as criminal), that there needed to be a crisis in order for them to push through the floating system on a world-scale, as Volcker said, that because they were in the heat of a crisis no-one was going to debate what was effectively the launching of a new monetary system, and with the floating system they now would have the tool they required for "managed integration." A "managed integration" towards what? A League of Nations.



As already discussed in the previous chapter, Japan has become the [ticking time bomb for the world economy](#)⁸³.

This is not an unexpected outcome for Japan but has been in the works for the last 50 years as a policy outlook of the Trilateral Commission (though is not limited to this institution). It is in fact the League of Nations' vision that has been on the wish list of those who began WWI in hopes that the world would accept a one world government of regionalisations in service to an empire. It is what orchestrated the Great Depression to again attempt an implementation of a League of Nations outlook through the rise of a "National Socialist" brand of fascism seen in Italy and Germany (which would not have been possible without an economic crisis). And it was what launched a Second World War in a desperate attempt to forcefully implement such a vision onto the world.

It has always been about obtaining a League of Nations organization for the world and those who have called themselves democrats have often found themselves in the same room as those who called themselves fascists in order to see such a vision through.

As Count Richard Coudenhove-Kalergi, the father of Pan-Europeanism (who happened to also be pro-fascist), wrote in his 1943 autobiography "A Crusade for Pan-Europe":

"The Anti-Fascists hated Hitler...yet they...paved the way to his successes. For these anti-Fascists succeeded in transforming Mussolini, Hitler's strongest enemy during the years of 1933 and 1934, into Hitler's strongest ally. I don't blame the Italian and Spanish anti-Fascists for their brave and very natural fight against their ruthless political enemies. But I blame the democratic politicians, especially in France...they treated Mussolini as an ally of Hitler till he became one."

According to Kalergi, and many other 'elites' of similar pedigree, it was an inevitability that a fascist Pan-European rule should occur, and Kalergi expressed his clear disdain for anti-fascist and democratic resistance to this 'inevitability'. From Kalergi's standpoint, because of the anti-fascist and democratic resistance to a more 'peaceful' transference to fascism, they had created a situation where fascism would have to be imposed on them with violent force. It was a tragedy in the eyes of Kalergi that could have been avoided if these countries had simply accepted fascism on 'democratic' terms.

Count Richard Coudenhove-Kalergi would write in his other autobiography "An Idea Conquers the World":

"The use of mass hypnotism for propaganda purposes is most successful at times of crisis. When National Socialism made its bid for power, millions of

⁸³ [Japan's economy: the world's ticking time bomb](#), By Alex Krainer Jan. 19, 2023 on Substack/ Alex Krainer's TrendCompass

Germans had been thrown completely off their balance: middle-class families had sunk to the level of the proletariat, whilst working-class families were without work. The Third Reich became the last hope for the stranded, of those who had lost their social status, and of those rootless beings who were seeking a new basis for an existence that had become meaningless...

The economic background of the Hitler movement becomes apparent when one recalls that Hitler's two revolutions coincided with Germany's two great economic crises: the inflation of 1923 and the recession of the early 1930s, with its wave of unemployment. During the six intervening years, which were relatively prosperous for Germany, the Hitler movement was virtually non-existent. [emphasis added]

The father of Pan-Europeanism and spiritual father of the European Union, Count Richard Coudenhove-Kalergi, often spoke well⁸⁴ of Austrian and Italian fascism and even Catholic fascism, and thus the above quote by him takes on another layer of eeriness. Kalergi acknowledges that Hitler's rise would not have been possible if there had not been two periods of extreme economic crisis for Germany. The question is, were these crises organic in their occurrence or rather engineered?

In Kalergi's 1954 autobiography "An Idea Conquers the World," he writes: "*there is not doubt that Hitler's popularity rested mainly on the fanatical struggle which he waged against the Versailles Treaty.*"

If we look at the political ecosystem Kalergi was navigating in, we get some hints to such a question, which included such men as Max Warburg, Baron Louis Rothschild, Herbert Hoover, Secretary of State Frank Kellogg, Owen D. Young, Bernard Baruch, Walter Lippmann, Colonel House, General Tasker Bliss, Hamilton Fish, Armstrong, Thomas Lamont, Justice Hughes. All of these men are named by Kalergi directly as his support base in the United States in his autobiography. They were adamantly supportive of

Kalergi's Pan-Europeanism, aka a "United States of Europe," were staunch supporters of a League of Nations vision and were architects within the Paris Peace Conference (1919-1920) which was responsible for the Treaty of Versailles which launched Germany into its first wave of extreme economic crisis.

In the previous chapter, "Is Japan Willing to Cut its Own Throat in Sacrifice to the U.S. Pivot to Asia?"⁸⁵ I discussed how this is the very goal of the Trilateral Commission, to create economic crises in order to push through extreme structural reforms.

Financial analyst and historian Alex Krainer writes⁸⁶:

"The [Trilateral] commission was co-founded in July of 1973 by David Rockefeller, Zbigniew Brzezinski and a group of American, European and Japanese bankers, public officials and academics including Alan Greenspan and Paul Volcker. It was set up to foster close cooperation among nations that constituted the three-block architecture of today's western empire. That 'close cooperation' was intended as the very foundation of the empire's 'three block agenda,' as formulated by the stewards of the undead British Empire."

Its formation would be organised by Britain's hand in America, the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR), (aka: the offspring of the Royal Institute for International Affairs⁸⁷, the leading think tank for the British Crown).

On Nov 9th, 1978, Trilateral Commission member Paul Volcker (Federal Reserve Chairman from 1979-1987) would affirm at a lecture delivered at Warwick University in England: "**A controlled disintegration in the world economy is a legitimate object for the 1980s.**" However, it would no longer be called by such a name, but rather as a "managed integration."^[1*] This is also the ideology that has shaped Milton Friedman's "Shock Therapy". By the time of Jimmy Carter's Administration, the majority of the government was being run by members of the Trilateral Commission.

⁸⁴ [A Crusade for Pan-Europe](#), by Cynthia Chung Jan. 11, 2023 on Substack/Through A Glass Darkly

⁸⁵ [Is Japan Willing to Cut Its Own Throat in Sacrifice to the U.S. Pivot to Asia?](#), by Cynthia Chung Feb. 6, 2023 on Substack/Through A Glass Darkly

⁸⁶ [Huge new cracks appear in the empire's edifice](#), By Alex Krainer Nov. 30, 2022 on Substack/ Alex Krainer's TrendCompass

⁸⁷ [The Origins of the Deep State in North America Part I: The Round Table Movement](#), By Matt Ehret on Jan. 27, 2022 at The Canadian Patriot

In 1975 the CFR launched a public study of global policy titled the 1980's Project. The general theme was "controlled disintegration" of the world economy, and the report did not attempt to hide the famine, social chaos, and death its policy would bring upon most of the world's population.

This is precisely what Japan has been undergoing, and which economist Richard Werner demonstrated in his book *Princes of Yen*, to which a documentary by the same name was made. That Japan's economy was put through a manufactured bubble in order to create an economic crisis that would then justify the need for extreme structural reform.

We will now briefly discuss how the United States, the Tiger Economies and Europe have also been put through the same process of manufactured economic crises and what this means for the world today, what has been the consequence for Europe in following a "United States of Europe" model and how does the one world government model of a League of Nations differ from the multipolar framework made up of sovereign nation states. I will conclude this paper with remarks on why Shinzo Abe was assassinated.

Colonialism 2.0: The Asian Economic Crisis of the Tiger Economies

Japan was not the only high-performance economy in Asia that in the 1990s found itself in the deepest recession since the Great Depression. In 1997, the currencies of the Southeast Asian Tiger Economies could not maintain a fixed exchange rate with the U.S. dollar. They collapsed by between 60-80% within a year.

The causes for this crash went as far back as 1993. In that year, the Asian Tiger Economies – South Korea, Thailand, Indonesia – implemented a policy of aggressive deregulation of their capital accounts and the establishment of international banking facilities, which enabled the corporate and banking sectors to borrow liberally from abroad, the first time in the postwar era that borrowers could do so. In reality, there was no need for the Asian Tiger Economies to borrow money from abroad. All the money necessary for domestic investment could be created at home.

The *Princes of Yen* documentary remarks:

"Indeed the pressure to liberalise capital flows came from outside. Since the early 1990s, the IMF, the World Trade Organization and the U.S. Treasury had been lobbying these countries to allow domestic firms to borrow from abroad. They argued that neoclassical economics had proven that free markets and free capital movement increased economic growth.

Once the capital accounts had been deregulated, the central banks set about creating irresistible incentives for domestic firms to borrow from abroad by making it more expensive to borrow in their own domestic currencies than it was to borrow in U.S. dollars.

The central banks emphasised in their public statements that they would maintain fixed exchange rates with the U.S. dollar, so that borrowers did not have to worry about paying back more in their domestic currencies than they had originally borrowed. Banks were ordered to increase lending. But they were faced with less loan demand from the productive sectors of the economy, because these firms had been given incentives to borrow from abroad instead. They therefore had to resort to increasing their lending to higher-risk borrowers.

Imports began to shrink, because the central banks had agreed to peg their currencies to the U.S. dollar. The economies became less competitive, but their current-account balance was maintained due to the foreign issued loans, which count as exports in the balance-of-payments statistics. When speculators began to sell the Thai baht, the Korean won and the Indonesian rupee, the respective central banks responded with futile attempts to maintain the peg until they had squandered virtually all of their foreign exchange reserves. This gave foreign lenders ample opportunity to withdraw their money at the overvalued exchange rates.

The central banks knew that if the countries ran out of foreign exchange reserves, they would have to call in the IMF to avoid default. And once the IMF came in, the central banks knew what this Washington-based institution would demand, for its demands in such cases had been the same for the previous three decades: the central banks would be made independent [and subservient to the IMF diktat].

On the 16th of July the Thai Finance Minister took a plane to Tokyo to ask Japan for a bailout. At the time

Japan had USD \$213 billion in foreign exchange reserves, more than the total resources of the IMF. They were willing to help but Washington stopped Japan's initiative. Any solution to the emerging Asian Crisis had to come from Washington via the IMF.

After two months of speculative attacks the Thai government floated the baht.

The IMF to date has promised almost \$120 billion USD to the embattled economies of Thailand, Indonesia and South Korea. Immediately upon arrival in the crisis-stricken countries, the IMF teams set up offices inside the central banks, from where they dictated what amounted to terms of surrender. The IMF demanded a string of policies, including curbs on central bank and bank credit creation, major legal changes and sharp rises in interest rates. As interest rates rose, high risk borrowers began to default on their loans.

Burdened with large amounts of bad debts, the banking systems of Thailand, Korea, and Indonesia were virtually bankrupt. Even otherwise healthy firms started to suffer from the widening credit crunch. Corporate bankruptcies soared. Unemployment rose to the highest levels since the 1930s."

The IMF knew well what the consequences of its policies would be. In the Korean case, they even had detailed but undisclosed studies prepared, that had calculated just how many Korean companies would go bankrupt if interest rates were to rise by five percentage points. The IMF's first agreement with Korea demanded a rise of exactly five percentage points in interest rates.

Richard Werner stated in an interview: *"The IMF policies are clearly not aimed at creating economic recoveries in the Asian countries. They pursue quite a different agenda and that is to change the economic, political and social systems in those countries. In fact, the IMF deals prevent the countries concerned, like Korea, Thailand, to reflate."*

Interviewer: *"Interesting. So you're saying it's making the crisis worse and you're suggesting that the IMF has a hidden agenda?"*

Richard Werner responded: *"Well, it's not very hidden this agenda because the IMF quite clearly demands*

that the Asian countries concerned have to change the laws so that foreign interests can buy anything from banks to land. And in fact, the banking systems can only be recapitalised, according to the IMF deals, by using foreign money which is not necessary at all, because as long as these countries have central banks, they could just print money and recapitalise the banking systems. You don't need foreign money for that. So the agenda is clearly to crack open Asia for foreign interests."

The IMF demanded that troubled banks not be bailed out, but instead closed down and sold off cheaply as distressed assets, often to large U.S. investment banks. **In most cases the IMF-dictated-letters-of-intent explicitly stated that the banks had to be sold to foreign investors.**

In Asia, government organised bailouts to keep ailing financial institutions alive were not allowed. But when a similar crisis struck back home in America a year later, the very same institutions reacted differently.

The *Princes of Yen* documentary remarks:

"The Connecticut based hedge fund Long-Term Capital Management, which accepted as clients only high-net-worth individual investors and institutions, had leveraged its \$5 billion USD in client capital, by more than 25 times, borrowing more than \$100 billion USD from the world's banks. When its losses had threatened to undermine the banks that had lent to it, with the possibility of a systemic banking crisis that would endanger the U.S. financial system and economy, the Federal Reserve organised a cartel-like bailout by leaning on Wall Street and international banks to contribute funds so that it could avoid default.

Why would the United States make demands on foreign nations in the name of the free market, when it has no intention of enforcing the same rules within its own borders?

The examples of the Japanese and Asian crises illustrate how crises can be engineered to facilitate the redistribution of economic ownership, and to implement legal, structural and political change."

The reason why the Asian banks were forbidden to be saved, was so there could be a foreign buy-out of these Asian economies. Who needed the British East-India

Co. when you now had the IMF ensuring the empire's colonial objectives?

The IMF and Trilateral Commission's "not so Hidden" Agenda

The IMF has clearly set its sights on a western banking take-over of Asia, but what was the "agenda" for Europe and the United States who were located within this sphere of influence? Were they destined to benefit from the plunders of the empire?

The short answer to this, which should be evident by now, is no.

The manufactured crises in the United States and Europe were to further centralise power amongst an ever smaller grouping and clearly not for the benefit of the people, or shall we say subjects of the land, who happen to be living in these regions.

Europe has particularly done a number on itself due to its adherence to a "United States of Europe" vision. Countries within the Euro currency bloc had forfeited their right to a national currency and handed this power to the European Central Bank (ECB), the most powerful and secretive of all central banks.

Under such a system, no European country has control over its own economy and is completely exposed to whatever the ECB decides.

Richard Werner remarked: *"They [ECB] have to focus more on credit creation rather than interest rates. The ECB has a lot to learn from its past mistakes, because basically I don't think it really watched credit creation carefully enough. Where in Spain, Ireland, we had massive credit expansion, under the watch of the ECB, interest rates are of course the same in the Eurozone, but the quantity of credit cycle is very different... There is one interest rate for the whole euro area but in 2002 the ECB told the Bundesbank [central bank of Germany] to reduce its credit creation by the biggest amount in its history and told the Irish central bank to print as much money as if there was no tomorrow. What do you expect is going to happen? Same interest rate. Is it the same growth? No. Recession in Germany, boom in Ireland. Which variable tells you that? Credit creation."*

From 2004 under the ECB's watch, bank credit growth in Ireland, Greece, Portugal and Spain increased by over 20% per annum and property prices sky-rocketed. When bank credit fell, property prices collapsed, developers went bankrupt and the banking systems of Ireland, Portugal, Spain and Greece became insolvent.

The *Princes of Yen* documentary remarks:

"The ECB could have prevented these bubbles just as it could have ended the ensuing banking and economic crises. But it refused to do so until major political concessions had been made, such as the transfer of fiscal and budgeting powers from each sovereign state to the European Union."

In both Spain and Greece, youth unemployment has been pushed up to 50%, forcing many youths to seek employment abroad. The deliberations of the ECB's decision-making bodies are secret. The mere attempt at influencing the ECB, for instance through democratic debate and discussion, is forbidden according to the Maastricht Treaty⁸⁸.

The ECB is an international organisation that is above and outside the laws of jurisdictions of any individual nation. Its senior staff carry diplomatic passports and the files and documents inside the European Central Bank cannot be searched or impounded by any police force or public prosecutor.

The European Commission, an unelected group whose aim is to build a 'United States of Europe', with all the trappings of a unified state has an interest in weakening individual governments and the influence of the democratic parliaments of Europe. It turns out that the evidence for central-bank independence that was relied upon in the Maastricht Treaty derived from a single study that was commissioned by none other than the European Commission itself."

⁸⁸ [Treaty on European Union \(TEU\) / Maastricht Treaty](#)



The Pan European Movement held strange ties to the “liberal revolutionaries” of the Austrian School’s Mont Pelerin Society: Top row: Count Richard Coudenhove-Kalergi and his 1923 Manifesto, Archduke Otto von Hapsburg, Bottom row: Nazi geopolitician Karl Haushofer, Mussolini, Hjalmar Schacht, Walter Lippmann, and financier Max Warburg

The Fascist Roots of the ‘United States of Europe’

On February 15th, 1930, Churchill published in *The Saturday Evening* an article titled “**The United States of Europe**,” where he wrote⁸⁹:

“...The resuscitation of the Pan-European idea is largely identified with Count Coudenhove-Kalergi...*The League of Nations, from which the United States have so imprudently – considering their vast and increasing interests – absented themselves, has perforce become in fact, if not in form, primarily a European institution.* Count Coudenhove-Kalergi proposes to concentrate European forces, interests and sentiments in a single branch which, if it grew, would become the trunk itself, and thus acquire obvious predominance. For think how mighty Europe is, but for its divisions! Let Russia slide back, as Count Kalergi proposes, and as

it is already so largely a fact, into Asia. *Let the British Empire, excluded in his plan, realize its own world-spread ideal, even so, the mass of Europe, once united, once federalized or partially federalized, once continentally self-conscious-Europe, with its African and Asiatic possessions and plantations, would constitute an organism beyond compare.*” [emphasis added]

In Count Richard Coudenhove-Kalergi’s “An Idea Conquers the World” he writes⁹⁰:

“I discovered to my surprise that the feeling of European consciousness had first shown itself during the Crusades. *After the fall of the Roman Empire the Crusades represented the most vigorous display of European solidarity. For a time, feuds between kings, princes and cities were submerged in a common cause...Finally, in 1834, Mazzini founded Young Europe, a movement designed to coordinate*

⁸⁹ [The United States of Europe](#), by Winston Churchill Feb. 15, 1930 in *The Saturday Evening* (pg. 25)

⁹⁰ [An Idea Conquers the World](#), Book written by Count Richard Coudenhove-Kalergi

all existing revolutionary movements with a view to building up a new and united Europe on a basis of nationalism and democracy.” [emphasis added]

In his 1943 autobiography, Kalergi further expands on his theme of the Crusader of Pan-Europe:^[3]

“I chose the sign of the red cross superimposed on a



Upper left: Original 1923 flag for the Pan-European Union designed by Coudenhove-Kalergi which featured the Templar cross. At top right: a modified update of the Pan European flag (date unknown) and bottom left: The final version of the design as seen on today's European Union

Interestingly Kalergi would write that Giuseppe Mazzini who Kalergi considered the most modern organizer towards a “united Europe on a basis of nationalism and democracy” was also considered the ⁹¹forerunner of fascism in Italy. Kalergi writes:^[2]

“Fascism at that time [in Italy] had not yet broken with parliamentarism and democracy. The new Italian government was a government of coalition; it respected the principle of constitutional monarchy, pretending only to give it new vigor and authority. It appealed to the heroic instincts of youth, to the spirit of sacrifice and of idealism. It tried to restore the respect for religious values and the glorious traditions of ancient Rome. It hailed the memory of Mazzini as a forerunner of Fascism.” [emphasis added]

The theme of the Crusaders would be central to Kalergi's idea for a Pan-Europe, to which he even incorporated the symbol of the Crusaders within his flag for the Pan-European cause.

golden sun as the emblem of our movement. The red cross, which had been the flag of the medieval crusaders, seemed the oldest known symbol of supra-national European brotherhood. In more recent times it has also gained recognition as a symbol of international relief work. The sun was chosen to represent the achievements of European culture in helping to illuminate the world. Thus, Hellenism and Christianity – the cross of Christ and the sun of Apollo – figured side by side as the twin enduring pillars of European civilization.” [emphasis added]

This idea of a “United States of Europe”, Kalergi's “Pan-Europe” vision was a clever and dishonest play on words. The United States had originally existed in the form of 13 colonies beholden to the British Empire. However, when the United States maneuvered for independence from the British Empire by organizing itself into a sovereign nation state, the founding fathers unified the new republic around a system of Hamiltonian banking⁹². This

⁹¹ [Giuseppe Mazzini](#), Britannica

⁹² [The Greater Eurasian Partnership Revives America's Forgotten Hamiltonian Tradition](#), by Matthew Ehret May 14, 2023 in the Rising Tide Foundation

innovation in political economy converted unpayable debts into a new system of federal credit, enacted federal protectionism to favor local industrial growth and vectored the banks around investments which improved the General Welfare.

Thus, the United States was able to form one currency and a national bank to facilitate trade which upheld the economic sovereignty of the newly created nation.

This Hamiltonian economic organization in turn influenced German economist Friedrich List's "The National System of Political Economy" which led to the *Zollverein*.⁹³ Germany at the time was also divided into regions like the United States (Germany had never really been a nation up until this point) and the *Zollverein* allowed for Germany to begin establishing itself as a sovereign nation state for the first time in history. Friedrich List had directly referred to the Hamiltonian economic system as his inspiration for Germany. This system had also influenced Sun Yat-sen the father of the Republic of China in his "The Three Principles of the People" which was a direct reference to Lincoln/Henry C. Carey's economic program⁹⁴ which itself was a continuation of Alexander Hamilton's economic principles. This was also revived in the form of American pro-Lincoln economists in Japan who helped organize the industrial growth program begun with the Meiji Restoration.

This is what the multi-polar framework is continuing, the defense and growth of sovereign nation-states. Yes, there is regional cooperation. You need regional cooperation for big infrastructure projects, such as rail, that will involve numerous nations. But regional cooperation should not be confused with a League of Nations vision and we can easily tell the difference between the two in terms of what is actually being proposed politically and economically.

In the case of the League of Nations, Pan-Europe, United States of Europe etc. etc. vision, it was the very opposite. It was to take power away from the sovereign nation-state framework and transform nations into vassal states subservient to systems of empire. That is, the "United States of Europe" was a

dishonest and misleading reference to the original 13 American colonies. It was dishonest because instead of promoting further national economic sovereignty, the nations within Europe were expected to remove their sovereignty and be beholden to a centralised control through a European Union (centralised political power) and European Central Bank (centralised economic power) and NATO (centralised military power). No country within Europe would have control over their political, economic or military destiny within such a stranglehold.

In order for the League of Nations vision to take-over, sovereign nation-states would have to be dismantled⁹⁵.

What the American and European economic crises have taught us is that the tax-payer will be made to pay for the increasing centralised take-over of what were once sovereign economies in order to empower a very small grouping of people, as the rights and welfare of average citizens are increasingly viewed as irrelevant.

Why Shinzo Abe was Assassinated

Former Prime Minister of Japan Shinzo Abe was assassinated July 8th, 2022, and though no longer in the position of Prime Minister of Japan at the time of his assassination (having served from 2006-2007 and 2012- September 16, 2020) he was the longest serving prime minister in Japanese history and continued to exert major influence on policy-shaping within Japan.

News of Abe's assassination was received around the world with an admixture of very strong emotion from both extremes. Some were horrified by his death and praised what he had done for Japan as something almost saintly. Others ecstatically celebrated his death, thinking no possible good could come from him due to his attempts to revive the dark side of Japan's imperial past and his public displays of tribute to the Japanese fascists from WWII. When the news was still fresh and the frenzy of confusion at its peak, many even blamed China for the orchestration of Abe's death, thinking they were clearly the ones to benefit from such an act.

⁹³ [‘The Special Relationship’: How the British Reconquered the United States and Established an Anglo-American Empire](#), by Cynthia Chung July 27, 2023 on Substack/Through A Glass Darkly

⁹⁴ [How to Save a Republic Part Two: Lincoln and the Greenbacks](#), By Matthew Ehret at the Rising Tide Foundation

⁹⁵ [‘The Empire on Which the Black Sun Never Set.’](#), book by Cynthia Chung (2022)

It is true that Abe had a very dangerous and destructive mission to restore Japan to its status as an imperialistic empire. He was a corrupt insider who pushed for the dangerous privatization of the Japanese government and increased the gap between the wealthy and middle-class citizens. However, it is also too simplistic as to celebrate his death as an absolute triumph. As we can clearly see seven months after Abe's assassination, Japan has not become more peaceful and ready for dialogue with its eastern partners but rather has become much more bellicose and stauncher in its cooperation with the increasingly war frenzied western demands. Japan has also greatly severed motion towards greater economic and political cooperation with Russia and China, which was still moving forward when Abe was alive.

It is also interesting to note that Abe was assassinated weeks before Pelosi's Circus Tour to Taiwan⁹⁶. Although Pelosi's provocation did not amount to any military confrontation, we cannot say that that was not its intention, nor that things could have played out very differently in terms of a military confrontation between China and the United States.

The reader should be reminded that in 2014, Japan had changed or "reinterpreted" its constitution which gave more powers to the Japan Self-Defense Forces, allowing them to "defend other allies" in case of war being declared upon them. The United States, of course, fully supported the move.

This "reinterpretation" of Japan's constitution effectively entered it into NATO.

In December 2022, Japan announced a new national security strategy. This new strategy would double defense spending.⁹⁷ Japan also plans to invest in counter-strike capabilities, including buying U.S. Tomahawk cruise missiles and developing its own weapons systems.

It was precisely Abe's grand vision of Japan returning to its "glory" days as an empire that was problematic for the League of Nations vision, for if Japan saw itself on par with other great empires, or perhaps even

greater, it meant that it did not ultimately intend to bend the knee. That is, Abe was not willing to sell off Japan as a satrapy, however, that was exactly what the western diktat was essentially demanding of Japan. Under this western diktat Japan was being ordered to accept its fate to collapse economically and sink into desperation, become increasingly militaristic and extremist and lead a kamikaze charge into a war with China and Russia which would lead to the ruination of the Japanese civilization. It does not look like Abe was going to go along with that stark vision for Japan.

Emanuel Pastreich wrote an insightful paper titled "The Assassination of Archduke Shinzo Abe," one could simply just read the title and it says it all. [The article is also under the title "When the Globalists Crossed the Rubicon: the Assassination of Shinzo Abe⁹⁸"]

Pastreich writes:

"[Abe]...was already the longest serving prime minister in Japanese history, and had plans for a third bid as prime minister, when he was struck down.

Needless to say, the powers behind the World Economic Forum do not want national leaders like Abe, even if they conform with the global agenda, because they are capable of organizing resistance within the nation state.

...In the case of Russia, Abe successfully negotiated a complex peace treaty with Russia in 2019 that would have normalized relations and solved the dispute concerning the Northern Territories (the Kuril Islands in Russian). He was able to secure energy contracts for Japanese firms and to find investment opportunities in Russia even as Washington ramped up the pressure on Tokyo for sanctions.

The journalist Tanaka Sakai notes that Abe was not banned from entering Russia after the Russian government banned all other representatives of the Japanese government from entry.

Abe also engaged China seriously, solidifying long-term institutional ties, and pursuing free trade

⁹⁶ [Is Pelosi's Trip to Taiwan the 'Pearl Harbour Moment' Jake Sullivan Called for?](#) by Cynthia Chung Aug. 1, 2022 on Substack/Through A Glass Darkly

⁹⁷ [Japan Moves to Double Military Spending, With a Wary Eye on China](#), New York Times, Dec. 16, 2022

⁹⁸ [When the Globalists Crossed the Rubicon: The Assassination of Shinzo Abe](#), By Emanuel Pastreich July 23, 2022 at Global Research

agreement negotiations that reached a breakthrough in the fifteenth round of talks (April 9-12, 2019). Abe had ready access to leading Chinese politicians and he was considered by them to be reliable and predictable, even though his rhetoric was harshly anti-Chinese.

The critical event that likely triggered the process leading to Abe's assassination was the NATO summit in Madrid (June 28-30).

The NATO summit was a moment when the hidden players behind the scenes laid down the law for the new global order. NATO is on a fast track to evolve beyond an alliance to defend Europe and to become an unaccountable military power, working with the Global Economic Forum, the billionaires and the bankers around the world, as a 'world army,' functioning much as the British East India Company did in another era.

The decision to invite to the NATO summit the leaders of Japan, South Korea, Australia and New Zealand was a critical part of this NATO transformation.

These four nations were invited to join in an unprecedented level of integration in security, including intelligence sharing (outsourcing to big tech multinationals), the use of advanced weapons systems (that must be administrated by the personnel of multinationals like Lockheed Martin), joint exercises (that set a precedent for an oppressive decision-making process), and other 'collaborative' approaches that undermine the chain of command within the nation state.

When Kishida returned to Tokyo on July first, there can be no doubt that one of his first meetings was with Abe. Kishida explained to Abe the impossible conditions that the Biden administration had demanded of Japan.

The White House, by the way, is now entirely the tool of globalists like Victoria Nuland (Under Secretary of State for Political Affairs) and others trained by the Bush clan.

The demands made of Japan were suicidal in nature. Japan was to increase economic sanctions on

Russia, to prepare for possible war with Russia, and to prepare for a war with China. Japan's military, intelligence and diplomatic functions were to be transferred to the emerging blob of private contractors gathering for the feast around NATO.

We do not know what Abe did during the week before his death. Most likely he launched into a sophisticated political play, using of all his assets in Washington D.C., Beijing, and Moscow—as well as in Jerusalem, Berlin, and London, to come up with a multi-tiered response that would give the world the impression that Japan was behind Biden all the way, while Japan sought out a détente with China and Russia through the back door.”

Let us be honest here, since the hot mess should be rather plain for everyone to see at this point; those who are in the position of pushing the IMF, NATO, World Economic Forum's disastrous policies are not the brains in the room. The embarrassment of less than two-month former UK Prime Minister Liz Truss, who did not even know Russian territory from Ukrainian territory, responding that she would never recognise Rostov and Voronezh as Russian⁹⁹, is just one of too many examples that are occurring on an almost daily basis. These are the perfect tools for such insane policies for this very reason, they do not understand what outcome they are ultimately pushing. They are absolutely clueless and thus expendable as the cardboard cut-outs that they are.

The reality of the situation is that no nation is expected to survive this stand-off.

It is not about the western bloc against the eastern bloc. It is about the ruination of all nations and the formation of one empire, or if you prefer the wording, one world government. Again, this is the League of Nations vision¹⁰⁰ that has been the wet dream of a very small grouping since the First World War.

It is not about western democracy or liberalism or western value systems. It is about, and has only ever been about the reinstitution of systems of empire. This is what the First World War was about, this was what the Second World War was about and it is what the Third World War is about.

⁹⁹ [Truss error is proof West doesn't understand Ukraine conflict: Kremlin](#), by Reuters Feb. 11, 2022

¹⁰⁰ [A Crusade for Pan-Europe](#), by Cynthia Chung Jan. 11, 2023 on Substack/Through A Glass Darkly

Interestingly, we again see Germany and Japan positioned next to the trip-wire that is ready to launch the globe into another full-blown world war. And guess what will be the fate of those two countries, Germany and Japan, who's automaton 'leadership' so foolishly think of themselves as included within the 'elite' grouping who will somehow survive after setting the world on fire, as they so foolishly made the mistake of thinking during the Second World War. They will see once again how expendable their people,

their civilization are to this 'elite' grouping they so desperately want to be accepted by.

One thing is for certain since Abe's assassination. Japan is moving ever more rapidly forward on a very dangerous path that threatens it to be once again on the wrong side of history. The question is, are Germany and Japan so foolish as to make the same mistake twice, for they should not assume they will survive such a reckoning a second time.

SECTION 3

KNOW YOUR ENEMY



A few years ago, very few people understood the concept behind color revolutions.

Had Russia and China's leadership not decided to unite in solidarity in 2012 when they began vetoing¹⁰¹ the overthrow of Bashar al Assad in Syria- followed by their alliance around the Belt and Road Initiative¹⁰², then it is doubtful that the color revolution concept would be as well-known as it has become today.

At that time, Russia and China realized that they had no choice but to go on the counter offensive, since the regime change operations and colour revolutions orchestrated by such organizations as the CIA-affiliated *National Endowment for Democracy* (NED) and *Soros Open Society Foundations* were ultimately designed to target them as those rose, orange, green or yellow revolution efforts in Georgia, Ukraine, Iran or Hong Kong were always recognized as weak points on the periphery of the threatened formation of a great power alliance of sovereign Eurasian nations that would have the collective power to challenge the

power of the Anglo-American elite based in London and Wall Street.

China at least had the wits to ban Soros and his armada of Open Society Foundations after a failed regime change attempt occurred in 1989 which was briefly outlined in the CP film 'How China Banned Soros in 1989' and will be unveiled in even greater depth in a special June 4th Tiananmen Square Anniversary special on my substack.

Russia's 2015 expulsion¹⁰³ of 12 major conduits of color revolution included Soros' Open Society Foundation as well as the NED was a powerful calling out of the enemy with the Foreign Ministry calling them "*a threat to the foundations of Russia's Constitutional order and national security*". This resulted in such fanatical calls by George Soros for a \$50 billion fund¹⁰⁴ to counteract Russia's interference in defense of Ukraine's democracy. Apparently the \$5 billion spent by the NED¹⁰⁵ in Ukraine was not nearly enough¹⁰⁶.

¹⁰¹ [Syria resolution vetoed by Russia and China at United Nations](#), by Paul Harris in New York, Martin Chulov, David Batty and Damien Pearse Feb. 4, 2012 for The Guardian

¹⁰² [BRI transforming world economic order](#), by Matt Ehret Apr. 25, 2019 in Asia Times

¹⁰³ [Why Russia Shut Down NED Fronts](#), By Robert Parry July 30, 2015 for Consortium News

¹⁰⁴ [Soros urges giving Ukraine \\$50 billion of aid to foil Russia](#), by Reuters Staff Jan. 8, 2015 in Reuters

¹⁰⁵ [President Barack Obama spent "\\$5 billion paying Ukrainians to riot and dismantle their democratically elected government."](#), By Katie Sanders March 19, 2014 for Politifact

¹⁰⁶ Undoubtedly President Trump's gutting of NED funding by two thirds in 2018 only re-enforced Soros' accusations that Putin is the guiding hand in America while pouring millions into anti-Trump regime change operations in America. While neocons such as Bolton, Pompeo and Senate leader Mitch McConnell took a hardline stance against China in support of the color revolution, it should be noted that Trump continuously took an opposite line Tweeting on August 14 that "China is not our problem" and that "the problem is with the FED".

In spite of the light falling upon these cockroaches, NED and Open Society operations continued in full force focusing on the weakest links the Grand Chessboard unleashing what has become known as a “strategy of tension”.

Venezuela, Kashmir, Kazakhstan, Bolivia, Belarus, Hungary, Georgia, Taiwan, Kazakhstan, Hong Kong, Tibet and Xinjiang (dubbed East Turkistan by NED) have all been targeted in recent years with millions of NED dollars pouring into separatist groups, labour unions, student movements and fake news “opinion shapers” under the guise of “democracy building”. \$1.7 million in grants was spent by NED in Hong Kong since 2017 which was a significant increase from their \$400,000 spent to coordinate the failed “Occupy HK” protest in 2014¹⁰⁷.

Some examples of NED-funded groups operational today include Spain-based human rights group Safeguard Defenders as outlined in the Canadian Patriot’s recent video¹⁰⁸ debunking ‘Secret Chinese Police Stations’, Hong Kong Apple Daily, whose owner Jimmy Lai bankrolled the violent HK protests (and who has now found himself in jail for acting as a foreign agent), and the World Uyghur Congress as proven brilliantly by analyst Brian Berletic¹⁰⁹. But there are literally thousands of groups on the NED payroll operating a global-assymetric warfare campaign against any government that doesn’t play along with “rules based international orderistas”.

Weeks after the military operations were launched in Ukraine, it was revealed that the National Endowment for Democracy erased all records of their years of work pouring money into Ukraine. In a March 7, 2022 report on Covert Action Magazine, Jeremy Kuzmarov wrote astutely that “the erasure of the NED’s records is necessary to validate the Biden administration’s big lie—echoed in the media—that the Russian invasion of Ukraine was “unprovoked.”¹¹⁰

The Case of China

After months of coordinated chaos throughout 2019 funded and directed by western intelligence agencies, the Chinese government remarkably restrained posture, allowing the Hong Kong authorities to manage the situation with their police deprived of use of lethal weapons and even giving into the protestors’ demand that the changes to the extradition treaty that nominally sparked this mess be annulled.

As violence continued to grow, and as it became an increasing reality that some form of intervention from the mainland may occur to restore order, the British Foreign Office took an aggressive tone threatening China with “severe consequences” unless “a fully independent investigation” into police Brutality were permitted. The former Colonial Governor of China Christopher Patten attacked China by saying “Since president Xi has been in office, there’s been a crackdown on dissent and dissidents everywhere, the party has been in control of everything”.

The Chinese Foreign Ministry responded saying “*the UK has no sovereign jurisdiction or right of supervision over Hong Kong... it is simply wrong for the British Government to exert pressure. The Chinese side seriously urges the UK to stop its interference in China’s internal affairs and stop making random and inflammatory accusations on Hong Kong.*”

The British (who control much of the Hong Kong economy to this day through drug bank HSBC’s printing of HK currency¹¹¹) were not able to conduct their manipulation of Hong Kong without the vital role of America’s NGO dirty ops, and in true imperial fashion, the political class from both sides of the aisle attacked China continuously throughout the color revolutionary fire of 2019.

When this tactic failed, resources were directed into promoting Uyghur separatism via the NED-funded World Uyghur Congress which has painted a false image that the Chinese government has been

¹⁰⁷ [Hong Kong’s “Occupy Central” is US-backed Sedition](#), by Tony Cartalucci, Oct. 1, 2014, NEO

¹⁰⁸ [Chinese ‘Secret Police Stations’... Fact Or Fiction?](#) [A Canadian Patriot Film], published on Bitchute

¹⁰⁹ [World Uyghur Congress](#) as proven brilliantly by analyst Brian Berletic on The New Atlas YouTube Channel

¹¹⁰ [National Endowment for Democracy Deletes Records of Funding Projects in Ukraine](#), by Jeremy Kuzmarov – Mar. 7, 2022, CovertAction Magazine

¹¹¹ [Where HSBC’s true allegiance lies](#), by Cynthia Chung, Jul. 21, 2021

committing genocide against its Muslim population of Xinjiang.

In the UK Column's recent show 'Nazi-linked Uighur propaganda managers in West's war against China'¹¹², the brilliant journalist Vanessa Beeley exposed the full scale of the anti-China operations managed by organizations directly tied to the most virulent western intelligence agencies with direct ties to Nazi intelligence.

And for anyone who still haven't figured out that the vast growth of population, longevity, literacy, skills, job opportunities and access to mosques by the people of Xinjiang over the past three decades directly contradicts the popular western narrative of "genocide", then I encourage you to check out my recent interview with Aziza on Truth Be Bold where we unpack that fraud¹¹³.

While both Britain and America have been caught red handed organizing these color revolution attempts, it is important to keep in mind who is controlling who.

The British Intelligence Origins of the NED

Contrary to popular opinion, the British Empire did not go away after WWII, nor did it hand over the "keys to the kingdom" to America. It didn't even become America's Junior Partner in a new Anglo-American special relationship. Contrary to popular belief, it stayed in the drivers' seat.

The post WWII order was largely shaped by a British coup which didn't take over America without a fight. Nests of Oxford-trained Rhodes Scholars, Fabians¹¹⁴ and other ideologues embedded within the American establishment had a lot of work ahead of them as they struggled to purge all nationalist impulses from the American intelligence community. While the most aggressive purging of patriotic Americans from the intelligence community occurred during the dissolution of the OSS and creation of CIA in 1947

and the Communist witch hunt that followed, there were other purges that were less well known.

As an organization which was beginning to take form which was to become known as the Trilateral Commission¹¹⁵ organized by Britain's "hand in America" called the *Council on Foreign Relations* and international Bilderberg Group, another purge occurred in 1970 under the direction of James Schlesinger during his six month stint as CIA director. At that time 1000 top CIA officials deemed "unfit" were fired. This was followed nine years later as another 800 were fired under a list drafted by CIA "spymaster" Ted Shackley¹¹⁶. Both Schlesinger and Shackley were high level Trilateral Commission members who took part in the group's 1973 formation and fully took power of America during Jimmy Carter's 1977-1981 presidency which unleashed a dystopian reorganization of American foreign and internal policy outlined in my previous report.

Project Democracy Takes Over

By the 1970s, the CIA's dirty hand funding anarchist operations both within America and abroad had become too well known as media coverage of their dirty operations at home and abroad spoiled the patriotic image which the intelligence community then desired. While the internal resistance to fascist behaviour from within the intelligence Community itself was dealt with through purges, the reality was that a new agency had to be created to take over those functions of covert destabilization of foreign governments.

What became *Project Democracy* herein originated with a Trilateral Commission meeting in May 31, 1975 in Kyoto Japan as a protégé of Trilateral Commission director Zbigniew Brzezinski named Samuel (Clash of Civilizations) Huntington delivered the results of his *Task Force on the Governability of Democracies*¹¹⁷. This project was supervised by Schlesinger and Brzezinski and presented the notion that democracies could not function adequately in the

¹¹² [UK Column News - Nazi-linked managers of anti-China Uighur propaganda](#), Vanessa Beeley Jun. 1, 2022

¹¹³ [Debunking the Myths of China's Anti-Muslim Genocide Campaign](#), Truth Be Bold podcast Sept. 2021

¹¹⁴ [The Origins of the Deep State in North America Part I: The Round Table Movement](#), By Matt Ehret Jan. 27, 2022 at the Canadian Patriot

¹¹⁵ [How the Trilateral Commission Drove a Bankers' Coup Across America](#), by Matt Ehret Aug. 12, 2019 at the Strategic Culture

¹¹⁶ [Theodore Shackley](#), Wikispooks

¹¹⁷ [The Crisis of Democracy](#), 1975 Trilateral Commission Report

crisis conditions which the Trilateral Commission was preparing to impose onto America and the world through a process dubbed “*the Controlled Disintegration of Society*”.

The Huntington report featured at the Trilateral meeting stated: “*One might consider... means of securing support and resources from foundations, business corporations, labor unions, political parties, civic associations, and, where possible and appropriate, governmental agencies for the creation of an institute for the strengthening of democratic institutions.*”

It took 4 years for this blueprint to become reality. In 1979 three Trilateral Commission members named William Brock (RNC Chairman), Charles Manatt (DNC Chairman) and George Agree (head of Freedom House) established an organization called *the American Political Foundation* (APF) which attempted to fulfil the objective laid out by Huntington in 1975¹¹⁸.

The APF was used to set up a program using federal funds called the Democracy Program which issued an interim report “*The Commitment to Democracy*” which said: “*No theme requires more sustained attention in our time than the necessity for strengthening the future chances of democratic societies in a world that remains predominantly unfree or partially fettered by repressive governments. ... There has never been a comprehensive structure for a non-governmental effort through which the resources of America’s pluralistic constituencies . . . could be mobilized effectively.*”

In May 1981, Henry Kissinger who had replaced Brzezinski as head of the Trilateral Commission and had many operatives planted around President Reagan, gave a speech at Britain’s Chatham House¹¹⁹

(the controlling hand behind the *Council on Foreign Relations*¹²⁰) where he described his work as Secretary of State saying that the British “*became a participant in internal American deliberations, to a degree probably never practiced between sovereign nations... In my White House incarnation then, I kept the British Foreign Office better informed and more closely engaged than I did the American State Department... It was symptomatic*”.

In his speech, Kissinger outlined the battle between Churchill vs FDR during WWII and made the point that he favored the Churchill worldview for the post war world (And ironically also that of Prince Metternich who ran the Congress of Vienna that snuffed out democratic movements across Europe in 1815)¹²¹.

In June 1982, Reagan’s Westminster Palace speech¹²² officially inaugurated the NED and by November 1983, the National Endowment for Democracy Act was passed bringing this new covert organization into reality with \$31 million of funding under four subsidiary organizations (AFL-CIO Free Trade Union Institute, The US Chamber of Commerce’s Center for International Private Enterprise, the International Republican Institute and the International Democratic Institute)¹²³.

Throughout the 1980s, this organization went to work managing Iran-Contra, destabilizing Soviet states and unleashing the first “official” modern color revolution in the form of the Yellow revolution that ousted Philippine president Ferdinand Marcos. Speaking more candidly than usual, NED President David Ignatius said in 1991¹²⁴ “*a lot of what we do today was done covertly 25 years ago by the CIA*”.

With the collapse of the Soviet Union, the NED was instrumental in bringing former Warsaw Pact nations

¹¹⁸ NED History – [Idea to Reality](#): NED AT 30

¹¹⁹ [Four governments pin terrorism on London](#), Jan. 10, 1997, EIR

¹²⁰ [The British Roots Of The Deep State: How The Round Table Infiltrated America](#), by Matt Ehret, Jul. 6, 2019 for Oriental Review

¹²¹ [Kissinger’s Adoration of the 1815 Congress of Vienna: A Master Key into Universal History](#), by Matt Ehret, Jan.23, 2021, on Substack/

¹²² [NED Honors Legacy & Impact of President Reagan’s Westminster Address](#), by Lyssa White on June 8, 2017, at NED

¹²³ At the beginning of 1984, a similar re-organization had occurred in Canada under the guidance of Privy Council Clerk/Trilateral Commission member Michael Pitfield who created CSIS when the RCMP’s “dirty operations” during the FLQ crisis were made known in a series of newspaper reports.

¹²⁴ [Trump State Department Accused of Abandoning Global Democracy in New Budget](#), by Carlos Bellesteros on May 3, 2018 at Newsweek

into NATO/WTO system and the New World Order was announced by Bush Sr. and Kissinger- both of whom were rewarded with knighthoods for their service to the Crown in 1992 and 1995 respectively.


Of course, the vast web of NGOs permeating the geopolitical terrain can only be effective as long as no one says the truth and “names the game”. The very act of calling out their nefarious motives renders them impotent and this simple fact has made the recently announced China-Russia arrangement¹²⁵ to formulate a proper strategic response to color revolutions so important in the current fight.

Despite the fact that the USA has succumbed to its own color revolution in 2020¹²⁶, many well intended, though soft minded patriots have been duped into believing that the cause of their ills is not the British imperial-directed takeover of their society, but “godless commie” Russia and China out to undo the western order.

If there will be any hope of undoing that 2020 coup and saving the republic at this late stage of rot, it will only occur if patriots wake up and recognize that America’s only true enemy is found in that supranational oligarchical system that has sought to destroy Russia and China as well.

¹²⁵ [Russia & China to Hols Consultations on Countering US Led “Color Revolution” Efforts](#), Aug. 13, 2019, at SouthFront

¹²⁶ [How China Banned Soros in 1989](#) [A Canadian Patriot Press Film] released on the Canadian Patriot, Feb. 20, 2023



Chapter 8 - How the Trilateral Commission Drove a Bankers Coup Across America

By Matthew Ehret

Until recently I had believed like many that Jimmy Carter is not your typical politician. Standing out from the vast array of sellouts and establishment hacks, the ex-President has often appeared as the lone voice of reason in America's establishment calling out the injustices of American military, the wrongs of the Zionist lobby and the self-destructive nature of the American oligarchy. Surely a man who speaks so candidly cannot be bad.

While I believe Carter probably has good intentions, I also believe that the man is likely just as clueless today as he was when he was used as a puppet by those forces now identified as the international Deep State which took over American foreign and internal policy during his 1977-1981 presidency.

Under Carter's reign, an organization which grew out of the combined influence of the Council on Foreign Relations and Bilderberg Group took over America under the name of the Trilateral Commission which overturned the last remnants of anti-imperial impulses left over from the vision provided by Presidents Franklin Roosevelt and John Kennedy, converting America into the self-destructive basket case we have come to know it as today.

Since those Trilateral reforms were so all-encompassing and touch on issues of economic policy, the creation of foreign debt slavery, terrorist financing and green energy, it is worth conducting a brief assessment of how this happened while also

looking at some of the key players that made it happen.

The Trilateral Commission takes over

James Carter became America's 39th president in 1977, and the Trilateral Commission which ran his administration was created in 1973 under the nominal head of David Rockefeller III (president of Chase Manhattan Bank) and a coterie of international financiers and imperialistically-minded ideologues who believed religiously in the utopian doctrine of global governance under a master-slave ethic. The idea of consolidating three global zones of power (North America, Western Europe and Japan) during the height of the Cold War under a unified command structure was the motive behind the creation of this think tank at that time.

A leading figure in the Trilateral Commission who later became Carter's National Security Advisor was named Zbigniew Brzezinski who referred to this agenda as the "Technetronic era" which he described in 1970 as an age involving *"the gradual appearance of a more controlled society. Such a society would be dominated by an elite, unrestrained by traditional values."* Who would these specialists represent? In his *Between Two Ages*¹²⁷, Brzezinski made it very clear: *"The nation-state as a fundamental unit of man's organized life has ceased to be the principal creative force: International banks and multi-national corporations are acting and planning in terms that are*

¹²⁷ [Between Two Ages](#), by Zbigniew Brzezinski, 1970

far in advance of the political concepts of the nation-state”.

Senator Barry Goldwater called out this foreign beast transforming America in his 1979 autobiography *With No Apologies*¹²⁸ by saying:

“The Trilateralist Commission is international...(and)...is intended to be the vehicle for multinational consolidation of the commercial and banking interests by seizing control of the political government of the United States. The Trilateralist Commission represents a skillful, coordinated effort to seize control and consolidate the four centers of power – political, monetary, intellectual, and ecclesiastical.”

Another American political figure then combating this foreign virus was Presidential candidate Lyndon LaRouche who prophetically wrote *The Trilateral Commission's Rapid End to Democracy*¹²⁹ on August 4, 1981 stating: *“The plan is to combine the collapse of the financial system of the United States and most of Western Europe with other countries to create a ‘global crisis management’ scenario on the largest and most catastrophic scales... the financial crisis is to be used to subject the United States, among other nations so treated to a dictatorship by decree of the IMF.”*

Under Brzezinski's leadership one third of the Trilateral Commission's members were appointed to top cabinet posts under Carter. Notable members here worth mentioning include Walter Mondale (Vice President), Harold Brown (Defense Secretary), Cyrus Vance (Secretary of State), Michael Blumenthal (Treasury Secretary), James Schlesinger (Energy Czar), Paul Volcker (Fed Chairman). Just to get across the British pedigree of this group, Brzezinski and Blumenthal were not only Bilderberg members, but 2 of the 9 directors of the Council on Foreign Relations *Project for the 1980s*. The CFR is the Cecil Rhodes/Roundtable Group¹³⁰ that set up in America in 1921 to advance Rhodes' mandate to recapture

America as the lost colony and re-establish a new British Empire.

The Crisis of Democracy

In 1975, Brzezinski's assistant Samuel P. Huntington authored a book called *Crisis of Democracy* as part of the Council on Foreign Relations 1980s Project that published 33 books by 10 Task Forces in order to usher in the Technetronic era. Huntington said *“we have come to recognize that there are potentially desirable limits to economic growth. There are also potentially desirable limits to the indefinite extension of democracy... a government which lacks authority will have little ability to impose on its people the sacrifices which will be necessary.”*

Huntington and Brzezinski conducted a foreign affairs reform that began funding radical Islamic schools and political movements¹³¹ beginning with the USAID-led overthrow of the Shah of Iran and the installation of the Ayatollah Khomeini in 1979. The US funding of Al Qaeda and the Mujahedeen was nominally done for the pragmatic reason of countering the Soviets in Afghanistan, however the real reason was to justify a “Clash of Civilizations” thesis that Huntington later published under the presumption that the major religions could have no peace unless a global Leviathan were created to impose order from above. This was a clear cut case of the Pygmalion effect to the extreme.

It is here noteworthy that the Shah, along with many leaders of the Non-Aligned movement were then engaged in a major struggle to break free of the neo-colonial debt-slavery structure under Anglo-American control by using their inalienable sovereign powers to cancel the unpayable debts while unleashing investments into scientific and technological progress using the post WWII “Japan-model”. Japan's inspiring post-WWII leap from feudalism to an advanced scientific-industrial economy made its membership in the Trilateral Commission that much more important in the minds of the new Olympian

¹²⁸ [With No Apologies](#), by Barry Goldwater 1979

¹²⁹ [The Trilateral Commission's rapid end to democracy](#), by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr., Aug. 4, 1981 in EIR

¹³⁰ [The Integrity Initiative and the British Roots of the Deep State: How the Round Table Infiltrated America](#)

by Matt Ehret on Jan. 24, 2019 at Canadian Patriot

¹³¹ [We owe radical Islamist militancy to Brzezinski](#), by Murat Yetkin, May 28, 2017, at Daily News

gods who feared other developing nations would follow suite.

The Controlled Disintegration of the West

Two months after being appointed Federal Reserve Chairman, Paul Volcker gave a lecture in Warwick University London proclaiming “*a controlled disintegration in the world economy is a legitimate object for the 1980s*”.

Volcker managed this controlled disintegration by raising interest rates to 20-21.5% beginning in 1979- leaving them there until 1982 while also raising reserve requirements for Commercial banks. The effect forever crippled America’s economy with agricultural production collapsing vastly, metal-cutting machine tools collapsed by 45.5%, automobile production collapsed by 44.3% and steel production collapsed by 49.4%. During this traumatic period, small and medium enterprises were intentionally bankrupted across all sectors of the North American and European economies leaving only multinational corporations in a position to afford such interest rates. Volcker’s program paved the way for the *1981 Kemp-Roth Tax Act* that opened up real estate speculation and the *1982 Garn- St. Germaine Act* which deregulated U.S. Banks and advanced the creation of universal/too-big-to-fail banking.

In that same period, third world debtors having to pay 20% interest saw their debts skyrocket by 40-70%. Leaders who resisted this program such as Pakistan’s Zulfikar Ali Bhutto, India’s Indira Gandhi, Burkina Faso’s Thomas Sankara, and Mexico’s Lopez Portillo et al. were systematically killed or overthrown.

When it became evident that an incoming President Ronald Reagan was not favorable to the Trilateral Commission/CFR agenda- pushing for bilateral meetings with Gandhi and Mexico’s Portillo in 1981 in order to assist their industrial growth policies and threatening to fire Volcker, his elimination was quickly orchestrated. After CFR/Trilateral Commission member George Bush was placed as Reagan’s VP (ousting Reagan’s friend Sen. Paul

Laxalt during a Rockefeller-run media scandal), John Hinckley- an MK Ultra psych job deeply tied into the Bush family¹³², was deployed to carry out an assassination shooting Reagan in the chest on March 30, 1981.

Reagan never recovered from this attempt and the well-intentioned but highly malleable Hollywood star became increasingly moulded by CFR-Trilateral Commission agents in spite of his tendency to allow himself to be influenced by pro-nation state figures exemplified by his endorsement of the Joint US-Soviet plan for the Strategic Defense Initiative in 1983¹³³ (later corrupted into a unilateral doctrine by Bush Sr.)

Green Depopulation

It should not be ignored that the transformation of the American economy from a pro-industrial growth open system model into a closed system Malthusian model was also an initiative of forces controlling the Trilateral Commission.

In 1974, David Rockefeller III keynoted the Club of Rome/UN World Population Conference in Bucharest stating “*There is a need to revise the concept of economic growth. Particularly in recent years, the limits of growth have come into our consciousness. The depletion of resources, pollution, and the energy crisis have made all that very clear. The character and purpose of growth must be changed.*”

The agenda for a “post-industrial society” driven by a green infrastructure revolution was laid out in the July 24, 1980 *Global 2000 Report*¹³⁴ that called for energy conservation, population control and environmentalism as the foundation for the new economy. Later that year, the World Wildlife Fund’s *Global Conservation Strategy*¹³⁵ is published paralleling the *Global 2000* thesis. The WWF was headed by Prince Philip and Prince Bernhard during this time and its vice presidents during Carter’s administration included Louis Mortimer Bloomfield whose Permindex Bureau was caught coordinating JFK’s assassination¹³⁶ and Trilateral Commission

¹³² [Bush Angle to Reagan Shooting Still Unresolved as Hinckley Walks](#), by Russ Baker Aug.16, 2016 in Who What Why

¹³³ [LaRouche’s SDI: A U.S.-Soviet Agreement for Peace and Development](#), by J. Steinberg, May 29, 2015 EIR

¹³⁴ [Global 2000 Report](#), Congressional Hearing, 1980

¹³⁵ [World Conservation Strategy](#), IUCN, 1980

¹³⁶ [Montreal’s Permindex and the Deep State Plot to Kill Kennedy](#), by Matt Ehret, Nov. 21, 2022 at the Canadian Patriot

member Maurice Strong¹³⁷ who called for a destruction of industrial civilization in a 1990 interview.

This was not just a history lesson

What you have just read may appear on the surface to be a history report but it is much more than that. It is a future report.

It is a future report since your future is being shaped by historical forces that you need to understand if you are going to be able to choose to influence your reality in accord with those historical trajectories that are actually in harmony with the real self-interests of mankind.

The forces of progress and anti-colonialism that the Trilateral Commission sought to snuff out 40 years ago have been revived under the renewed leadership of Russia, China and a growing array of nations who want to have a future. Increasingly, nationalist forces (as confused as they may be) have arisen as an anti-technocratic movement across North America and Europe which offers nations once believed lost to the New World Order, a chance to revive their lost renaissance heritage.

The only thing standing in the way from western nations joining the Belt and Road Initiative, re-organizing the bankrupt financial system and unleashing productive credit to revive the real

economy is 1) a lack of understanding of history and 2) a confused sense of the true nature of humanity, as a species above other beasts of the ecosystem- capable of constant perfectibility and creative discovery.

Anything that denies this concept humanity and natural law such as the Green New Deal¹³⁸ should be treated as the noxious wet dream of the Volckers, Rockefellers, Brzezinskis and other Trilateral Commission zombies who had a long way to go before qualifying themselves as human.

¹³⁷ [Why has the West destroyed its own Industrial Base? The Case of Maurice Strong Revisited](#), by Matt Ehret, Feb. 22, 2019 at the Canadian Patriot

¹³⁸ [The Genocidal Roots of the Green New Deal: The Limits to Growth and the Unchaining of Prometheus](#), by Matt EHRET, July 31, 2019 at Oriental Review



2012 was a record-breaking year for bank fraud. Not only did HSBC pay the largest fine ever paid under the Bank Secrecy Act of \$1.9 billion (USD) but the LIBOR scandal would occur a little over a week after. The Swiss bank UBS had to acknowledge its key role in perhaps the biggest antitrust/price-fixing case in history, the LIBOR scandal, a massive interest-rate-rigging conspiracy involving hundreds of trillion of dollars in financial products. As journalist Matt Taibbi described it, the “LIBOR scandal, which is at the heart of the UBS settlement, makes Enron look like a parking violation.” Both HSBC’s and UBS’s settlements tied for the gold medal in “the biggest financial scam of all time.”

HSBC is a 19th century British opium bank that was created with its headquarters in Hongkong-Shanghai, as part of the British conquest of China after it lost both Opium Wars, created to facilitate Britain’s trade in opium, *something that it continues to be implicated in to this day*. HSBC began to make unfavourable headlines when, in 2003, it was ordered by U.S. regulators to strengthen its anti-money-laundering practices and agreed to fix these problems. Instead, HSBC took part in one of the most notorious episodes in money laundering history.¹³⁹ As the Mexican drug war metastasized in the mid-2000s, HSBC provided

essential U.S. dollar-denominated accounts to narco-gangs who needed to clean hundreds of millions of dollars in drug earnings.

Again in 2010, HSBC was given a cease-and-desist court order by its primary regulator, the U.S. Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC). HSBC again promised to make good on its anti-money laundering systems.¹⁴⁰

In the summer of 2012, the U.S. Senate investigative panel released its 339-page report¹⁴¹ on HSBC’s work with Mexican narco-gangs and its role in terrorist financing. The Justice Department and HSBC reached their deferred-prosecution agreement at the end of that year. In December 2012, HSBC was penalised \$1.9 billion (USD), the largest fine under the Bank Secrecy Act, for violating four U.S. laws designed to protect the U.S. financial system.¹⁴² HSBC had allegedly laundered at least \$881 million in drugs proceeds through the U.S. financial system for international cartels, as well as processing an additional \$660 million for banks in U.S. sanctioned countries. According to the report, “The U.S. bank subsidiary [also] failed to monitor more than \$670 billion in wire

¹³⁹ Woodman, Spencer. (September 21, 2020) [HSBC moved vast sums of dirty money after paying record laundering fine: FinCEN Files probe reveals Europe’s biggest bank aided massive Ponzi scheme while on probation over ties to drug kingpins](#). International Consortium of Investigative Journalists

¹⁴⁰ Ibid

¹⁴¹ [Hearing before the Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations of the Committee on Homeland Security and](#)

[Governmental Affairs United States Senate](#). (July 17, 2012) U.S. Vulnerabilities to Money Laundering, Drugs, and Terrorist Financing: HSBC Case Study.

¹⁴² Mollenkamp, Carrick; Wolf, Brett (Dec. 11, 2012). [HSBC to pay record \\$1.9-billion fine in U.S. money-laundering case](#). Reuters.

transfers and more than \$9.4 billion in purchases of physical dollars from its Mexico unit.”¹⁴³

In a controversial decision, prosecutors declined to seek an indictment of HSBC but instead allowed it to pay a \$1.9 billion settlement. Lanny Breuer, assistant attorney general at the time, stated: *“HSBC is being held accountable for stunning failures of oversight – and worse...that led the bank to permit narcotics traffickers and others to launder hundreds of millions of dollars through HSBC subsidiaries and to facilitate hundreds of millions more in transactions with sanctioned countries.”*¹⁴⁴

HSBC agreed with the DoJ (Department of Justice) that it would serve five years of probation during which its efforts to prevent money laundering would be monitored by a court-appointed watchdog. The court named a former top New York state financial crimes prosecutor, Michael Cherkasky.¹⁴⁵

Both HSBC and U.S. authorities have vigorously fought to keep Cherkasky’s monitoring reports secret.¹⁴⁶ In 2019, BuzzFeed News sued for the release of Cherkasky’s final report, arguing that the public’s interest in understanding the government’s handling of the HSBC case demands that it be unsealed. Spencer Woodman wrote for the *International Consortium of Investigative Journalists* in 2019 that “The Justice Department continues to fight to keep the Cherkasky report sealed and has sought repeatedly to delay preliminary hearings, citing the coronavirus pandemic. The suit is pending.”¹⁴⁷

It was later revealed that George Osborne, **the former chancellor of the Exchequer**¹⁴⁸, had intervened to persuade the U.S. government **not to pursue criminal charges against HSBC** for allowing terrorists and

Mexican drug dealers to launder millions of dollars. A congressional report published letters and emails from Osborne and Financial Services Authority (FSA) officials to their U.S. counterparts warning that launching criminal action against HSBC in 2012 could have sparked a “financial calamity”.¹⁴⁹ The House financial services committee report said the UK interventions “played a significant role in ultimately persuading the DoJ not to prosecute HSBC”. Instead of pursuing a prosecution, the bank agreed to pay a record \$1.92bn (£1.4bn) fine.¹⁵⁰

During the prosecution trial, HSBC acknowledged that for years it had ignored warning signs that drug cartels in Mexico were using its branches to launder millions of dollars. A December 2012 CNNMoney article compared the 1.9 billion dollars fine to HSBC’s profit “last year” (in 2011) of 16.8 billion.¹⁵¹

Leopoldo Barroso, a former HSBC anti-money-laundering director, told company officials in an exit interview that he was concerned about civil and criminal sanctions and that there were “allegations of 60 percent to 70 percent of laundered proceeds in Mexico” going through HSBC’s affiliate, according to the U.S. Senate report.¹⁵²

It was also discovered that HSBC had “ignored links to terrorist financing among its customer banks, including Riyadh, Saudi Arabia-based Al Rajhi Bank, which had ties to terror groups through its owners, according to the [Senate] report. Internal documents show HSBC decided to cut ties with the bank before reversing itself under pressure from Al Rajhi, which received shipments of \$1 billion in cash from HSBC’s U.S. operation from 2006 to 2010, according to the

¹⁴³ Ibid

¹⁴⁴ Treanor, Jill; Rushe, Dominic. (Dec. 11, 2012) [HSBC pays record \\$1.9bn fine to settle US money-laundering accusations](#). The Guardian (Retrieved Oct. 2022).

¹⁴⁵ Woodman, Spencer. (Sept. 21, 2020) [HSBC moved vast sums of dirty money after paying record laundering fine: FinCEN Files probe reveals Europe’s biggest bank aided massive Ponzi scheme while on probation over ties to drug kingpins](#). International Consortium of Investigative Journalists.

¹⁴⁶ Ibid.

¹⁴⁷ Ibid.

¹⁴⁸ [The chancellor of the Exchequer](#), often abbreviated to chancellor, is a senior minister of the Crown within the

Government of the United Kingdom, and head of His Majesty’s Treasury.

¹⁴⁹ Neate, Rupert. (July 11, 2016) [HSBC escaped US money-laundering charges after Osborn’s intervention](#). The Guardian.

¹⁵⁰ Ibid

¹⁵¹ O’Toole, James. (Dec. 12, 2012) [HSBC: Too big to jail?](#) CNNMoney (Retrieved Oct. 2022)

¹⁵² [Hearing before the Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations of the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs United States Senate](#). (July 17, 2012)

U.S. Vulnerabilities to Money Laundering, Drugs, and Terrorist Financing: HSBC Case Study.

[Senate] report.”¹⁵³ HSBC’s U.S. unit “offers a gateway for terrorists to gain access to U.S. dollars and the U.S. financial system,” according to the Senate report. “HSBC has a legal obligation to take reasonable steps to ensure it is not dealing with banks that may have links to or facilitate terrorist financing.”¹⁵⁴

Despite HSBC’s links as a facilitator of terrorist financing connected with Saudi banks, in February 2006 the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC) under President George Bush Jr. suddenly decided to release HSBC from its cease-and-desist order. In other words, HSBC had basically violated its parole 30 times and got off anyway. The bank was, to use the street term, “off paper” – and free to let the Al Rajhis of the world come rushing back.¹⁵⁵

Journalist Matt Taibi writes for Rolling Stone Magazine.¹⁵⁶

“After HSBC fully restored its relationship with the apparently terrorist-friendly Al Rajhi Bank in Saudi Arabia, it supplied the bank with nearly 1 billion U.S. dollars. When asked by HSBC what it needed all its American cash for, Al Rajhi explained that people in Saudi Arabia need dollars for all sorts of reasons. ‘During summer time,’ the bank wrote, ‘we have a high demand from tourists traveling for their vacations’.”

As already mentioned, the HSBC’s ‘too big to jail’ prosecution agreement with the U.S. Justice Department wasn’t the only big news to happen in 2012.

Matt Taibi writes:¹⁵⁷

“But the Justice Department wasn’t finished handing out Christmas goodies. A little over a week later, [Lanny] Breuer [who was the assistant attorney general for the HSBC 2012 case as well] was back in front of the press, giving a cushy deal to another huge international firm, the Swiss bank UBS, which had just admitted to a key role in perhaps the biggest antitrust/price-fixing case in history, the so-called

*LIBOR scandal, a massive interest-raterigging conspiracy involving hundreds of trillions...of dollars in financial products. While two minor players did face charges, Breuer and the Justice Department worried aloud about global stability as they explained why no criminal charges were being filed against the parent company.”*¹⁵⁸

On December 19th, 2012, the Justice Department essentially let the Swiss banking giant UBS off the hook for its part in what is likely the biggest financial scam of all time.

Matt Taibi writes:¹⁵⁹

“The so-called LIBOR scandal, which is at the heart of the UBS settlement, makes Enron look like a parking violation. Many of the world’s biggest banks, including Switzerland’s UBS, Britain’s Barclays and the Royal Bank of Scotland, got together and secretly conspired to manipulate the London Interbank Offered Rate, or LIBOR, which measures the rate at which banks lend to each other. Many, if not most, interest rates are pegged to LIBOR. The prices of hundreds of trillions of dollars of financial products are tied to LIBOR, everything from commercial loans to credit cards to mortgages to municipal bonds to swaps and currencies...These are the world’s biggest banks getting together every morning to essentially fix the price of money. Low LIBOR rates are an indicator that banks are strong and healthy. These banks were faking the results of their daily physicals. In banking terms, they were juicing.”

The U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) issued five Orders filing and settling charges against Citibank N.A. (Citibank), HSBC Bank plc (HSBC), JPMorgan Chase Bank N.A. (JPMorgan), The Royal Bank of Scotland plc (RBS) and UBS AG (UBS) (collectively known as ‘the Banks’ or ‘the Cartel’) for attempted manipulation of, and for aiding and abetting other banks’ attempts to manipulate, global foreign exchange (FX) benchmark rates to benefit the positions of certain traders. The Orders collectively imposed over \$1.4 billion in civil

¹⁵³ Hamilton, Jesse; Voreacos, David. (July 23, 2012) [HSBC Executive Resigns at Senate Money-Laundering Hearing](#). Bloomberg

¹⁵⁴ Ibid

¹⁵⁵ Taibi, Matt. (Feb. 14, 2013) [Gangster Bankers: Too Big to Jail: How HSBC hooked up with drug traffickers and](#)

[terrorists. And got away with it](#). Rolling Stone Magazine (Retrieved Oct. 2022.)

¹⁵⁶ Ibid

¹⁵⁷ Ibid

¹⁵⁸ Ibid

¹⁵⁹ Ibid

monetary penalties, specifically: \$310 million each for Citibank and JPMorgan, \$290 million each for RBS and UBS, and \$275 million for HSBC, which is peanuts compared to the annual profits of these banks.¹⁶⁰

In July 2016, the United States Department of Justice charged two executives (both British citizens) from HSBC Bank over an alleged \$3.5 billion currency scheme which defrauded HSBC clients and “manipulated the foreign exchange market to benefit themselves and their bank”.¹⁶¹ Mark Johnson, a senior British banker of HSBC, was arrested at a New York City airport for currency benchmark rigging.¹⁶² He was later convicted of nine counts of wire fraud and conspiracy to defraud related to front running the currency trades of HSBC clients and sentenced to a mere two years in federal prison.^{163 164} He was released after serving just three months in prison and was rather inexplicably allowed to return home to the U.K. while he pursued an appeal. November 2020 the U.S. Supreme Court declined to hear an appeal of his 2017 conviction, which was previously upheld by the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit. It meant he would have to return to the U.S. to serve his sentence.¹⁶⁵ In February 2021 a judge ruled that Johnson would not need to report to prison until he is vaccinated against COVID-19.¹⁶⁶ It appears thus far that Mark Johnson has not had to return to prison since his initial release after just three months of incarceration.

Stuart Scott, who was HSBC's European head of foreign exchange trading in London until December 2014, was accused of the same crimes as Mark

Johnson. A warrant was issued for Scott's arrest, but he successfully fled to Britain. In July 2018 the High Court of Justice ruled against extraditing him to the United States *since most of the alleged crimes took place in Britain* and because Scott has no significant connection to the United States. It appears Stuart Scott has avoided incarceration all together and that Britain has no desire to proceed with an investigation of their own.

In 2015, the UK Financial Conduct Authority (FCA) had fined five banks £1.1bn – the biggest penalty in the history of the City of London at the time – for failing to stop their traders manipulating the market.¹⁶⁷

In November 2012, it was reported that HSBC had set up offshore accounts in Jersey for suspected drug-dealers and other criminals, and that HM Revenue and Customs had launched an investigation following a whistle blower leaking details of £700 million allegedly held in HSBC accounts in the Crown dependency.¹⁶⁸

In 2013 HSBC Holdings Plc appointed former U.S. Deputy Attorney General James Comey and ex-U.K. tax chief Dave Hartnett to a panel to combat financial crime after the bank paid \$1.9 billion to settle the 2012 money-laundering probe. The Senate committee said that lax oversight by top HSBC executives gave terrorist and drug cartels access to the U.S. financial system.¹⁶⁹ Comey was a non-executive director at HSBC from March to September 2013.¹⁷⁰ A day before the settlement, HSBC appointed Robert Werner, previously head of the U.S. Treasury Department's Office of Foreign Assets Control and

¹⁶⁰ Release Number 7056-14 (Nov. 12, 2014) [CFTC Orders Five Banks to Pay over \\$1.4 billion in Penalties for Attempted Manipulation of Foreign Exchange Benchmark Rates](#). Commodity Futures Trading Commission (Retrieved Oct. 2022)

¹⁶¹ Neate, Rupert. (July 11, 2016) [HSBC escaped US money-laundering charges after Osborn's intervention](#). The Guardian

¹⁶² Lewis, Simon. (Jul 21, 2016) [U.S. Arrests Senior HSBC Banker Over Multimillion-Dollar Fraud Scheme](#). TIME Magazine

¹⁶³ O'Brien, Rebecca Davis. (June 14, 2018) [Former HSBC Executive Convicted of Fraud for Front-Running](#). Wall Street Journal (Retrieved Oct. 2022)

¹⁶⁴ Hurtado, Patricia; Nguyen, Lananh (April 26, 2018). [Ex-HSBC FX Trader Sentenced to 2 Years, Sent Directly to Prison](#). Bloomberg News (Retrieved Oct. 2022)

¹⁶⁵ Hurtado, Patricia; Stohr, Greg. (Nov. 2, 2020) [Ex-HSBC Trader Denied Supreme Court Appeal of Fraud Conviction](#). Bloomberg (Retrieved Oct. 2022)

¹⁶⁶ Sinay, Reenat. (Feb. 9, 2021) [Ex-HSBC Banker Can't Skip Prison, But Wins Vaccine Delay](#). Law360 (Retrieved Oct. 2022)

¹⁶⁷ Neate, Rupert. (July 20, 2016) [FBI arrests senior HSBC banker accused of rigging multibillion-dollar deal](#). The Guardian (Retrieved Oct. 2022)

¹⁶⁸ Bowers, Simon (Nov. 9, 2012). [HSBC Jersey accounts investigated by UK tax authorities](#). The Guardian (Retrieved Oct. 2022)

¹⁶⁹ Mustoe, Howard. (Jan. 30, 2013) [HSBC Hires Tax, Anti-Terror Chiefs for Control Panel](#). Bloomberg (Retrieved Oct. 2022)

¹⁷⁰ Rubinfeld, Samuel. (Jan. 30, 2013) [HSBC Names James Comey to the Board](#). The Wall Street Journal (Retrieved Oct. 2022)

Financial Crimes Enforcement Network, as head of group financial crime compliance and group money laundering reporting officer.¹⁷¹ (James Comey would resign from this position six months later to become the Director of the FBI from September 2013 to May 2017.)

Leaked records show HSBC processed at least \$31 million between 2014 and 2015 for companies later revealed to have moved stolen government funds from Brazil; and more than \$292 million between 2010 and 2016 for a Panama-based organization branded by U.S. authorities as a major money launderer for drug cartels.¹⁷²

In 2015, HSBC has been ordered to pay a record 40m Swiss francs (£28m) and been given a final warning by the Geneva authorities for “organisational deficiencies” which allowed money laundering to take place in the bank’s Swiss subsidiary. The settlement meant the Swiss would not prosecute HSBC or publish the findings of their investigation into alleged aggravated money laundering. Announcing the biggest financial penalty ever imposed by the Geneva authorities, Jorrot launched a stinging attack on his own country’s financial laws, adding his voice to a growing number of Swiss politicians and campaigners calling for reform of the country’s secretive banking system. The Geneva authorities said the payment, which was described as “compensation” rather than a fine, reflected the harm done to the city and the profits obtained by HSBC from processing illicit funds. Bank accounts back in Switzerland were manipulated to reimburse the drug dealers.

Explaining the decision not to bring HSBC to court, Jorrot said Swiss law demanded a high standard of proof. Those laundering money had to be shown to be doing so deliberately, *not accidentally*, and the money had to be demonstrably obtained from criminal acts,

not simply deposited by a known criminal! To fine the bank, prosecutors would also have needed to show organisational failure caused the money to be laundered.¹⁷³ Although we have seen thus far, even if the bank were to be prosecuted, it would simply be asked to pay a relatively small fine (in comparison to its profit), since these banks were essentially ‘too big to jail’. At the end of the day you could have an airtight case proven culpability all the way to the top of the bank’s organizational structure, and it wouldn’t make any difference to how that bank would continue to operate the very next day.

In January 2018, HSBC Holdings Plc agreed to pay \$101.5 million to settle a U.S. criminal probe into the rigging of currency transactions, which had already led to the conviction of one of its former bankers.¹⁷⁴

In 2018 South Africa's central bank fined HSBC's HSBA.L local business 15 million rand (844,927 pounds) for weaknesses in its processes meant to detect money laundering and terrorism financing, and ordered the bank to fix the problems.¹⁷⁵

In 2020, HSBC told AUSTRAC that it may have broken Australia's anti-money laundering and counter-terrorism laws after allegedly failing to report thousands of transactions to AUSTRAC.¹⁷⁶

In December 2021, HSBC (HSBA.L) had been fined 64 million pounds (\$85 million) by British regulators for failings in its anti-money laundering processes spanning eight years. The Financial Conduct Authority (FCA) said it had found that three key parts of HSBC's transaction monitoring systems in Britain showed serious weaknesses over a period from March 31, 2010 to March 31, 2018.¹⁷⁷ The FCA said HSBC had made a string of failings, including inadequate monitoring of money laundering and terrorist

¹⁷¹ Mustoe, Howard. (Jan. 30, 2013) [HSBC Hires Tax, Anti-Terror Chiefs for Control Panel](#). Bloomberg (Retrieved Oct. 2022)

¹⁷² Woodman, Spencer. (Sept. 21, 2020) [HSBC moved vast sums of dirty money after paying record laundering fine: FinCEN Files probe reveals Europe’s biggest bank aided massive Ponzi scheme while on probation over ties to drug kingpins](#). International Consortium of Investigative Journalists

¹⁷³ Garside, Juliette. (June 4, 2015) [HSBC pays out £28m over money-laundering claims](#). The Guardian (Retrieved Oct. 2022)

¹⁷⁴ Stempel, Jonatha; Sangameswaran, S. (Jan. 18, 2018). [HSBC to pay \\$100 million to settle U.S. probe into currency rigging](#). Reuters (Retrieved Oct. 2022)

¹⁷⁵ Reuters Staff. (Nov. 9, 2018) [South African central bank fines HSBC for lax money laundering controls](#) (Retrieved Oct. 2022)

¹⁷⁶ Ryan, Peter. (Apr. 7, 2020). [Global bank HSBC owns up to potential anti-money laundering breaches](#). ABC News (Retrieved Oct. 2022)

¹⁷⁷ Withers, Iain. (Dec. 17, 2021.) [HSBC fined \\$85 mln for UK anti-money laundering failings](#). Reuters (Retrieved Oct. 2022)

financing scenarios until 2014, and poor risk assessment of “new scenarios” after 2016.

And the list goes on and on and on...

But ‘alleged’ money laundering for terrorists and drug cartels, and benchmark rigging was not all HSBC was up to.

HSBC had announced in 1999 that it would purchase the Republic National Bank of New York for \$10.3 billion cash, the biggest foreign takeover deal for an American banking company.¹⁷⁸

The New York Times writes:¹⁷⁹

“The purchase of the Republic New York Corporation...by HSBC...would double the size of HSBC's private-banking business. It would also give HSBC the third-biggest retail branch network in the New York region...”

Banking industry analysts said the deal largely reflected HSBC's efforts to expand its highly profitable private-banking operations, which serve very wealthy clients. ‘Strategically it fits,’ said James Johnson, an analyst with Credit Lyonnais Securities. ‘It complements them in an area they have been pushing: wealth management’.

...Under the \$72-a-share agreement, HSBC is buying the Republic New York Corporation and an affiliated company, Safra Republic Holdings S.A., the parent of banks that serve clients in havens like Switzerland, Luxembourg and Monaco.

HSBC has grown aggressively out of its roots in the colonial Hongkong and Shanghai Bank. It is now a major competitor in the business of managing money for the wealthy, a profitable business that helps offset the risks in such other operations as loans to emerging-market countries of Asia.

The deal would surpass the previous record for a foreign takeover of an American bank, the \$10.1 billion purchase of Bankers Trust by Deutsche Bank announced late last year. It would also be HSBC's biggest purchase since it acquired Marine Midland Bank...for \$6.1 billion...

...John Bond, HSBC's chairman, said: ‘The acquisitions we have announced today will bring together two complementary private banking franchises. At the stroke of a pen, it doubles the size of our consumer-banking operations in the United States, and it doubles the size of our private-banking business around the world’.”

As mentioned in *The New York Times* article, the purchase of the Republic New York Corporation which is the holding company for the Republic National Bank of New York, Safra Republic Holdings and Safra Republic Bank by HSBC was the largest since their acquirement of the New York Marine Midland Bank.

In fact, HSBC was attempting to acquire the Marine Midland bank as early as 1979, but the New York regulatory body refused to permit the transaction since it would be the largest foreign takeover in American banking history. “The [New York State’s banking] superintendent, Muriel Siebert, demanded detailed accounting of the HongShang’s [HSBC] hidden profits, silent subsidiaries, and other paraphernalia of money laundering, and refused the application when the Hong Kong institution predictably refused. HongShang was compelled to employ a subterfuge – ultimately sanctioned by Paul Volcker’s Federal Reserve Board – in order to consummate the takeover: it arranged for Marine Midland Bank, one of America’s largest, to change its status from a state-chartered to a nationally-chartered bank, in order to circumvent the regulatory powers of New York State. The Federal Reserve threw out the rule books and accepted the takeover of Marine Midland in early 1980, preferring to ignore the law and the banking regulator of American’s financial center, New York State, rather than jeopardize the plans of Dope, Inc.”¹⁸⁰ HSBC acquired 51% share of the holding company, Marine Midland Banks, Inc. in 1980 and full ownership by 1987. Marine Midland Bank was renamed HSBC Bank USA in 1999.

HSBC’s purchase of the Republic New York Corporation was also not free of controversy. The company was controlled by the billionaire Edmond

¹⁷⁸ Cowell, Alan. (May 11, 1999) [HSBC to Pay \\$10.3 Billion For Republic. The New York Times](#) (Retrieved Oct. 2022)

¹⁷⁹ Ibid

¹⁸⁰ U.S. Labor Party Investigating Team. (1992) [Dope, Inc.: Britain’s Opium War against the U.S.](#) Executive Intelligence Review, pg. 64-65

Safra who was killed in a fire in his Monte Carlo home, some say by his nurse Ted Maher.¹⁸¹

The story gets much more eerie when looking into the background of Ted Maher. As a young man Maher needed the Army to pay for college, he did well in the military from Fort Bragg to Special Forces to the Green Berets.¹⁸² Ted was a medic in the Special Forces and after the Army decided to return to school to become a nurse. Safra had employed a full staff of bodyguards who were highly trained officers from the Mossad, as per NBC News. As Maher's version of the story goes, he was attacked by two masked men, sliced and stabbed with a knife, went unconscious but somehow was able to still warn Safra and his other nurse Vivan when he came to, that there were intruders, how did he reach them before the intruders is a bit of a mystery. Safra and Vivan locked themselves in a panic room, while Maher left and went straight to the hospital to be treated for his wounds, no mention of calling the police. The mansion was set on fire and Safra and Vivian along with it.¹⁸³

HSBC acquired the Republic New York Corporation bank immediately after the death of Safra, sold for 40% below what the bank was worth.

HSBC would go on to make record-breaking massive bank acquisitions throughout the late 1990s early 2000s, in France,¹⁸⁴ Turkey,¹⁸⁵ Mexico,¹⁸⁶ China,¹⁸⁷ Poland,¹⁸⁸ Iraq,¹⁸⁹ Taiwan,¹⁹⁰ and Brazil.¹⁹¹ This list is by no means complete.

In 2006 HSBC "signed an agreement with Banca Nazionale del Lavoro SpA to acquire the latter's banking operations in Argentina, Banca Nazionale del Lavoro S.A. (BNL), for a consideration of US\$155 million, to be met from internal resources within Argentina. HSBC Bank Argentina SA has 58 branches throughout the country providing a full range of banking and financial products and services, including commercial, consumer and corporate banking, to over 512,000 customers."¹⁹²

Despite HSBC being based in the City of London, **to this day, HSBC reserves the right to print Hong Kong money.** Only two other agencies are also given this right, the Bank of China and Standard Chartered Bank (which is also a British multinational banking and financial services company headquartered in London).

The Bank of China is the only one of the three agencies that is a Chinese-owned bank.¹⁹³ It is noteworthy that in September 2020, HSBC stocks plummeted when the Chinese government announced that the dope bank HSBC and Standard Charter would likely find themselves on the 'Unreliable Foreign Entity List.'¹⁹⁴

The London based Standard Chartered Bank has also been implicated in money laundering, where officers from HSBC and Standard Chartered Bank were caught in a 2013 sting operation going so far as "offering to launder money."¹⁹⁵

Hong Kong was only returned back to China in 1997, *and HSBC up until that point had always been*

¹⁸¹ James, Sara. (Mar. 23, 2008) [Billionaire's mysterious death in Monte Carlo](#). NCB News (Retrieved Oct. 2022)

¹⁸² Ibid

¹⁸³ Ibid

¹⁸⁴ Garfield, Andrew. (Apr. 3, 2000) [HSBC leads the way into euro zone with £6.6bn French bank takeover](#). The Independent (Retrieved Oct. 2022)

¹⁸⁵ BBC Business News Staff. (Jul. 20, 2001) [HSBC buys insolvent Turkish bank](#). BBC News (Retrieved Oct. 2022)

¹⁸⁶ Malkin, Elisabeth. (Aug. 22, 2002) [HSBC Buying Fifth-Largest Bank in Mexico for \\$1.1 Billion](#). The New York Times (Retrieved Oct. 2022)

¹⁸⁷ BBC News Staff. (Jun. 24, 2004) [HSBC 'buys stake in Chinese bank'](#). BBC News (Retrieved Oct. 2022)

¹⁸⁸ Timmons, Heather. (Sept. 12, 2003) [HSBC Gets Approval to Acquire Polish Bank](#). The New York Times (Retrieved Oct. 2022)

¹⁸⁹ BBC News Staff. (Oct. 2, 2005) [HSBC closes in on Iraqi bank deal](#). BBC News (Retrieved Oct. 2022)

¹⁹⁰ Treanor, Jill. (Dec. 15, 2007) [Taiwan gives HSBC £750m to take on Chinese bank](#). The Guardian (Retrieved Oct. 2022)

¹⁹¹ Bloomberg News. (Mar.28, 1997) [HSBC Buys Bamerindus, Brazil Bank, For \\$1 Billion](#). The New York Times (Retrieved Oct. 2022)

¹⁹² RNS Staff. (January 24, 2006) [HSBC BANK ARGENTINA TO ACQUIRE BANCA NAZIONALE DEL LAVORO ARGENTINA](#). RNS, the company news service from the London Stock Exchange

¹⁹³ Chung, Cynthia. (Aug. 2, 2022) [The Real Global Agenda Pushing for War with China](#). Through A Glass Darkly Substack

¹⁹⁴ [HSBC Shares Hit 25 Year Low on Report of China 'Unreliable List'](#), Livemint News, Sept. 21, 2020 (Retrieved Oct. 2022)

¹⁹⁵ Hasan, Syed Masroor; Khanna, Rohit. (June 18, 2013) [Standard Chartered, HSBC officials caught on camera offering to launder money](#). CNN-IBN & Cobrapost

run under British economic policy. In fact, it largely continues to be run by Britain to this day. Thus, Hong Kong as one of the center points in the international trade of opium has been created and managed by British foreign policy and banking institutions. You can appreciate how over 150 years of British colonial dope pushing in Hong Kong institutions including its financial center will not be easy for China to shake Hong Kong out of.

Upgrade to paid

The Dope Trade and the Crown: A Very-British Wealth of Nations

“We have no eternal allies, and we have no perpetual enemies. Our interests are eternal and perpetual, and those interests it is our duty to follow.”

- Henry John Temple, aka Lord Palmerston (Britain's Prime Minister from 1855-1858, 1859-1865), oversaw Britain's First Opium War (1839-1842) as Head of Britain's Foreign Office and the Second Opium War (1856-1860) as Britain's Prime Minister against China.

The Hongkong and Shanghai Banking Corporation (HSBC) was established by London, as an outcome of Britain's colonial acquirement of Hong Kong and Shanghai after the Second Opium War (1856-1860). Its headquarters had remained in Hong Kong until they were officially moved to London in 1993, just four years before Hong Kong was returned to China in 1997 at the end of its 99-year lease to Britain. That is, Hong Kong was the equivalent of a British colony for over 150 years.

In fact, Hong Kong and Shanghai had been in the possession of the British since 1842 after China lost the First Opium War (1839-1842). HSBC was created in 1865 to service the opium trade as a consequence of Britain winning the two Opium Wars against China which were fought over Britain's desire to enforce the free trade of opium on the Chinese people, after Britain had destroyed India's textile industry and forced it into a raw opium producer. India in turn would have no means of purchasing its required textiles from Britain, who now had a world monopoly on the textile and cotton trade, except from selling opium to China. India paid for its imported cloth and railway cars to carry the cloth and other British goods

with the proceeds of Bengali opium exports to China. Without the final demand of Chinese opium sales, the entire world structure of British trade would have collapsed.

The British Empire had made a move towards a free trade system in the 1840s, modelled off on Adam Smith's *The Wealth of Nations*. In this new system of trade it was believed that if there is a demand for a product, a country had no right to intervene in its transaction. Protectionism, which had been practiced by Britain up until that point, had now been deemed unfit by...Britain, and all other countries were naturally to follow along according to the 'new rules' chosen for them, excluding Britain of course, who continued to practice protectionism.

Created in 1600 with a Royal Charter from Queen Elizabeth I, the East India Company was from its inception *indistinguishable from the British Empire itself*, rising to account for half of the world's trade. As is aptly said by Lord Macaulay in his speech to the House of Commons in July 1833, since the beginning, the East India Company had always been involved in both trade and politics, just as its French and Dutch counterparts had been.

In other words, the East India Company was to facilitate the geopolitical chess game that the British Empire wished to see played out. Not only the trade contracts it received but whole colonised territories won by the British Empire were handed over to this company to manage, along with a large sized private military, all under the decree of the Crown. This would be most evidently seen in the freedom it was given to control opium production in British India and to then facilitate its trade within Hong Kong and other colonised parts of Southeast Asia.

In the case of China, the trade of opium was ultimately banned by the Chinese, and severe punishments were to be delivered to those involved in smuggling the product into the country, which included British merchants. The British Empire considered this a direct threat to its 'security' and its new enforcement of free trade, thus when China did not back down, the First Opium war was waged. The result was the forced signing of the Nanking Treaty in 1842. This treaty, known as the first of the unequal treaties, ceded the territory of Hong Kong to Britain and allowed British merchants to not only trade at Guangzhou but were

now also permitted to trade with five additional “treaty ports” and with whomever they pleased. In addition, Shanghai would also be largely taken over with the formation of the Shanghai International Settlement, which allowed for British settlements as well as the establishment of a British banking center.

Adam Smith wrote in his *The Wealth of Nations*:

“The servants of the company have upon several occasions attempted to establish in their own favour the monopoly of some of the most important branches, not only of the foreign, but of the inland trade of the country...In the course of a century or two, the policy of the English company would in this manner have probably proved as destructive as that of the Dutch...Nothing, however, can be more directly contrary to the real interest of those companies considered as the sovereigns of the countries which they have conquered...It is in [the sovereign’s] interest, therefore, to increase as much as possible that annual produce. But if this is the interest of every sovereign, it is peculiarly so of one whose revenue, like that of the sovereign of Bengal, arises chiefly from a land rent. That rent must necessarily be in proportion to the quantity and value of the produce, and both one and the other must depend upon the extent of the market.”

In the minds of British grand strategists of the 19th century, the “produce” was opium.

To Lord Palmerston the arguments of Adam Smith about the virtues of free trade not only corresponded with Britain’s real interest but were intellectually unanswerable, that is, they were formed on ‘firm logic’ that simply could not be argued with. Showcasing this ‘grip on economic reality,’ Lord Palmerston wrote to Lord Auckland in January 1841 to explain why he was pushing China into war:

“The rivalry of European manufactures is fast excluding our productions from the markets of Europe, and we must unremittingly endeavour to find in other parts of the world new vents for our industry...if we succeed in our China expedition, Abyssinia, Arabia, the countries of the Indus and the new markets of China will at no distant period give us

*a most important extension to the range of our foreign commerce.”*¹⁹⁶

As Palmerston saw it, this was what was to shape British policy to save their slowly sinking empire from financial bankruptcy. It is what empires do best, to suck the life out of others.

Under direct sponsorship now of the Crown, Jardine Matheson and others fostered an epidemic of opium-trafficking into China. By the year of 1830, the number of chests of opium brought into China increased fourfold, to 18,956 chests. In 1836, the figure exceeded 30,000 chests. In financial terms, trade figures made available by the British and Chinese governments showed that between 1829 and 1840, a total of 7 million silver dollars entered China, while 56 million silver dollars were sucked out by soaring rise in opium trade.¹⁹⁷ By 1830, opium was the largest commodity in world trade.¹⁹⁸

In 1840, the Chinese Emperor, confronted with a drug addiction crisis that was destroying the Mandarin class and the nation, tried to restrict the British trading companies. Britain’s answer was war.

Out of the Second Opium War, HSBC was founded in 1865. A British-friendly bank needed to be created to facilitate trade in the region, connecting the Empire’s newly acquired treasures Shanghai and Hong Kong with British India (the major world producer of opium) along with the rest of the British Empire and Europe. This bank was not only meant to facilitate foreign trade within China however it deemed fit, but in addition was created namely to trade in the product of opium. It is important to note that although the founder of HSBC is credited as Thomas Sutherland of the Peninsular and Oriental Steam Navigation Company, a Scottish merchant who wanted the bank to operate under “sound Scottish banking principles”, the bank had been created from the start to facilitate trade on behalf of the British Empire.

DOPE Inc. writes: “The Southern cotton and slave trade were run to a significant degree by the same Scottish based families that also ran the opium trade in the Orient. The Sutherland family which was one of the largest cotton and opium traders in the South was first cousin to the Matheson family of Jardine Matheson. The Barings, who founded the Peninsular

¹⁹⁶ Beeching, Jack. (1975) [The Chinese Opium Wars](#). A Harvest Book, New York, London, pg. 95

¹⁹⁷ Ibid, pg. 43

¹⁹⁸ Ibid

and Orient Steam Navigation Company that carried dope had been the largest investors in U.S. clipper shipping from the time of the American Revolution. The Rothschild family as well as their later ‘Our Crowd’ New York banking cousins the Lehmans and Lehman Brothers all made their initial entry into the United States through the pre-Civil War cotton and slave trade.”¹⁹⁹

As Prime Minister Lord Palmerston fanned the flames for a second Opium War, *The Times* trumpeted their war cry:

*“England, with France, or England without France if necessary...shall teach a lesson to these perfidious hordes that the name of Europe will hereafter be a passport of fear, if it cannot be of love throughout their land.”*²⁰⁰

Barings²⁰¹ brothers was the premier merchant bank of the opium traffic from 1783 to recent years. Boston families were created out of the British opium trade such as the Cabots,²⁰² Lodes, Forbes, Cunninghams, Appletons, Bacons, Russells, Coolidges, Parkmans, Shaws, Codmans, Boylstons and Runnewells.²⁰³

DOPE Inc. writes: “[This] group’s leading banker became, at the close of the nineteenth century, the House of Morgan - which also took its cut in the Eastern opium traffic. Thomas Nelson Perkins, a descendant of the opium-and-slaves shipping magnate who founded Russell and Company, became the Morgan Bank’s chief Boston agent, through Perkins’s First National Bank of Boston...Morgan’s Far Eastern operations were the officially conducted British opium traffic. Exemplary is the case of Morgan partner Willard Straight, who spent the years 1901-12 in China as assistant to the notorious Sir Robert Hart, chief of the Imperial Chinese Customs Service, and hence the leading British official in charge of conducting opium traffic. Afterwards he became head

of Morgan bank’s Far Eastern operations...Morgan’s case deserves special scrutiny from American police and regulatory agencies, for the intimate associations of Morgan Guaranty Trust with the identified leadership of the British dope banks. Jardine Matheson’s current chairman [in 1992] David Newbigging, the most powerful man today in Hong Kong, is a member of Morgan’s international advisory board. The chairman of Morgan et Cie., the bank’s international division, sits on the Council of the Royal Institute of International Affairs [aka Chatham House]. The chairman of Morgan Grenfell, in which Morgan Guaranty Trust has a 40 percent stake, - Lord Catto of Cairncatto, sits on the ‘London Committee’ of the Hongkong and Shanghai Bank.”²⁰⁴

Just as America’s wars on drugs and terror which ultimately was about dope pushing, Britain’s opium war on China would prove no different. Opium addiction entered the United States during the 19th century as a direct consequence of Britain’s foreign policy. Adding to the opium addiction, British pharmaceutical houses had begun commercial production of morphine in the years leading up to the American Civil War and made large quantities available to both armies. The British firms misrepresented the morphine as a ‘nonaddictive’ painkiller and even had the audacity to push it as a cure for opium addiction.²⁰⁵

In 1911, an international conference on the narcotics problem was held at The Hague. The conference participants agreed to regulate the narcotics trade, with the goal in mind of eventual total suppression. The conference was a major step forward; in the early days of the dope trade, neither opium nor morphine were considered illegal drugs, and heroin would not be outlawed as a prescription drug until 1924. But this conference and subsequent efforts to stem the opium plague ran up against Britain’s open diplomatic

¹⁹⁹ U.S. Labor Party Investigating Team. (1992) [Dope, Inc.: Britain’s Opium War against the U.S.](#) Executive Intelligence Review, pg. 19

²⁰⁰ Beeching, Jack. (1975) [The Chinese Opium Wars](#). A Harvest Book, New York, London, pg. 272

²⁰¹ Evelyn Baring (aka Lord Cromer) was the symbol of British imperialism in Egypt. Abduh would found along with the help of London’s Egyptian proconsul Evelyn Baring, the Salafiyya movement. Evelyn Baring was the scion of the enormously powerful banking clan, Barings Bank, under the city of London.

²⁰² This is the same Cabot family from which Henry Cabot-Lodge comes from, who was US Ambassador to Vietnam as part of the ‘great heroin coup’

²⁰³ Howard Brett. (1976) [Boston, a social history](#). Hawthorn Books

²⁰⁴ U.S. Labor Party Investigating Team. (1992) [Dope, Inc.: Britain’s Opium War against the U.S.](#) Executive Intelligence Review, pg. 41

²⁰⁵ Ibid, pg. 38

posture on behalf of its unrestricted profiteering from a commodity known to destroy its consumers.

Britain was able to avoid the Hague's decision by evading dealing with China directly, and instead sending their opium to their extraterritorial bases, Hong Kong and Shanghai (which Britain considered not part of China but rather their colonial possessions). "Opium dens in the [British] Shanghai International Settlement jumped from 87 licensed dens in 1911 at the time of the Hague convention to 663 dens in 1914! In addition to the trafficking internal to Shanghai, the Triads and related British sponsored organized crime networks within China redoubled smuggling operations – conveniently based out of the warehouses of Shanghai. If anything, British profiteering from the opium trade jumped as the result of the reversion to a totally black-market production-distribution cycle."²⁰⁶

DOPE Inc. continues: "It is obvious by now that an operation of this scope could not exist without the political approval of the British government nor without the gigantic supporting facilities of the world's offshore credit markets, the world's gold and diamonds trade, and 'hands-on' management of the retail distribution, or organized crime aspects of the operation. The Hongkong and Shanghai Bank's governing body, the London Committee is the British oligarchy's delegated group assigned to the Far East drug traffic...More specifically it is an economic warfare operation. Two of its directors J.H. Keswick - of the family that founded Jardine Matheson in 1828 to trade opium - and J.K. Swire - of the Swire family of hereditary opium traders - were senior officials in Britain's Ministry of Economic Warfare during WWII. Another senior official of that ministry is Sir Mark Turner, the chairman of Rio Tinto Zinc the Hong Shang's partner in numerous fields, including gold operations. Turner is now a key figure [in 1992] in the Royal Institute of International Affairs, founded by Lord Alfred Milner, an earlier chairman of Rio Tinto Zinc."²⁰⁷

The Royal Institute of International Affairs (RIIA) and its leading personnel control not only the Far Eastern drug traffic but every important dirty money operation on the surface of the globe. *DOPE Inc.* writes "...a

concise summary of the RIIA's purposes appears in its de facto founding document, Cecil Rhodes's' 1877 bequest. Rhodes, who founded both the gold and diamond mining empire that still dominates world markets under the aegis of Anglo-American and De Beers, and also founded the dope-trading Standard Bank (the African partner of the Asian-based Chartered Bank, since merged), is the starting point for the present form of the disease. Rhodes left his wealth to the Rhodes Trust, administered by Lord Milner. Milner's collection of Oxford trainees, called the 'Milner Kindergarten,' made up most of the 1916 Lloyd George government, and formed the RIIA at a meeting in Versailles on May 30th, 1919."²⁰⁸

Rhodes's 1877 will was:

*"To establish a trust, to and for the establishment and promotion and development of a secret society, the true aim and object whereof shall be the extension of British rule throughout the world, the perfecting of a system of emigration from the Untied Kingdom and the colonization by British subjects of all islands wherein the means of livelihood are attainable by energy, labor, and enterprise, and especially the occupation by British settlers of the entire continent of Africa, the Holy Land, the valley of the Euphrates, the islands of Cyprus and Candia, the whole of South America, the islands of the Pacific not heretofore possessed by Great Britain, the whole of the Malay Archipelago, the seaboard of China and Japan, the ultimate recovery of the United States of America as an integral part of the British Empire, the consolidation of the whole Empire, the inauguration of a system of colonial representation in the Imperial Parliament which may tend to weld together the disjointed members of the Empire, and finally, the foundation of so great a power as to hereafter render wars impossible and promote the best interests of humanity."*²⁰⁹

The secret society concept was passed on by Milner, Rhodes's successor as high commissioner in South Arica, through Milner's trainees Lionel Curtis (of the Roundtable group) and Lord Robert Cecil [originator of the League of Nations]. Curtis and Cecil both

²⁰⁶ Ibid, pg. 20

²⁰⁷ Ibid, pg. 140

²⁰⁸ Ibid, pg. 142

²⁰⁹ Flint, John. (1974) [Cecil Rhodes](#). Boston: Little Brown and Co.

participated in the May 1919 meeting at Versailles that founded the RIIA.

The Royal Institute of International Affairs is the secret society Cecil Rhodes had called for.

‘Hell is a city much like London’

“Hell is a city much like London”

– Percy Bysshe Shelley

Although Wall Street has contributed greatly to this sad situation, this banking hub of America is best understood as the spawn of the City of London.

The City of London is over 800 years old, it is arguably older than England herself, *and for over 400 years it has been the financial center of the world.*

During the medieval period the City of London, otherwise known as the Square Mile or simply the City, was divided into 25 ancient wards headed each by an alderman. *This continues today.* In addition, there existed the ominously titled City of London Corporation, or simply the Corporation, which is the municipal governing body of the City. *This also still continues today.*

Though the Corporation’s origins cannot be specifically dated, since there was never a ‘surviving’ charter found establishing its ‘legal’ basis, it has kept its functions to this day based on the Magna Carta. The Magna Carta is a charter of rights agreed to by King John in 1215, which states that *“the City of London shall have/enjoy its ancient liberties”*. In other words, the legal function of the Corporation has never been questioned, reviewed, re-evaluated EVER but rather it has been left to legally function as in accordance with their “ancient liberties”, which is a very grey description of function. In other words, they are free to do as they deem fit.

And it gets worse. The Corporation is not actually under the jurisdiction of the British government. That is, the British government presently does not have the authority to undermine how the Corporation of the City chooses to govern the *largest financial center in the world.* The City has a separate voting system that

allows for, well, corporations to vote on how their separate ‘government’ should run. It also has its own private police force and system of private courts.

The Corporation is not just limited to functioning within the City. The City Remembrancer, which sounds more like a warped version of the ghost of Christmas past, has the role of acting as a channel of communication between the Corporation and the Sovereign (the Queen/King of Britain), the Royal Household and Parliament. The Remembrancer thus acts as a ‘reminder’, some would even say ‘enforcer’, of the will of the Corporation. This position has been held by Paul Double since 2003, it is not clear who bestows this non-elected position.

Mr. Double has the right to act as an official lobbyist in the House of Commons, and sits to the right of the Speaker’s chair, with the purpose of scrutinising and influencing any legislation he deems affects the interests of the Corporation. He also appears to have the right to review any piece of legislation as it is being drafted and can even comment on it affecting its final outcome. He is the only non-elected person allowed into the House of Commons.

According to the Memorandum from the City of London Corporation,²¹⁰ the reason why the City has a separate voting system is because:

“The City is the only area in the country in which the number of workers significantly outnumbers the residents and therefore, to be truly representative of its population, offers a vote to City organisations so they can have their say on the way the City is run.”

However, the workers have absolutely no say. The City’s organisations they work for have a certain size vote based on the number of workers they employ, but they do not consult these workers, and many of them are not even aware that such elections take place.

If you feel like you have just walked through Alice’s Looking Glass, you’re not alone, but what appears to be an absurd level of madness is what has been running the largest financial center in the world since the 1600s, under the machinations of the British Empire.

²¹⁰ [Memorandum](#) from the City of London Corporation. www.parliament.uk, pg. 9 (Retrieved Oct. 2022)

Therefore, the question is, if the City of London has kept its “ancient liberties” and has upheld its global financial power, is the British Empire truly gone?

Offshore Banking: Adam Smith’s Invisible Hand?

Contrary to popular naïve belief, the empire on which the sun never sets (some say “*because God wouldn’t trust them in the dark*”) never went away.

After WWII, colonisation was meant to be done away with, and many thought, so too with the British Empire. Countries were reclaiming their sovereignty, governments were being set up by the people, the system of looting and pillaging had come to an end.

It is a nice story but could not be further from the truth.

In the 1950s, to ‘adapt’ to the changing global financial climate, the City of London set up what are called ‘secrecy jurisdictions’. These were to operate within the last remnants of Britain’s small territories/colonies. Of Britain’s 14 oversea territories, 7 are bona fide tax havens or ‘secrecy jurisdictions’. A separate international financial market was also created to facilitate the flow of this offshore money, the Eurodollar market. Since this market has its banks outside of the UK and U.S., they are not under the jurisdiction of either country.

By 1997, nearly 90% of all international loans were made through this market.

What is often misunderstood is that the City of London’s offshore finances are not contained in a system of banking secrecy but rather of trusts. The difference being that a trust ultimately plays with the concept of ownership. The idea is that you hand over your assets to a trustee and at that point, legally those assets are no longer yours anymore and you are not responsible for accounting for them. Your connection to said assets is completely hidden.

In addition, within Britain’s offshore jurisdictions, there is no qualification required for who can become a trustee: anyone can set up a trust and anyone can become a trustee. There is also no registry of trusts in these territories. Thus, the only ones who know about this arrangement are the trustee and the settler.

John Christensen, an investigative economist, estimates that this capital *that legally belongs to nobody* could amount to as high as \$50 trillion within these British territories. Not only is this not being taxed, but a significant portion of it has been stolen from sectors of the real economy.

So how does this affect ‘formerly’ colonised countries?

There lies the rub for most developing nations. According to John Christensen, the combined external debts of Sub-Saharan African countries was \$177 billion in 2008. However, the wealth that these countries’ elites moved offshore, between 1970-2008, is estimated at \$944 billion, 5X their foreign debt! This is not only dirty money, this is also **STOLEN** money from the resources and productivity of these economies. Thus, as Christensen states, “Far from being a net debtor to the world, Sub-Saharan Africa is a net creditor” to offshore finance.

Put in this context, the so-called ‘backwardness’ of Africa is not due to its incapability to produce, but rather that it has been experiencing uninterrupted looting since these regions were first colonised.

These African countries then need to borrow money, which is happily given to them at high interest-rates and accrues a level of debt that could never be repaid. These countries are thus *looted twice over*, leaving no money left to invest in their future, let alone to put food on the table.

Offshore havens are what make this sort of activity ‘legal’ and rampant.

And it doesn’t stop there. Worldwide, it is estimated that developing countries lose \$1 trillion every year in capital flight and tax evasion. Most of this wealth goes back into the UK and U.S. through these offshore havens and allows their currencies to stay strong whilst developing nations’ currencies are kept weak. However, developing nations are not the only ones to have suffered from this system of looting. The very economies of the UK and U.S. have also been gutted. In the 1960s and onward, the UK and U.S., to compensate for the increase in money flow out of their countries decided that it was a good idea to open their domestic markets to the trillions of dollars passing through its offshore havens.

However, such banks are not interested in putting their money into industry and manufacturing, they put their money into real estate speculation, financial speculation and foreign currency trade. And thus, the financialization of British and American economies resulted, and the real jobs coming from the real economy decreased or disappeared.

Although many economists try to claim differently, the desperation has boiled over and movements like the yellow vests are reflections of the true consequences of these economic policies.

We have reached a point now where every Western first world country is struggling with a much higher unemployment rate and a lower standard of living than 40 years ago. Along with increased poverty has followed increased drug use, increased suicide and increased crime.

A ‘Stable’ Economy based on Freedom or Slavery?

According to the European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction (EMCDDA) report in 2017,²¹¹ the UK has by far the highest rate of drug overdose in all of Europe at 31% followed by Germany at 15%. That is, the UK consists of 1/3 drug overdoses that occur in all of Europe.

The average family income in the UK is presently around £28, 400. The poverty rate within the UK is ~20%. The average family income of what was once the epicentre of world industrialisation, Detroit, has an average family income of \$26, 249. The poverty rate of Detroit is ~34.5%. What is the solution?

Reverse Margaret Thatcher’s 1986 Big Bang deregulation of the banking system that destroyed the separation of commercial banking, investment banking, trusts and insurance for starters. A similar restoration of Glass-Steagall²¹² in the USA should follow suit, not only to break up the ‘Too Big to Fail’ banking system but to restore the authority of nation states over private finance once more. If these emergency measures were done before the markets collapse, *and they will collapse*, then the industrial-infrastructure revival throughout trans-Atlantic nations can still occur.

Let us hearken to the words of Clement Attlee, UK Prime Minister from 1945-1951:

“Over and over again we have seen that there is another power than that which has its seat at Westminster. The City of London, a convenient term for a collection of financial interests, is able to assert itself against the government of the country. Those who control money can pursue a policy at home and abroad contrary to that which is being decided by the people.”

²¹¹ European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction. (2017). [EMCDDA highlights growing threats posed by new and established substances](#)

²¹² Glass-Steagall was enacted in the first year of Roosevelt’s presidency and destroyed by Clinton in 1999 who deemed it “no longer appropriate” in a post-Volcker-Greenspan financial world of derivatives and bubbles. The Glass–Steagall legislation describes four provisions of the United States Banking Act of 1933 separating commercial and investment banking. The article 1933 Banking Act describes the entire

law, including the legislative history of the provisions covered herein. The separation of commercial and investment banking prevented securities firms and investment banks from taking deposits, and commercial Federal Reserve member banks from: dealing in non-governmental securities for customers, investing in non-investment grade securities for themselves, underwriting or distributing non-governmental securities, affiliating (or sharing employees) with companies involved in such activities



Chapter 10 - The Project for a New American Century and the Age of Bioweapons By Matthew Ehret

A little over 20 years ago²¹³, North American Aerospace Defense Command (NORAD) conducted a military exercise that involved a “hypothetical scenario” of hijacked planes flying into both the Pentagon and the World Trade Center.

One year later, on October 24-26, 2000, another “hypothetical” military exercise was played out featuring an airline crashing into the Pentagon killing 341 people followed by yet another May 2001²¹⁴ Department of Defense “hypothetical scenario” which saw hundreds of medical personnel training for a “guided missile in the form of a hijacked 757 airliner” crashing into the Pentagon.

What arose from the smoke and debris of September 11, 2001 was unlike anything the sleeping masses or international community expected.

The shock effect so traumatized the masses that quite suddenly, citizens found themselves willing to give up their liberties at home while acquiescing to any retaliatory action desired by their government abroad. The scale of horror was so great that the international community banded together and showed their love and solidarity towards America in the wake of the tragedy with candlelight vigils across Asia, the Middle East, Africa, Russia and South America. Humanity’s natural tendency to embrace and aid our fellow man in times of crisis expressed itself like a bright light in a

world of confused darkness and a hope for a durable peace awoke in the hearts of many.

Alas, as the world came soon to discover, that hope was short lived.

The Neocon Takeover of America

Police State measures grew swiftly with Ashcroft’s Patriot Act of 2001. It is a mistake to call this Ashcroft’s bill however as none other than Joe Biden pointed out that his 1995 Omnibus Terrorism Bill drafted in the wake of the first WTC bombings was the origin of the Ashcroft variant. Biden felt no shame stating publically²¹⁵ “I drafted a terrorism bill after the Oklahoma City bombing. And the bill John Ashcroft sent up was my bill.”

While a new type of regime change war was created abroad, dangerous protocols for Cheney’s “Continuity of Government” were set into motion and with these procedures, new mandates for Martial Law were created amplifying the powers, financing and deployment of U.S. military capabilities both within the USA “under crisis conditions” and around the world. It didn’t take long for citizens to begin recognizing stark parallels to the earlier Nazi-run inside job of 1933 when the German Reichstag was burned down and blamed on the communists.

²¹³ 9/11: [Was There Foreknowledge by Officials that the Pentagon would be Attacked?](#), By Consensus911.org, Sept. 09, 2015, Global Research

²¹⁴ [Pentagon Medics Trained For Strike](#), by Matt Mientka, Oct. 2001 at U.S. Medicine Archives

²¹⁵ [Joe Biden Admits He Wrote The Patriot Act In 1995!](#) By Sean Adl-Tabatabai, Aug. 26, 2015 at The People’s Voice News

Governments that had no connection to 9/11 were swiftly targeted for destruction using false evidence of “yellow cake” produced in the bowels of MI6, and a broader unipolar military encirclement of both Russia and China was set into motion which President Putin called out brilliantly in his famous 2007 Munich Security Conference Speech.

Of course this should not have been a surprise for anyone who took the time to read the Project for a New American Century manifesto published in October 2000 entitled Rebuilding America’s Defenses’ (RAD).

Under the Chairmanship of William Kristol and co-authored by John Bolton, Richard Perle, Dick Cheney, Robert Kagan, Paul Wolfowitz, Elliot Abrams, and Donald Rumsfeld, RAD stated that to *“further the process of transformation, even if it brings revolutionary change, is likely to be a long one-absent some catastrophic and catalyzing event- like a new Pearl Harbor”*. Going further to describe its Hobbesian agenda, the cabal stated that *“the Cold War was a bipolar world; the 21st century world is for the moment at least- decidedly unipolar with America as the world’s sole superpower”*.

While much has been said about the “inside job” of 9/11, a lesser appreciated terrorist act occurred over several weeks beginning on September 18, 2001 killing five and infecting 17 in the form of envelopes laced with bio-weaponized anthrax.²¹⁶

The Age of Bioweapons and PNAC

This anthrax attack led quickly into the 2004 Bioshield Act with a \$5 billion budget and mandate to “pre-empt and defend further bioweapon attacks”. This new chapter of the revolution in military affairs was to be coordinated from the leading bioweapons facility at the Medical Research Institute of Infectious Diseases at Fort Detrick. Since 2002, over \$50 billion has been spent on Bioweapons research and defense to date.

The earlier October 2000 RAD document emphasized the importance which the neocon cabal placed on bioweapons (and other next generation war tech) stating: *“Combat will likely take place in new*

dimensions: In space, cyber-space and perhaps the world of microbes... advanced forms of biological warfare that can “target” specific genotypes may transform biological warfare from the realm of terror to a politically useful tool”.

Lawyer and bioweapons expert Francis Boyle stated in 2007 that Fort Detrick’s mandate includes *“acquiring, growing, modifying, storing, packing, and dispersing classical, emerging and genetically engineered pathogens for offensive weapon programs.”* These new post-9/11 practices fully trashed the 1975 UN Convention Against Biological Weapons ratified by the USA by establishing a vast international network of bioweapons labs coordinated from Fort Detrick which would be assigned the role of doing much of the dirty work that the U.S. was “officially” prevented from doing on its own soil.

Where Hitler used the burning of the Reichstag to justify his enabling Acts, the neocons had their 9/11. The difference in the case of America was that Cheney failed to achieve the same level of absolute control over his nation as Hitler captured by 1934 (evidenced by pushback from patriotic American military intelligence circles against Cheney’s Iran war agenda in 2007). With this neocon failure, the republic lurched on.

The Rot Continues Under Obama

Obama’s rise was seen as a hopeful light to many naïve Democrats who still had not realized how a “false left” vs “false right” clash had been slowly constructed over the post WWII years. Either camp increasingly found itself converging towards the same world government agenda through using somewhat dissimilar paths and flavors.

It didn’t take long for many of Obama’s more critically-minded supporters to realize that the mass surveillance/police state measures, regime change wars, and military confrontation of Russia and China begun under Cheney not only failed to stop, but even expanded at faster rates than ever.

In the months before Obama left office in July 2016, the classified Directive 40: National Continuity Policy

²¹⁶ [Anthrax False Flag Redux?](#), By Kurt Nimmo, October 03, 2018 at Global Research

was enacted creating a line of “Devolution authority” for all branches of the government to a ***“duplicate chain of individuals secreted outside Washington available in a catastrophic emergency”***. Days prior to Trump’s inauguration, Federal Continuity Directive 1 was issued to transfer authority to military forces who could be used to suppress “insurrection, domestic violence, unlawful combination or conspiracy.”

The Importance of Knowing This History

There are very clearly two diametrically opposing methods of analyzing, and solving the existential crisis threatening our world currently: Multipolar or Unipolar.

While Russia and China represent a multipolar/pro-nation state vision driven by large scale development projects that benefit all- rich and poor alike exemplified by the New Silk Road, Polar Silk Road and Space Silk Road something much darker is being promoted by the same financial oligarchy that owns both right and left sides of the deep state coin. These latter forces have provably positioned themselves to take control of western governments under crisis conditions and are not afraid to use every weapon in their arsenal to destroy their perceived enemies... including ethnic-targeted pathogens generated in any number of the Pentagon’s global array of 300+ biolabs. This latter uncomfortable reality was asserted days into the launching of COVID-19 in January by leading officials of Iran²¹⁷ and even the Chinese Foreign Ministry.²¹⁸

Numbers are being systematically misrepresented²¹⁹ to convey much greater rates of death vs infections as dozens of leading medical experts have proven. Contaminated test kits and incompetent abuses of PCR tests were used early on to generate false positives while other testing protocols proved incapable of differentiating between covid-19 and the typical coronavirus strains of the flu that average between 7-14% of flu cases every year.

Despite the relative harmlessness of COVID-19, the fact is that evidence of something novel and laboratory generated has been established with leading medical specialists like Dr. Shankara Chetti²²⁰ of South Africa, Dr. Soňa Peková²²¹ of the Czech Republic and Dr Meryl Nass²²² of the USA having delivered bountiful evidence that the various waves of the pathogen were not only NOT naturally occurring, but ethnic specific and lab-generated.

After evaluating over 7,000 patients, Dr. Chetty observed early on that the patients who suffered the greatest during each of the four waves encountered in South Africa were ethnic specific with the first wave targeting only blacks, the second only Indians and the third Caucasians and Arabs. Dr Pekova recognized that each wave involved the disappearance of mutations contained in earlier versions of the pathogen which is a scientifically impossible occurrence while also noting that each wave in various nations resulted in allergic reactions targeting different bodily functions (lungs, gastrointestinal, nervous system etc).

Investigative Journalist Whitney Webb’s February 2020 research²²³ demonstrated conclusively that DARPA had received funding in tandem with Fort Detrick since 2017 on genetic modification of novel coronaviruses (with a focus on bats) as well as the development of never before used DNA and mRNA vaccines which change the structure of DNA both for an individual and potentially for a whole race (especially with the emergent CRISPR vaccine technology now being brought online)²²⁴.

Chinese foreign ministry spokesmen and Chinese UN representatives have consistently demanded that the west allow Russian biosecurity experts to present their vast array of US-run bioweapon evidence captured during the military operation in Ukraine as well as the massive arsenal of opaque US biolabs that are

²¹⁷ [Coronavirus May be a Product of US ‘Biological Attack’ Aimed at Iran and China, IRGC Chief Claims](#), By RT News, March 06, 2020 on Global Research

²¹⁸ [US sparks word war against China on COVID-19](#), By GT staff reporters, Mar. 13, 2020 at Source:Global Times

²¹⁹ [10 MORE Experts Criticising the Coronavirus Panic](#), by Swiss Propaganda Research, Mar 28, 2020 at OffGuardian

²²⁰ [Dr. Shankara Chetti](#) of South Africa

²²¹ [Dr. Soňa Peková](#) of the Czech Republic

²²² [Dr Meryl Nass](#) of the USA

²²³ [Bats, Gene Editing and Bioweapons: Recent Darpa Experiments Raise Concerns Amid Coronavirus Outbreak](#), by Whitney Webb, March 2, 2020 at the Greenville Post

²²⁴ [Project : CRISPR-based enhancers of DNA vaccines for COVID-19](#), by Alex Marson, M.D., Ph.D at the Innovative Genomics Institute

concentrated in Ukraine, Georgia and Taiwan (as well as 30 other nations)... to no avail.

Lastly, and most importantly, the pre-9/11 military exercises were not merely hypothetical scenarios but exercises which led directly into a new “Pearl Harbor” that modified the behaviour of Americans under terror, panic and misinformation like nothing ever seen before. The parallels to today’s coronavirus outbreak cannot be missed for anyone who has taken a serious look at the strange case of the Event 201 Global Pandemic Exercise²²⁵ on October 19, 2019 in New York. Event 201 was sponsored by the Michael Bloomberg School of Public Health at Johns Hopkins, the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, U.S. Central Intelligence Agency, and World Economic Forum which ran simulations under the “hypothetical” scenario of a novel coronavirus pandemic killing 60 million people. Reviewing just one of Event 201’s many recordings openly available on their official site features some very disturbing parallels to the events unfolding today:

This biological wargame scenario was by no means the first of its kind, but rather followed dozens of similar planning sessions which include the Rockefeller Foundation’s Operation Lockstep of 2011²²⁶, and earlier Dark Winter exercises of June 22-23, 2000²²⁷ which saw US government officials “playing” a scenario that involved Iraq deploying weaponized smallpox onto the US population

justifying a military invasion of course. It took a few months to decide to go with the “planes crashing into building” scenario instead, but the effect was always the same.

Unipolar Martial Law or Multipolar Marshall Plan?

China and Russia both understand the nature of the game and although neither nation has chosen to call out the fraud of the pandemic, both have resisted mRNA gene therapy approaches while also providing alternative treatments like Hydroxychloroquine-Zinc which has been entirely banned in the west. Although China’s current lockdown in Shanghai is jarring, if one realizes that they have been in a state of Defcon-2 since the start of the pandemic and have been trying to keep control of their sovereign capacity to respond to a new seeding of an ethnically targeted pathogen that could be released at any time, their behaviour becomes completely understandable.

Once all of the facts are taken into account, anyone still obsessed with labelling China an evil “complicit” partner in the Great Reset plans for global depopulation due to Beijing’s lockdown without taking any time to evaluate the reality of the US-run bioweapons program and how it is perceived by the leadership of Russia and China, then I would have to conclude that such a person is either a fool, a liar or both.

²²⁵ [Event 201](#) Global Pandemic Exercise

²²⁶ Rockefeller Foundation [Lock Step Report](#): Scenarios for the Future of Technology and International Development, May 2010

²²⁷ [All Roads Lead to Dark Winter](#), by Whitney Webb & Raul Diego, APRIL 1, 2020 at the Unz Review



In May 2022, the Task Force on National Security at the University of Ottawa published a white paper titled ‘A national security strategy for the 2020s: How Canada can adapt to a deteriorating security environment’.

This report laid out a clear plan for a major reorganization of Canada’s intelligence agencies to better deal with the “intense global instability when the security of Canada and other liberal democracies is under growing threat.”

Upon looking at those who participated in the crafting of this “discussion” paper, which includes several former CSIS directors, advisors to Prime Ministers and high level civil servants, it can quickly be seen that this is no benign academic exercise, but represents a top-down policy intention. The authors of the report reference the changes to Canada’s security architecture based upon the threat of Russian aggression, Chinese subversion to the western order, domestic terrorism with a focus on the “loss of confidence in government resulting in the spread of conspiracy theories and misinformation.

The prescriptions call for obvious expansions of the authority of Canada’s primary intelligence agency (CSIS), and greater coordination with the international Five Eyes with a focus on the guiding framework of

the July 2, 2021 UK white paper ‘Global Britain in a Competitive Age’²²⁸.

Before deciding whether or not CSIS powers or the Five Eyes should be expanded within the context of the global instability now unfolding, it is worth asking ‘What is the Canadian Communication Security Establishment exactly, and from where did the Five Eyes arise over the course of the previous century?’

Getting at the Heart of the Five Eyes

To properly answer this with a full appreciation into the historic forces at play, it is vital to jump back in time to the founder of the Rhodes Scholar program that birthed the Chrystia Freeland phenomenon²²⁹ in our modern age (Freeland after all a leading Rhodes Scholar and it would do us well to fully understand what that means). This exercise will take us to Cecil Rhodes, Governor of Rhodesia, father of systemic colonial rape of Africa and all around degenerate.

Here we shall find ourselves looking at this degenerate’s 1877 will and testament²³⁰. It was here that the self-described “race patriot” and “priest of the Church of the British Empire” called for a reorganization of the decaying empire when he said:

“Why should we not form a secret society with but one object the furtherance of the British Empire and the

²²⁸ [Policy paper](#): Global Britain in a Competitive Age: the Integrated Review of Security, Defence, Development and Foreign Policy, at GOV.UK

²²⁹ [Chrystia Freeland: Rhodes Scholar Trustee of the WEF, Deputy PM of Canada and the Failure of the ‘Super Elite’](#), by Matt Ehret, Feb. 11, 2021 at the Canadian Patriot

²³⁰ [Cecil Rhodes’ “Confession of Faith” of 1877](#)

bringing of the whole uncivilised world under British rule, for the recovery of the United States, and for the making the Anglo-Saxon race but one Empire... ”

Upon Rhodes’ 1902 death, his will served as a manifesto or “guiding spirit” underlying the formation of the deep state and later Five Eyes throughout the 20th century. Rhodes’ followers and upper level financiers of London like Lord Nathaniel Rothschild and Lord Milner established a scholarship in his name²³¹ to indoctrinate talented youth from around the world in the halls of Oxford in order to be redeployed back into their home countries in order to infiltrate all branches of influence public and private with a focus upon departments of Foreign Affairs. As the late Georgetown Professor Carrol Quigley documented in his *Anglo-American Establishment*²³², an international group was created by Rhodes’ disciples named The Round Table led by Milner, Lord Lothian, Leo Amery, and Lionel Curtis who created branches in all Anglo-Saxon nations to coordinate this new British Empire under the banner of “Round Table Movements”.

This group found an early opponent in the form of a Lincoln-admiring Canadian Prime Minister named Wilfred Laurier who had then been striving for deeper cooperation with a USA and independence from Britain (the USA at this time still had a very strong anti-imperial political culture). Sadly in 1911, Laurier’s government was taken down by a Roundtable-steered coup resulting in the defeated Prime Minister famously stating:

“Canada is now governed by a junta sitting at London, known as ‘The Round Table’, with ramifications in Toronto, in Winnipeg, in Victoria, with Tories and Grits receiving their ideas from London and insidiously forcing them on their respective parties.”

That comment was made in 1915.

By 1916, the Group, under Milner’s leadership initiated a soft coup in Britain²³³ unseating the Labour Party’s Herbert Asquith in order to shape the terms of the post WWI order.

The CFR and Death of the League of Nations

During the Versailles Process of 1919, the Round Table Group then firmly in charge of the British Government and Foreign Policy infrastructure created a powerful new think tank called the *Royal Institute for International Affairs* (aka: Chatham House) which set up sister branches in Australia, Canada, New Zealand and South Africa.

The American branch of the RIIA took the name *Council on Foreign Relations* (CFR) in 1921 and was fully staffed with indoctrinated Rhodes Scholars and Fabians all loyal to Rhodes’ vision. This was the group that attempted to impose world government²³⁴ under the League of Nations throughout the 1920s-1930s until it was finally killed by American (and Canadian) nationalists who preferred not to sacrifice their sovereignty to a bankers’ dictatorship.

If you want to know *what caused* the Five Eyes to come into being and how the USA lost its core anti-imperial character during the 20th century, you would have no satisfying answer if you avoided this fact as too many are in the habit of doing.

In spite of resistance from Laurier’s leading anti-Round Table allies who took back power in 1921 and anti-imperial forces in American who resisted Round Table control over the U.S. State Department under President Harding, the British/CFR problem only became more pronounced by the end of WWII as FDR stated to his son in a moment of frustration in 1943:

“You know, any number of times the men in the State Department have tried to conceal messages to me, delay them, hold them up somehow, just because some of those career diplomats over there aren’t in accord with what they know I think. They should be working for Winston. As a matter of fact, a lot of the time, they are [working for Churchill]. Stop to think of ’em: any number of ’em are convinced that the way for America to conduct its foreign policy is to find out what the British are doing and then copy that! I was told six

²³¹ [The Origins of the Deep State in North America Part I: The Round Table Movement](#), by Matt Ehret, Jan. 27, 2022 at the Canadian Patriot

²³² [The Anglo-American Establishment](#), by Carroll Quigley, published in 1981

²³³ [A very British Coup – Carson, the Press and the fall of Asquith](#), By Ed Mulhall at RTE Boston College

²³⁴ [How to Crush a Bankers’ Dictatorship: A Lesson From 1933](#), by Matthew Ehret, Nov. 8, 2019 at Strategic Culture

years ago, to clean out that State Department. It's like the British Foreign Office...."

FDR's son ominously recorded his father saying: *"I'll take care of these matters myself" was Roosevelt's now usual response on matters of crucial policy. 'I am the only person I can trust'.*" [Elliot Roosevelt, *As He Saw It*²³⁵ (1946)]

The Five Eyes grows over FDR's dead body

Even though American-British coded signal sharing began in 1943, no institutional takeover of American intelligence had yet occurred and Office of Strategic Services (OSS) was still firmly under control of American nationalists loyal to FDR's anti-colonial philosophy.

All of that changed with FDR's April 1945 death and the Round Table groups embedded throughout America's bureaucracy quickly took over as an Anglophile puppet named Harry Truman became president. Under Truman, the OSS was disbanded, and a new order was installed with the Anglo-American Special Relationship, the UKUSA Signal Intelligence Agreement of March 5, 1946 and the September 8, 1947 formation of the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA). Patriots loyal to FDR's post-war vision like Henry Wallace, Harry Dexter White, and Paul Robeson were torn down under the FBI dictatorship known as McCarthyism.

The policy of cultivating useful Ukrainian intelligence agents who had collaborated with Hitler's agenda and could again be useful in the new war against the Soviet Union in the newly emerging Cold War was hatched in the dirty basement of this post-OSS intelligence complex.

This new order of integrated intelligence saw the birth of the NSA in America, the Communications Security Establishment in Canada and sister organizations in Australia and New Zealand- all coordinating closely with the Royal Institutes/Round Table groups located in each Anglo Saxon nation. This was the fulfilment of Rhodes' vision and the origins of the Five Eyes.

²³⁵ [As he saw it](#), by Roosevelt, Elliott, published 1946

²³⁶ [The British-American coup that ended Australian independence](#), by John Pilger, Oct. 23, 2014

²³⁷ [The Sacking of Gough Whitlam and the Royal Intention Behind the Five Eyes](#), by Matt Ehret, June 14, 2020

Approaching modern history from this standpoint allows the mind to see clearly that while the American NSA/CIA hand certainly played a dirty role in the post-WWII order, the true **guiding mind** has always been found an ocean away from America.

The Cat is Stuffed Back into the Bag

Throughout the first three decades of the Cold War, the Five Eyes remained a total secret even to elected politicians. Australian Prime Minister Gough Whitlam²³⁶ was so shocked to discover the existence of covert intelligence connections between the Australian Security Intelligence Organization (ASIO) alongside its American and British counterparts that he fired its director in 1975. In response to the Prime Minister's defiance of imperial policy, Sir John Kerr (Australia's Governor General and actual Head of State) sacked Whitlam in 1975²³⁷, proving that contrary to popular belief, the Crown's powers are much more than the symbolic image which today's perception managers wish us to believe.

In America, a decade of assassinations as well as blatant CIA-run coups abroad resulted in a popular indignation and demand for justice resulting in the famous *Church Committee hearings* on CIA abuses. In response to this exposure, upper level Deep State assets like Sir Henry Kissinger, Cyrus Vance and Zbigniew Brzezinski conducted two purges of the CIA (1970 and 1978), abolished what little remained of the Board of National Estimates in 1973²³⁸ and moved many of the CIA's international covert operations to a new organization which came to be known as the National Endowment for Democracy as outlined in my previous article on the subject.²³⁹

In Canada a documentary aired on the Fifth Estate entitled 'The Espionage Establishment'²⁴⁰ in 1974 exposing the public to the Five Eyes and shed light for the first time to the Communications Security Establishment of Canada resulting in hearings in the House of Commons and Senate and a modest restructuring of the organization. While nothing systemic was ultimately addressed, lipstick was put

²³⁸ [Creating an American Intelligence Academy: An Important Lesson from the Past](#), by Dean Andromidas

²³⁹ [The Anglo-American Origins of Color Revolutions & NED](#), by Matt Ehret, Aug. 17, 2019 at Strategic Culture

²⁴⁰ [The espionage establishment of 1974](#), CBC/News Canada 50 years ago

onto the pig as the newly renamed Communications Security Establishment absorbed into the Department of Defense. When CSIS was created in 1984 (after the RCMP's Intelligence branch was caught red handed organizing the FLQ terrorist cells²⁴¹ one too many times), the CSE and new spy agency began coordinating closely with each other and today occupy adjacent buildings from each other in Ottawa.

The natural righteous indignation felt by the masses petered away under a culture of consumerism, cynicism and conformism resulting in a slide into decay which no patriot of FDR's generation could have imagined possible. Occasional bursts of angst and rage in the popular zeitgeist were absorbed and redirected by Hollywood films like *Soylent Green*²⁴² (1973), *The Network*²⁴³ (1976) and *1984*²⁴⁴ (1984) (to name a few). Rather than empower the population such films were designed to amplify impotent cynicism, defeatism and misdirect anger towards un-nameable shadowy corporate forces (*Soylent Green*), Saudi oil barons (*the Network*), or human nature itself (1984).

With the belief that the *causes of injustices* could either not be understood, or were supposed to be intrinsic to the human species, the population went to sleep and dream walked into the New World Order.

Those core moral principles which leaders like John Kennedy or Martin Luther King fought to awaken in the nation were rejected by the majority of baby boomers as mere naïve fantasy with no connection to “reality” as they were told it to be. But sadly, without core principles, post-truth liberalism found fertile soil to spread its roots. It is this post-truth order which serves as foundation of today's liberal order which Davos creatures within the Anglo-Canadian establishment have chosen to champion on behalf of those forces and heirs of Rhodes' vision who wish to become the lords of a uni-polar world.


It is only by recognizing the flaws and fallacies built upon generations of lies that an insight into the structural defects of this unipolar obsession can be gleaned. Through this insight, the awakening of the sleeping masses which began in 2016's defeat of Hillary, and arose again during the Freedom Convoy in Ottawa and which threatens to emerge once more in the lead up to the upcoming US elections remains a nightmare for the oligarchy. Were the population to reclaim their natural rights amidst this crisis, within the context of the Eurasian partnership doing battle against the forces of the New World Order, then a chance remains that humanity could re-align itself towards a new system founded upon creative growth, industrial progress, sovereignty and cooperation.

²⁴¹ [The RCMP: getting at their dirty tricks](#), by Robert Lewis, May 29, 1978 in Macleans

²⁴² [Soylent Green](#) (1973) Trailer

²⁴³ [Network](#) (1976) Official Trailer - Peter Finch Movie

²⁴⁴ [1984](#) (John Hurt) - Official Trailer



Since a new wave of ‘domestic terror attacks’ have erupted over the past two weeks both in Buffalo and now more recently in Texas, the citizens of the USA and trans-Atlantic community more broadly are being whipped up into a frenzy of fear and confusion over the causes of ‘domestic terror’ which we are told can only be remedied by increased dictatorial powers over the population.

As has often been the trend in our post-9/11 age, such highly publicized atrocities have tended to carry in their wake ever expanded state powers to surveille, censor and manipulate the confused and fearful population who lacks an ability to discern the true causes of the horrifying events framed for their consumption on mainstream media.

Before acquiescing to greater tyrannical powers to those agencies controlling western governments in exchange for promised security, it were wise to evaluate how and why terrorism – domestic or otherwise – has tended to arise over the past century.

If, in the course of conducting this evaluation, we find that terrorism is truly a “naturally occurring phenomenon”, then perhaps we might conclude alongside many eminent figures of the intelligence community and Big Tech²⁴⁵, that new pre-emptive legislation targeting the rise of a new conservative-minded domestic terrorist movement is somehow necessary. Maybe the censoring of free

speech, and the surveillance of millions of Americans by the Five Eyes is a necessary evil for the sake of the greater good.

However, if it is revealed that the thing we call “terrorism”, is something other than a naturally occurring, self-organized phenomenon, but rather something which only exists due to vast support from western political agencies, then a very different conclusion must be arrived at which may be disturbing for some.

But how to proceed?

Before it was revealed that ISIS was being supported²⁴⁶ by a network of Anglo-American intelligence agencies and their allies in a failed effort to overthrow Bashar al Assad, an exhaustive 2012 study was conducted by the Center on National Security at Fordham Law School²⁴⁷. This study provides a convenient entry point to our inquiry.

In this course of its investigation, researchers at Fordham discovered that EVERY SINGLE ONE of the 138 terrorist incidents recorded in the USA between 2001-2012 involved FBI informants who played leading roles in planning out, supplying weapons, instructions and even recruiting Islamic terrorists to carry out terrorist acts on U.S. soil. Reporting on the Fordham study, The Nation stated²⁴⁸:

²⁴⁵ [The New Domestic War on Terror is Coming](#), by Glenn Greenwald, Jan. 19, 2021 at SOTT greenwald.substack.com

²⁴⁶ [The ISIS Islamic Terrorists are Supported by the US, Israel and Saudi Arabia](#), By Washington's Blog Aug. 16, 2014

²⁴⁷ [Deploying Informants, the FBI Stings Muslims](#), by Tim Robinson, June 14, 2012 in The Nation

²⁴⁸ Ibid

“Nearly every major post-9/11 terrorism-related prosecution has involved a sting operation, at the center of which is a government informant. In these cases, the informants—who work for money or are seeking leniency on criminal charges of their own—have crossed the line from merely observing potential criminal behavior to encouraging and assisting people to participate in plots that are largely scripted by the FBI itself. Under the FBI’s guiding hand, the informants provide the weapons, suggest the targets and even initiate the inflammatory political rhetoric that later elevates the charges to the level of terrorism.”

Of course, this trend preceded 9/11 itself as we see in the case of FBI informant Emad Salem (formerly associated with the Egyptian Military) who recorded hundreds of hours of conversation between himself and his FBI handlers which were reported publicly by the New York Times on October 28, 1993²⁴⁹. Why is this important? Because Emad Salem was the figure who rented the van, hotel rooms, provided bomb-making instruction, tested out explosives on behalf of Mohammed Salamah and 15 other terrorists who carried out the February 1993 World Trade Center bombing which injured 1000 and killed 6 people.

Even though several large-scale military war game scenarios were conducted between October 2000 and July 2001²⁵⁰ featuring planes flying into both the World Trade Center buildings and Pentagon, the incoming Neocon administration were somehow caught with their pants down when the events of 9/11 finally took place (conveniently at a moment that NORAD had suffered a total breakdown²⁵¹ of their continental warning and response systems). When all flights were grounded over the coming several days, Cheney and his PNAC cohorts ensured that the only flights permitted to leave the USA was crammed with

high level Saudi royals- including the Bin Laden family.

Why was this done?

As the declassified 28 pages²⁵² from the 9/11 Commission report went far to demonstrate, the Saudis- largely coordinated by Prince Bandar Bin Sultan (Saudi Ambassador to the USA from 1983-2005 and Bush family insider) had provided the foundation for a cover story that was carefully scripted to justify the 9/11 incident.

Whether the plot was hatched by CIA-Saudi sponsored terrorists as some assume, or whether it was a controlled demolition as hundreds of architects and engineers²⁵³ have testified to (or whether it was a combination of both stories), one thing is certain: The official narrative is a lie and no matter how you try to explain it, two airplanes cannot cause the collapse of three WTC buildings.²⁵⁴

Another thing is certain: Biden was happy.

Not only did Joe Biden act as one of the most aggressive voices for the invasion of Iraq in the days following 9/11, but he even bragged publicly²⁵⁵ that John Ashcroft’s 2001 Patriot Act²⁵⁶ was modelled nearly verbatim on his own failed 1994 Omnibus domestic surveillance legislation drafted in response to the first 9/11 attack and 1994 Oklahoma City bombing.

Another important outcome of 9/11 involved the re-organization of the FBI with a focus on domestic terrorist surveillance, prevention, disruption and entrapment.

In 2001, MI5’s Chief came to the USA where then-FBI director Robert Mueller was assigned the task of carrying out this new remix of U.S. intelligence that involved re-activating many of the worst characteristics of the FBI’s earlier COINTEL PRO

²⁴⁹ [Bomb Informer's Tapes Give Rare Glimpse of F.B.I. Dealings](#), By Richard Bernstein With Ralph Blumenthal, Oct. 31, 1993, NY Times

²⁵⁰ [The Project for a New American Century and the Age of Bioweapons: 20 Years of Psychological Terror](#), by Matt Ehret, April 8, 2020 at Strategic Culture

²⁵¹ [The Case Against Ralph Eberhart, NORAD’s 9/11 Commander](#), by Kevin Ryan, Jan. 12, 2013 at Dig Within

²⁵² [The '28 Pages' Explained](#), by Larisa Alexandrovna Horton, July 21, 2016 at AntiWar.com

²⁵³ [Architects & Engineers for 9/11 Truth](#)

²⁵⁴ University of Fairbanks [Study](#) Finds Fire Did Not Cause Building 7’s Collapse on 9/11, Mar. 2020

²⁵⁵ [The Many Occasions Joe Biden Took Credit For Writing The Patriot Act](#), by Andrew Kaczynski, Sept. 10, 2015 at BuzzFeed

²⁵⁶ [USA PATRIOT Act](#), 2001, by Brian Duignan, Last Updated: May 11, 2023 at HistoryBritanica

operations that were made public during the 1974 Church Committee²⁵⁷ hearings.

A Christian Science Monitor report from May 19, 2004²⁵⁸ cited the changes in the following terms:

“They have done a number of things to move them in the direction of an MI5,” says a person close to the changes. “They’ve created agents who are trained to have an intelligence function. They’re monitoring organizations within the U.S. that pose threats to national security ... not with an eye toward prosecuting, but toward collecting and analyzing that information.”

An incredible report²⁵⁹ by investigative Journalist Edward Spannaus listed a short list of some of the most extreme cases of FBI entrapment between 2001-2013 in the USA:

“One of the most egregious of these cases is the so-called “Newburgh Four” in New York State, in which an informant in 2008-09 offered the defendants \$250,000, as well as weapons, to carry out a terrorist plot. The New York University Center for Human Rights and Justice reviewed this case and two others, and concluded: “The government’s informants introduced and aggressively pushed ideas about violent jihad and, moreover, actually encouraged the defendants to believe it was their duty to take action against the United States.”

The Federal judge presiding over the Newburgh case, Colleen McMahon, declared that it was “beyond question that the government created the crime here,” and criticized the Bureau for sending informants “trolling among the citizens of a troubled community, offering very poor people money if they will play some role—any role—in criminal activity.”

In Portland, Ore., it was disclosed during the trial of the “Christmas Tree bomber” earlier this year, that the FBI had actually produced its own terrorist training video, which was shown to the defendant, depicting men with covered faces

shooting guns and setting off bombs using a cell phone as a detonator. The FBI operative also traveled with the target to a remote location where they detonated an actual bomb concealed in a backpack as a trial run for the planned attack.

In Brooklyn, N.Y., in 2012, an FBI agent posing as an al-Qaeda operative supplied a target with fake explosives for a 1,000-pound bomb, which the FBI’s victim then attempted to detonate outside the Federal Reserve building in Manhattan.

In Irvine, Calif., in 2007, an FBI informant was so blatant in attempting to entrap members of the local Islamic Center into violent jihadi actions, that the mosque went to court and got a restraining order against the informant.

In Pittsburgh, Khalifa Ali al-Akili became so suspicious of two “jihadi” FBI informants who were trying to recruit him to buy a gun and to go to Pakistan for training, that he contacted both the London Guardian and the Washington-based National Coalition to Protect Civil Freedoms, and told them that he feared the FBI was trying to entrap him. The National Coalition scheduled a press conference for March 16, 2012, at which al-Akili was to speak and identify the informants, but the day before the scheduled press conference, the FBI arrested al-Akili, charging him not with terrorism, but with illegal possession of a firearm.

The chief informant trying to entrap al-Akili turned out to be Shaden Hussain, a longtime FBI informant who had set up two earlier terrorism cases: the above-cited Newburgh, N.Y., case for which he was paid \$100,000, and another in Albany, N.Y., for which his payments are not known.”

In the months since the events on January 6, 2021 we have only seen that this practice continued in full swing within the United States, as reports of FBI agents provocateurs began to circulate widely even being covered extensively by Tucker Carlson²⁶⁰ on June 15. Recent revelations that the

²⁵⁷ [Church Committee Book III: COINTELPRO](#), AARC Library

²⁵⁸ [How FBI is remaking intelligence functions](#), By Faye Bowers, May 19, 2004, for The Christian Science Monitor

²⁵⁹ [Does the FBI Stop Terrorism, or Create It?](#) A Brief History, by Edward Spannaus, May 3, 2013

²⁶⁰ [‘FBI operatives were organizing the attack on the Capitol’: Tucker Carlson claims the January 6 insurrection was planned](#)



Pierre Trudeau's Cité Libre served as a powerful instrument during before and during the Quiet Revolution. The Cité Libre network that rose to heights of power and scandal during the October Crisis. Several of the most prominent figures associated with the review include (from left to right top): Trudeau, Levesque, Pelletier, Marchand, and bottom: Lalonde, Gagnon, Pitfield, and FLQ leader Pierre Vallières

“domestic terrorist” cell which recently plotted the kidnaping of Michigan’s Governor Whitmer were composed primarily of FBI informants²⁶¹ and even the Proud Boys Enrique Tarrio was proven to be an FBI informant earlier this year²⁶² along with leading strata of the Oath Keepers. The fact that Steve D’Antuono (FBI bureau chief in Michigan overseeing Whitmer operation) was promptly promoted to FBI bureau chief of Washington D.C.²⁶³ where his skills were put to good use on January 6 should not be lost on anyone.

Just to add a cherry atop this poisoned Sundae, during this same period of time, it was revealed by leaked FBI documents²⁶⁴ that Joshua Caleb Sutter- controller of the white supremacist group Atomwaffen and also the satanic Temple of Blood cult was paid over \$180,000 by the FBI since 2003 (\$80,000 of which was paid out after 2018).

Not Only the USA

This post 9/11 practice was not isolated to the USA, as a Canadian appeals court overruled²⁶⁵ guilty sentences handed down to an idiotic couple who were caught by the RCMP before their July 2016 jihadi plot to bomb a public venue on Canada Day could occur. Why did the appeals judge overrule their sentence? Because it became clear that every single member of the operation which radicalized the young couple, trained them to make bombs and even scripted their attack were RCMP informants!

Earlier cases of controlled domestic terrorist movements in Canada saw CSIS (Canada’s Security and Intelligence Service) erase thousands of hours of wiretaps of Sikh terrorists²⁶⁶ that detonated bombs in 1984 which lead to 329 dead in the worst act of aviation terrorism until 9/11. Despite this destruction of evidence, CSIS was absolved of its sins in 2005 by the Security Intelligence Review Committee (SIRC). It was also this same organization that was revealed to have co-founded the white supremacist Heritage

²⁶¹ [by the government](#), by Harriot Alexander, June 16, 2021 for the DailyMail

²⁶² [The FBI Allegedly Used At Least 12 Informants In The Michigan Kidnapping Case](#), by Jessica Garrison & Ken Bensinger, July 12, 2021 at BuzzFeed

²⁶³ [Proud Boys leader Enrique Tarrio was an FBI informant](#), by Aram Roston, Jan. 27, 2021 at The Guardian

²⁶⁴ [Detroit's Top FBI Agent Promoted To Head D.C. Field Office](#), by Allan Lengel, Oct. 13, 2020 for Deadline Detroit

²⁶⁵ [National Justice Exclusive: Notorious "Nazi" Satanic Group Is An Illegal Counter Intelligence Operation Controlled By Two Career FBI Informants](#), by Eric Striker, Jan. 15, 2021 on The Unz Review

²⁶⁶ [RCMP entrapment of B.C. couple in legislature bomb plot was 'travesty of justice,' court rules](#), by Jason Proctor, Dec 19, 2018 for CBC News

²⁶⁷ [Twenty years since the Air India bombings—Part 2](#), by David Adelaide, 30 July 2005 at World Socialist Web Site

Front²⁶⁷ in 1988, and continued to finance it with tax payer funds using CSIS agent Grant Bristol as the conduit and Heritage Front controller until at least 1994.

Anglo-Canadian intelligence controls of domestic terrorism actually go as far back as the bomb-loving Front de Liberation Quebec (FLQ) of the 1960s that set dozens of mailbox bombs across the province. Not only did the RCMP Security Services get caught red handed²⁶⁸ managing FLQ cells, spreading FLQ graffiti on buildings and even supplying explosives²⁶⁹ to the group itself, but the FLQ's "intellectual leader" (Pierre Vallières)²⁷⁰ was also the Editor-in-Chief of the very same magazine (Cite Libre)²⁷¹ which was run for a decade by none other than Canada's Prime Minister Pierre Elliot Trudeau!

When major press agencies blew the whistle on the federal intelligence agencies behind the FLQ which justified months of Martial Law in Quebec in 1970²⁷², Trudeau's right hand man (and fellow Cite Libre writer) Michael Pitfield created a new organization called the Canadian Security Intelligence Service (CSIS) in 1983 as a branch of the Privy Council Office in order to continue psychological operations going under a new name.

If anyone wishes to look through the voluminous RCMP/CSIS files accumulated on Pierre Trudeau's strange connections with the FLQ and broader Fabian Society networks during the Cold War²⁷³, they would

be out of luck as historians were informed in 2019 that the entire Trudeau record archive were secretly destroyed by CSIS in 1989²⁷⁴ simply because they "weren't interesting".

It is important to keep in mind that the RCMP's techniques were not specifically Canadian, but were innovated by the FBI's Counter-intelligence Program (COINTEL PRO) which J. Edgar Hoover launched in 1956 in order to subvert "dangerous civil rights groups" then emerging under the leadership of Paul Robeson²⁷⁵ and Martin Luther King Jr.²⁷⁶ From the program's inception until its nominal death in 1975, not only did the FBI infiltrate every anti-establishment²⁷⁷ grouping from the *U.S. Communist Party (CPUSA)*, to the Southern Christian Leadership Conference (SCLC), NAACP to the Black nationalist movements throughout the 1960s, but ensured that its informants played leading roles in instilling internal conflict, radicalized groups towards violence and even set up leaders like Fred Hampton for assassination.²⁷⁸

The strange case of Bernadine Dohrn and Bill Ayers who enjoyed vast institutional support and protection after their time running domestic terrorism as leaders of the Weather Underground is something that should also be investigated. The fact that both domestic terrorists not only became affluent Soros-tied education reformers, and early sponsors of Barack Obama's political career is²⁷⁹ more than just a tiny anomaly which can simply be dismissed²⁸⁰.

²⁶⁷ [The CSIS spy who co-founded Heritage Front neo-Nazi group](#), by Dale Brazao, Sept. 26, 2016 for the Toronto Star

²⁶⁸ [The RCMP: getting at their dirty tricks](#), by Robert Lewis, May 29, 1978 in Macleans

²⁶⁹ [RCMP, FLQ and State Terrorism : Canada's Political Police at Work](#), by Richard Fidler, 1978, , CanadaLibre

²⁷⁰ [Pierre Vallières](#), Wikipedia

²⁷¹ [Like Father, Like Son: How the Trudeaus Manufacture Crises to Justify "Emergency Measures"](#), by Matt Ehret, Feb.17, 2022 at Unlimited Hangout

²⁷² Ibid

²⁷³ [The Origins Of The Deep State In North America Part 2: Milner'S Perversion Takes Over Canada](#), By Matt Ehret, Mar. 23, 2021 at The Canadian Patriot

²⁷⁴ [CSIS destroyed secret file on Pierre Trudeau, stunning historians](#), by Jim Bronskill, Jun 15, 2019 on the National Post

²⁷⁵ [Paul Robeson: His Life as an Unfinished Symphony](#), April 2022 at The Rising Tide Foundation

²⁷⁶ [On the Anniversary of King's Murder: Let Us End His "Second Assassination"](#), by Matt Ehret, Apr. 5, 2022 at The Canadian Patriot

²⁷⁷ [The FBI and the Origins of McCarthyism](#), by Kenneth O'Reilly, The Historian, Vol. 45, No. 3 (MAY 1983), pp. 372-393 (22 pages)

²⁷⁸ [RIP Fred Hampton, Black Panther Assassinated by the FBI](#), by Jefferson Morley, Feb. 15, 2021 on DeepStates

²⁷⁹ [Obama and Bill Ayers: Together from the Beginning](#), by Bernie Quigley, Sept. 24, 2008 on The Hill

²⁸⁰ By the late 1970s, the creation of controlled terrorist movements was applied vigorously to the Middle East in the form of Zbigniew Brzezinski's great idea of channeling money, weapons and other support to radical madrasas across Afghanistan as part of an asymmetrical warfare against the Soviet Union. Throughout the 1980s and 1990s, these operations vastly expanded with the help of Saudi intelligence and Mossad involvement on the ground- always coordinated by Anglo American intelligence handlers. Islamic terrorism, just like "domestic American terrorism" always had much less to do with Islam and more to do

Where did Hoover's FBI generate COINTEL PRO tactics?

To answer this question, we need to look further back to British Intelligence's Camp X²⁸¹, established in December 1941 in Canada with the mandate to train American and Canadian spies under the control of spymaster William Stephenson (station chief for Britain's Secret Intelligence Service (SIS) in New York).

The motive for Camp X had two interconnected components:

- 1) Prepare the groundwork for a deeper integration of U.S.-British Intelligence in preparation for the purge of patriotic U.S. intelligence officers²⁸² allied to FDR's vision of the post-war age, and
- 2) Train U.S. spies in the art of "secret warfare" which included counterfeiting, psychological warfare, propaganda, counter insurgency, assassination, and infiltration of target groups.

The integration of "full spectrum" alternative warfare tactics such as MK Ultra (modelled and steered by Britain's earlier Tavis stock clinic)²⁸³, media propaganda (see: Project Mockingbird)²⁸⁴ and cultural war (see: the rise of modern art and atonalism promoted by the Congress For Cultural Freedom)²⁸⁵ were but a few of the tactics that were integrated during this process, and which continue virulently to this day.

Under Stephenson's direction and staffed with Canadian RCMP operatives, the first generation of OSS spymasters were trained; including leading figures of the FBI's Division 5 who went onto reformulate their WWII Camp X training in the form of assassination operations such as Permindex²⁸⁶ (operated by Camp X's Major General Louis Mortimer Bloomfield).

In Conclusion

While I could have said more about the origins of America's Secret Police²⁸⁷ which arose under Presidents Teddy Roosevelt and Woodrow Wilson, or the earlier deployment of domestic terrorism by Freemasonic lodges affiliated with Albert Pike (founder of the Ku Klux Klan)²⁸⁸ in an effort to undo Lincoln's vision for industrial restoration of the South, these stories will have to be left for another time.

For now, it is enough to state that the "war on terror" set into motion by the World Trade Center attacks of 1993 and 2001, is now expanding to target a broad spectrum of the American population who would be morally resistant to the sorts of anti-human policies demanded by Great Reset Technocrats²⁸⁹. This dishonest effort must be exposed and rejected before those actual controllers of terrorism attain their objectives: The destruction of nation states, the imposition of a new ethical paradigm premised on depopulation and entropy²⁹⁰.

with political agendas wishing to destroy national governments.

²⁸¹ [Camp X](#), Wikipedia

²⁸² [The Enemy Within: A Story of the Purge of American Intelligence](#), by Cynthia Chung, June 14, 2020 at Strategic Culture

²⁸³ [Cultism's Roots in MK-Ultra](#), EIR Special Report, Dec. 5-11, 1978

²⁸⁴ [Inside Operation Mockingbird — The CIA's Plan To Infiltrate The Media](#), By Kara Goldfarb on Dec. 4, 2021 at ATI

²⁸⁵ [Why Must Aesthetics Govern A Society Worthy Of Political Freedom? Ask the CIA](#), by Matthew Ehret on May 17, 2022 at the Rising Tide Foundation

²⁸⁶ [Montreal's Permindex and the Deep State Plot to Kill John F. Kennedy](#), class by Matt Ehret, posted on Nov. 18, 2019 at the Canadian Patriot Press

²⁸⁷ [The Origins of America's Secret Police](#), by Cynthia Chung on Jan. 8, 2021 at the Strategic Culture

²⁸⁸ [The Mystery of Albert Pike: Satanist, Racist or Great Man?](#), by TS on Apr. 27, 2016 at the Mad Truther

²⁸⁹ [What the Great Reset Architects Don't Want You To Understand About Economics](#), by Matt Ehret on Oct. 26, 2020 at the Canadian Patriot

²⁹⁰ [Will Entropy Define the New World Paradigm?](#), By Matthew Ehret on Mar. 22, 2023 at the Rising Tide Foundation



In June 2020, amidst an unprecedented global lockdown of world economies, a new project was unveiled by the World Economic Forum and announced by King (then Prince) Charles as ‘[The Great Reset](#)’²⁹¹. Since that time, world leaders have been corralled into dozens of World Economic Forum conferences with titles such as “The Davos Agenda”²⁹² in order to discuss the foundations of the emerging new world economic architecture.

For those who have not yet made this disturbing discovery, the Great Reset agenda was first unveiled by the World Economic Forum as a cover for imposing a new world economic order upon nation states. This reset hides behind a veneer of morality but is actually reveals a to feudalism with a technotronic twist.

“Technotronic” in this sense does not refer to the 1980s band that made “Pump up the Jam” famous but rather Zbigniew Brzezinski’s 1970 ‘Between Two Ages: America in the Technotronic Era’²⁹³ where the arch globalist famously stated:

“The technotronic era involves the gradual appearance of a more controlled society. Such a society would be dominated by an elite, unrestrained by traditional values. Soon it will be possible to assert almost continuous surveillance over every citizen and maintain up-to-date complete files containing even the most personal information about the citizen. These

files will be subject to instantaneous retrieval by the authorities.”

As I outlined in chapter 9 of this report, Brzezinski’s 1970 opus served as a manifesto for the new Trilateral Commission which he would oversee along with David Rockefeller and Henry Kissinger which formally emerged in 1973.

Brzezinski’s work with the Trilateral Commission indicates, while the Great Reset was officially unveiled in June 2020, its origins can be traced back many decades earlier.

The formula driving this “global cure-all” is simple and starts with the following presumptions:

1) COVID-19 has caused world economic systems to grind to a halt. 2) Now the leaders of the world have a golden opportunity to correct the abuses of unbounded free market monetarism which became hegemonic since 1971 and establish a new global economic order. 3) This new order will be premised on a mass behavioral modification of humanity in order to end climate change (by decarbonizing the world to pre-industrial levels) while also creating top-down regimes that can end COVID-19, all in one unified thrust. Whether or not these crises are in fact the existential

²⁹¹ [The Great Reset](#), The World Economic Forum and [How the world can ‘reset’ itself after COVID-19 – according to these experts](#), June 3, 2020 at The World Economic Forum

²⁹² [The Davos Agenda](#) Jan. 25-29, 2021 at The World Economic Forum

²⁹³ [Between Two Ages: America’s Role in the Technetronic Era](#), 1970 Report by Zbigniew Brzezinski

threats we have been sold or whether they are chimerical non-issues created by computer modellers²⁹⁴ is a topic to be tackled another day.

Giddy Technocrats Celebrate the Crisis

Speaking at the World Economic Forum (WEF) last year, Prince Charles stated buoyantly “We have a golden opportunity to seize something good from this crisis- its unprecedented shockwaves may well make people more receptive to big visions of change. It is an opportunity we have never had before and may never have again.” (1)

WEF founder and Chairman Klaus Schwab echoed these words saying: “The pandemic represents a rare but narrow window of opportunity to reflect, reimagine, and reset our world”.

Even the Vatican itself under Pope Francis has jumped on board endorsing the Great Reset²⁹⁵ while creating a green “Council for Inclusive Capitalism With the Vatican”.

U.S. Special Envoy for Climate John Kerry stated on January 23: “The notion of a Reset is more important than ever before. I personally believe we’re at the dawn of an extremely exciting time.”

Of course, words like “social justice”, “equality” and “development” are freely bandied about by Davos creatures but when one digs into *the means promoted* to achieve the mass decarbonization of world civilization, a different picture emerges.

Not only would these “decarbonizing Green New Dealers” tie civilization to low quality, incredibly expensive and woefully unreliable forms of energy rooted in windmills and solar panels, but forcing the swift elimination of fossil fuels (and the conspicuous

How Much Fuel of Different Types Provides the Same Amount of Energy as a Tank of Gas?

FUEL SOURCE	AMOUNT OF FUEL
Combustion of Wood	300 Pounds
Combustion of Coal	200 Pounds
Combustion of Gas	16 Gallons (Gas Tank)
Typical Nuclear Fuel	1 Paperclip*
Deuterium-Tritium Fusion	1 Grain of Rice*
Matter-Antimatter Reaction	1 Flea Egg*

* Equivalent amount of weight (To provide the same amount of energy as an average tank of gasoline, more or less weight of various different fuel types is required, because of their differing energy densities. The value for typical nuclear fuel would be significantly higher with the use of reprocessing and breeder reactors.)

absence of nuclear power development) would constrict civilization’s ability to sustain its population and agro-industrial needs in stark terms.²⁹⁶ The greatest hit under this green paradigm would be the poor who, at least for a short period, desperately require vastly increased uses of the fossil fuels located under their soil in order to industrialize.

Additionally, Davos Creatures promoting this decarbonized world have laid out in depth, a new system of Green hegemonic digital currency²⁹⁷ controlled by the City of London and the Central Bankers’ Climate Councils.²⁹⁸ These financiers love the idea of expert panels of managing humanity outside of the “messy institutions of democracies” which have historically blocked the enlightened elite from making the “tough draconian” decisions for the common good [since the days of the League of Nations](#).²⁹⁹

What is it about Putin and Xi which inspires such fear in the hearts of the Great Reset Architects? In the

²⁹⁴ [From COP-21 to COVID-19: The Collapse of ‘Predictive Models’ and the Return to Actual Thinking](#), by Matt Ehret, on Apr.21, 2020, at the Canadian Patriot

²⁹⁵ Here’s the [pope’s prescription](#) for resetting the global economy in response to COVID-19, in the World Economic Forum’s, SDG 08: Decent Work & Economic Growth on Oct 9, 2020

²⁹⁶ [Is Sustainable-Energy Even Possible at the Global Level?](#) By David J. Pristash on Feb. 1, 2019 at Principia Scientific publications

²⁹⁷ [Carney’s Green Crypto Currency](#): Precursor to a Financial Meltdown, by Matthew Ehret on Oct. 31, 2019 at Strategic Culture

²⁹⁸ [Yellen, Carney Back Central Bank-Like Councils on Climate Change](#), on Oct. 8, 2020 at BQ Prime

²⁹⁹ [Hyperinflation, Fascism and War: How the New World Order May Be Defeated Once More](#), by Matt Ehret on May 11, 2022 on the Canadian Patriot

simplest terms, the answer is “open system economics”.

Open vs Closed Systems

While the Great Reset Architects³⁰⁰ are thoroughly committed to closed operating systems which demand computer models be imposed onto the world guiding a zero-growth policy towards total equilibrium and “entropy”, the multipolar alliance led by Xi and Putin are committed to “open system” thinking.

Where the closed system/unipolar model demands the submission of governments to a totalitarian system of controls of “experts” who are uniquely qualified to control the diminishing rates of return of fixed resources, the open system/multipolar model demands a respect for sovereign nations and a focus upon the creation of new resources via scientific and technological progress. Where one is premised on a zero-sum game of win-lose behavior (aka: the survival of the fittest), the other is premised upon a non-zero-sum game of win-win cooperation.


When confronted with resource scarcity and population growth, closed system thinkers adopt a Malthusian view that population growth must be culled

capacity” in some imagined state of “natural equilibrium” which said models demand must exist.

This is the sort of thinking going on behind the eyeballs of World Wildlife Foundation founder Prince Philip of Mountbatten who stated in a 1988 interview with Deutsche Press Agentur:

“The more people there are, the more resources they’ll consume, the more pollution they’ll create, the more fighting they will do. We have no option. If it isn’t controlled voluntarily, it will be controlled involuntarily by an increase in disease, starvation and war. ...In the event that I am reincarnated, I would like to return as a deadly virus, in order to contribute something to solve overpopulation.”

On the other hand, open system thinkers promote scientific and technological progress and industrial growth in order to overcome said states of “carrying capacity”. This approach reflects an understanding that value is not located in money, or any material phenomenon per se, but rather in the immaterial powers of cognition and metaphysical laws of intention, creativity, morality, hope and justice. Malthusian materialists tend to get very uncomfortable at such “abstract” and “unscientific” ideas.



Thomas Malthus and his model of population growth

to adhere to mathematical models of “carrying

³⁰⁰ [What the Great Reset Architects Don't Want You To Understand About Economics](#), by Matt Ehret on Oct. 26, 2020 on the Canadian Patriot

Where one system promotes the trojan horse seeds of its own annihilation, the other promotes the seeds of fruitful new epochs of continual growth and discoveries both on the surface of the earth and also beyond.

Xi Jinping's Speech

Speaking on January 25,³⁰¹ President Xi called for “four major tasks facing people of our times”: 1) macro economic needs, 2) a foreign policy of peaceful co-existence and win-win cooperation, 3) close the north south inequality gap and 4) coordinate to deal with global challenges.

On the first task, Xi stated: *“We need to shift the driving forces and the growth models of the global economy and improve its structure, so as to set the course for long term, sound, and steady development of the world economy.”*

Pushing back against the Hobbesian unipolarists presiding over the summit, Xi defended his 2nd Task saying: *“Difference in itself is no cause for alarm. What does bring alarm is arrogance, prejudice, and hatred. It is the attempt to impose hierarchy on human civilization, or to force one's own history, culture, and social system upon others. The right choice is for countries to pursue peaceful coexistence based on mutual respect, and only finding common ground, while shelving differences, and to promote exchanges and mutual learning. This is the way to add impetus to the progress of human civilization.”*

Defending poor nations' rights to control their own developmental pathways, Xi announced the 3rd task saying: *“The international community should keep its eyes on the long run, honor its commitment to provide necessary support to developing countries and safeguard their legitimate development interests.”*

And finally on the 4th task, Xi stated: *“No global problem can be solved by any one country alone. There must be global action, a global response, and global cooperation.”*

While Xi supported the WHO, globalization and Paris Climate Accords, his approach to net carbon neutrality

by 2060 is hinged not on de-growth, but rather advanced scientific and technological progress, equal access for development, the defense of sovereign nation states as outlined in the UN Charter. On these points Xi stated:

“China will invest more in science and technology, developing and enabling systems for innovation as a priority, turn breakthroughs in science and technology into actual productivity at a faster pace, and enhance intellectual property protection, all for the purpose of fostering innovation-driven, higher-quality growth. Scientific and technological advances should benefit all humanity, rather than be used to curb and contain other countries' development.”


Putin's Speech

After paying lip service to Schwab and applauding the extension of the START treaty with the USA, Putin pointed out in his January 27 Davos speech³⁰² that the overarching dynamic under Biden continues to be degenerative, driving us towards world war with stark parallels to the 1930s. Here Putin warned that experts *“compare the current situation to the 1930s... As you are aware, the inability and unwillingness to find substantive solutions to problems like this in the 20th century led to World War 2 catastrophe. Of course, such a heated global conflict is impossible in principle, I hope. That is what I am pinning my hopes on, because this would be the end of humanity. However as I have said, the situation could take an unexpected and uncontrollable turn – unless we do something to prevent this. There is a chance that we will face a formidable break-down in global development, which will be fraught with a war of all against all and attempts to deal with contradictions through the appointment of internal and external enemies and the destruction of not only traditional values such as the family, which we hold dear in*

³⁰¹ [Xi Jinping sends a warning to the US at Davos](#), by Annabelle Timsit on Jan. 25, 2021 at Quartz

³⁰² [Vladimir Putin spoke at the session of the Davos Agenda 2021](#) online forum organised by the World Economic Forum

(WEF) on Jan. 27, 2021, Speech Transcript posted at the Kremlin



Russia, but fundamental freedoms such as the right of choice and privacy.”

Putin amplified Xi’s earlier remarks, laying out three domains for reform starting with 1) economic development for all, 2) the prevention of the takeover of world policy by big tech giants saying “*they are de facto competing with states*”, and 3) a reform towards win-win international relations.

While Putin’s entire speech should be studied in depth,³⁰³ the spirit of his message was captured in his clearly hopeful but stark warning that:

“we have a shared responsibility to prevent this scenario which looks like a grim dystopia, and to ensure instead that our development takes a different trajectory- positive, harmonious and creative.”

The Nature of 21st Century Energy Needs

While China certainly invests quite a lot in green energy grids, it derives the actual industrial energy needed to power its capital-intensive infrastructure megaprojects and high-speed rail grids from its world leading investments into nuclear power and fossil fuels.

China, Russia and India together represent over 50%³⁰⁴ world nuclear energy projects while the west has all but abandoned the technology long ago.

China currently has 17 reactors under construction and has created the most advanced molten salt fast breeder (4th generation) reactor which is 60x more efficient than other reactors due to its closing of the fuel cycle (allowing its users to reprocess “waste” into new fuel rather than bury it as has been the common practice in the west since Carter sabotaged the closing of the fuel cycle in the 1970s.) As these next generation units featuring molten thorium are increasingly brought online (alongside similar ambitions in India and Russia), while the fuel cycle is finally brought to a close, such fears of meltdowns, radiation, and nuclear waste that poisoned generations of minds³⁰⁵ will finally be healed.

Additionally, China has become a leader in nuclear fusion development with openly stated aims to mine the moon for Helium 3 (found in abundance in Lunar soil, but nearly totally absent on the Earth due to our magnetic field). When the inevitable fusion breakthroughs arise, experts estimate that about three truck loads of this isotope shipped to the earth from the moon will supply one year of energy needs at current capacities.

³⁰³ Ibid

³⁰⁴ [Plans For New Reactors Worldwide](#), May 2023, World Nuclear Association

³⁰⁵ [Presentation: Why the FEAR of Radiation is DEADLY](#), by Matt Ehret posted Aug. 7, 2019 on Rising Tide Foundation’s YouTube Channel

At an important Energy summit in 2019, Putin laid out³⁰⁶ the important role of fusion power as the foundation for a harmonization between the realm of nature (the biosphere) and the realm of creative reason (the technosphere) saying: *“super-efficient scientific, engineering and manufacturing solutions will help us establish a balance between the biosphere and the technosphere... fusion energy which in fact is similar to how heat and light are produced in our star, the sun, is an example of such nature-like technologies.”*

Having signed several joint agreements³⁰⁷ on nuclear development and technology sharing over the past decade, China and Russia have become world leaders in nuclear power, not only in their own borders, but internationally as well, providing the technology³⁰⁸ widely across Asia, Africa, the Middle East and South America as evidenced by the following map.

While earlier efforts to promote this type of policy were once championed by American statesmen during the 1940s-1960s, the deep state coup which emerged over the dead bodies of JFK, MLK and RFK, ensured that no such policy would ever be permitted under the Anglo-American empire.

Both China and Russia have signed an agreement to jointly build a lunar base³⁰⁹ by 2030 and both have expressed an understanding³¹⁰ that space mining, fusion power, and large-scale infrastructure development via the BRI, Polar Silk Road and beyond which have opened vistas of potential for global growth and economic justice which keeps closed system technocrats up at night.

Premising their self-interest not upon the projection of power, and intimidation of the weak, but rather upon the Five Principles of Peaceful Coexistence³¹¹ outlined in the 1955 Bandung Conference, China and Russia have recognized that the only pathway to a viable future is located in the power of creation (aka: breaking free of zero-sum thinking). The leaders of these nations

know that if resource scarcity press upon the potential to sustain the lives of people, it is better to inspire creative ideas, and unleash new discoveries under a dynamic of scientific progress rather than cut down the population to adapt to those limits which computer models attest is our carrying capacity.

Already both Russia and China have established a firm foundation for an alternative system which is open for anyone to join and which respects the developmental pathways, political systems and cultures of all diverse participating nations.

This harmony of the parts with the greater good of the whole is possible because the practice is founded upon a discoverable principle of Natural Law which has found the human species in a living universe³¹² where both freedom, law and duty all co-exist. This coherence of the whole and the parts once animated the minds of statesmen of the west who authored such foundational documents as the Treaty of Westphalia (1648), Declaration of Independence (1776), the U.S. Constitution (1787) and UN Charter (1945). This principle of statecraft was invoked by such great men as Abraham Lincoln, Franklin Roosevelt, Charles DeGaulle and John Kennedy (to name a few) who each in their own way defended the General Welfare while simultaneously upholding the unalienable rights of the individual to the chagrin of the financier oligarchy. These men did this via the use of strong federal power to build great projects, regulate private finance all while amplifying the power of private enterprise and individual rights of each citizen. Before the bipolar days of the Cold War brainwashed the majority of citizens into believing they had to plug themselves into either a “communist” or “free market capitalist” cage, this system was known around the world as “The American System of Political Economy”.³¹³

Sadly, this pre-condition for human survival has long been forgotten in the west.

³⁰⁶ [Vladimir Putin's address](#) at The Global Manufacturing and Industrialisation Summit 2019 on Jul. 9, 2019, Transcript posted at the Kremlin

³⁰⁷ [Russia and China forge new nuclear power partnership](#), by Rod Sweet on Aug. 12, 2014 on Global Construction Review (GCR)

³⁰⁸ [Russia and China Are Expanding Nuclear Energy Exports. Can the U.S. Keep Up?](#), by Robbie Hayunga on Oct. 6, 2020 on Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI)

³⁰⁹ [China and Russia team up to establish joint moon base](#)

By GABRIEL HONRADA, *Asia Times*, Jan 3, 2022

³¹⁰ [Might the Russia-China-USA Alliance for Space Exploration Define the New 'New World Order'? by Matthew Ehret, Dec. 2020, Strategic Culture Foundation](#)

³¹¹ [Five Principles of Peaceful Coexistence](#), Wiki China.org.cn

³¹² [Presentation: Halton Arp and the Fight for our Living Universe by Matthew Ehret, Mar. 22, 2021 on Rising Tide Foundation YouTube Channel](#)

³¹³ [American System Now](#)

Appendix

Communism, Capitalism and Feudalism: How Nominalism Makes us Fools

By Matthew Ehret

I have come to notice that one of the most effective tools for making people easily malleable sheep is through something called "nominalism".

Nominalism can be thought of as a "rule of reasoning" governing which pathways our minds use as we move from ignorance to understanding on any topic imaginable. In essence, this school of thought presumes that reality can only be known through the names and definitions given to things and processes in the real world.

The definitions of those words/labels are then defined by the ruling perception managers who control whatever systems of authority happen to be dominant in that society. Orwell referred to this as the Ministry of Truth in his "1984."

I'll let you think about those power centers that control definitions of words today.

One can see clearly how this sort of thing can be used to get soft-minded people to support (or at least ignore) the growth of fascism. Since nominalism presumes that a ruling power creates definitions, which substitute for "truth"... then definitions can be changed over time as said ruling class deems those definitions to change. Just think of the very different definitions of "man" or "woman" from a decade ago, to today. If changing definitions are truth... then there is no ontological truth at all, and inversely no such thing as a lie. How useful for oligarchs if their victims lose the ability to believe in truth or lies... especially when you want them to lose sight of their true enemies while creating new enemies to hate and fear.

Definitions vs Reality: "Capitalism" and "Communism"

But for this particular exercise, let's evaluate the misuse of the words "Capitalism", "Communism" and "Feudalism"... starting with the case of Canada.

As many have come to discover during the past 3 years, Canada is under total oligarchical management. Sadly this didn't begin three years ago or even seven years ago with the introduction of bubblehead mini-tyrant Justin Trudeau into power.

This foreign oligarchical control of Canada has actually been a serious problem for many decades (if not the past 250 years).

Yet Canadians have been given the nominal label of "free capitalist democracy" for generations.

Was it ever true?

Well at times we did enjoy the fruits of capitalism and democracy, but since our last authentic Prime Minister John Diefenbaker was ousted in a Rhodes Scholar-directed coup back in 1963³¹⁴, we have had an extremely captured nation. After ousting Diefenbaker, the British-directed Malthusian death cult took greater controls than ever before and never let go.

The same thing was happening to Canada's southern neighbors as President John F. Kennedy was murdered by the same London-directed deep state and the USA was taken over by the same foreign directed death cult³¹⁵.

³¹⁴ John Diefenbaker's Northern Vision Sabotaged by Rhodes Scholars by Matthew Ehret, Canadian Patriot Review #4, 2013

³¹⁵ [Preserving the Presidency: JFK and the Military Industrial Complex](#) by Anton Chaitkin, Canadian Patriot Review, Nov 12, 2020

Yet the USA has been called a 'free capitalist democracy' since that coup until the present time. But are Canada and the USA actually “free capitalist democracies”?

Let's try out a little exercise to see if reality proves the nominalist definitions wrong.

Defining our Terms

1) "Capitalism" is about creating capital. It is also about systems that reward entrepreneurship and enterprise in order to increase productivity, innovation, living standards, longevity etc. In capitalist systems, infrastructure improves (rather than decays), and the buying power of the currency also increases in value. Meanwhile feudal structures of obscenely wealthy oligarchs who produce nothing for society itself will tend to decrease in influence as citizens gain greater powers of wealth and sovereignty.

2) In the post-JFK/post-Diefenbaker period of 1963-present, all of those variables that I outlined above have diminished. Capital production has decreased as we became a consumer society, oligarchical/feudal structures increased as national controls over bank and corporate regulation were removed post-1971

and mergers and acquisitions of companies under the control of Bilderberger manipulators took power and a new multi-billionaire class was created of sociopathic front-men with names like Bill Gates, George Soros, Peter Munk, Jeff Bezos, etc etc³¹⁶.

This was done under the name of 'capitalism' but it was always just feudalism wearing a “capitalist” costume. Entrepreneurs were destroyed and the only innovation permitted was found in systems of control such as Big Pharma, Military Industries and Big Entertainment complexes. Since there was still a level of comfort caused by the sacrifices of earlier pro-nationalist movements prior to 1945, North American citizens more often than not stood by and allowed this feudal oligarchy to quite literally kill countless millions in corporate wars in Vietnam, Laos, Cambodia, Afghanistan (twice), Iraq (twice), etc. The basic low-level logic that this deep cultural corruption took hold was: “if I'm comfortable, then the world must be working fine”.

Taking stock of western-directed wars in “defense of democracies”, we find the list of US-directed regime-



³¹⁶ [Who Runs the World? Understanding the Modern Oligarchy](#) part 1 and 2, Canadian Patriot Review, June 21, 2023

change operations amount to well over 200 since World War 2.³¹⁷

Let's compare this to an "authoritarian hater of freedom and capitalism" like Iran which must have done so much worse to the world in the same time frame in order to merit being part of the "Axis of Evil" [see image below].

Ouf. that's embarrassing.

So maybe the time has come to accept the fact that whatever it is that the west uses as a system of governance... capitalism isn't it. And since I don't recall anyone in the USA voting for those wars, maybe the idea of democracy has to also be relinquished.

Now let's simply apply the same standards of measurement to "communist" China since 1949. Ask yourself "how many governments has China regime changed, how many wars has China launched and how many heads of state have China's intelligence agencies assassinated?"

Just make a list and see how that goes.

Now let's see if China qualifies as "communist" using the criteria I outlined above.

Since capitalism is literally based on capital creation and private ownership, do we find these metrics decreasing or increasing in China?³¹⁸

Capitalism should also make people healthier, live longer and decrease poverty. How are these metrics trending in China when compared to the west over the past 50 years?³¹⁹

How about patent-registrations and innovation into R&D? Are those increasing or decreasing?³²⁰

How about infant mortality and poverty? Are those increasing or decreasing?³²¹

How about the private sector? Marxism states that private ownership should be abolished in his utopia. So it is worth asking: has private ownership and private enterprise decreased or increased in the version of communism active in China?³²²

How have these things fared in the capitalist democracies of the USA or Canada?

How about industrial productivity? Is that increasing or decreasing?

Is food production increasing or decreasing?³²³ How about energy use and energy availability? How about cost of energy use per capita? Are these things increasing or decreasing in China? How about in the "free capitalist west"?

Rethinking some Definitions

When taking all the above metrics into consideration, you can prove that China doesn't fit the simplistic definitions we are given of 'communism' despite their party name having the word 'communist' in it.

Maybe the Chinese government has learned to take what it finds useful in Marx as well as in capitalist thinkers while leaving out the material it doesn't find useful?

Could it be possible that China is acting like a grown up by not simply embracing any fixed ideological matrix or extreme dualism of radical individualism vs radical statism? Is it possible that their government has been trying to use what works to make themselves prosperous and secure?

Last I checked, the USA was founded upon a co-existence of the principle of 1- inalienable rights of individuals (contained in the US Declaration of Independence) and 2- the General Welfare of the whole society (as contained in the Constitution). So

³¹⁷ [75 Years & Counting: A History of Western Regime Change in Syria Part I](#), Vanessa Beeley, AltWorld, October 2021

³¹⁸ [China's private enterprises quadruple in last 10 years](#) Xinhua. 2022-06-28

³¹⁹ [Rising life expectancy is delivering health and longevity in China](#), Cision Newswire 22 Jul, 2022

³²⁰ [Wake Up, America: China Is Overtaking the United States in Innovation Capacity](#) by Ian Clay and Robert D. Atkinson|January 23, 2023

³²¹ [China issues white paper on poverty alleviation to share experiences in eradicating extreme poverty](#) By Hu Yuwei and Xie Wenting, Global Times, Apr 06, 2021

³²² Ibid Private Enterprises quadruple.

³²³ [How is China Feeding its Population of 1.4 Billion?](#) China Power, CSIS

maybe the trappings of “British liberal capitalism” vs hardcore “communism” is a fraud which pre-WWII Americans were smart enough to avoid.

Exercise #2: Have the “Chi-Coms” Infiltrated Canada?

Canadian victims of nominalism have been told that Chinese agents of the CPC have infiltrated our society with the help of their puppet Justin Trudeau in order to destroy our free and democratic capitalist order.

Those “commie Chinese” just hate us that much and that’s why there are so many ultra rich Chinese living in Canada working to inflate housing prices, and manage drug inflows into North America.

But now ask yourself:

WHY has Canada received these thousands upon thousands of these super rich Chinese? Are these exiled super rich Chinese truly agents of the CPC?

Is it possible that China is cracking down on it's CIA/MI6 directed deep state resulting in massive fluxes of Chinese traitors and criminals receiving sanctuary in the west?

Since Xi Jinping’s anti-corruption crackdown was launched in 2012, millions of Chinese party officials have been punished with many former Justice ministers, State intelligence controllers and even private sector WEF-operatives like Jack Ma being removed from influence or given life sentences in jail. Those operatives who made their fortunes as feudal capitalist under the direction of the London/Wall Street central command from the 1970s- early 2000s have found themselves faced with a choice: Go to jail as foreign traitors which they are or 2) seek sanctuary in friendly Anglo-Five eyes states.

That is the simple reason why Canada houses Chinese billionaires.

This is also why Britain houses countless thousands of Russian exiled oligarchs since Putin came to power

over 20 years ago³²⁴ and these sociopathic creatures jumped ship like rats. This is also why the CIA houses billionaire gurus like Fethullah Gulen of Turkey³²⁵ whose army of Turkish deep state cultists was deployed to carry out a regime change in Turkey in 2016³²⁶. Fethullah Gulen’s vast luxury compound in Philadelphia is reminiscent of the 430 acre compound in New York owned by Li Hongzhi- spiritual god-head of the Falun Gong cult and New Tang Dynasty Media empire teaching westerners about the evils of “Communist” China³²⁷.

Does that mean that I'm saying every single Chinese person in Canada or the USA is a western deep state operative and uber rich oligarch?

Of course not.

The True Reasons for Chinese Immigration into North America

Many Chinese also came here for legitimate reasons over the past 150 years starting with the tens of thousands who built our railroads in the 19th century.

Others came here because China was turned into a third world country before and during the Cold War suffering abject poverty (since the British had coordinated the total destruction of China from 1800-1950). Poverty was bad in the 1970s and 1980s and so it is understandable why many normal and well-intentioned Chinese people emigrated west.

Chinese Deep State Western INFLUX #1: 1989

But when China survived a CIA-directed regime change and kicked out George Soros in 1989 there was a big crackdown on the Chinese regime change operation who escaped to the west via [Operation Yellowbird](#) via MI6, CIA and Hong Kong Triads to safe sanctuary in the west. (INFLUX #1)

These exiled Chinese clusters were used to seed organize crime operations on behalf of their Five Eyes

³²⁴ [Sanctioned Russian oligarchs found sanctuary in Britain](#), March 2022, Bureau of Investigative Journalism

³²⁵ [Fetullah Gulen and the CIA connection](#), New Turkey Infopage

³²⁶ [The CIA, Fetullah Gülen and Turkey’s Failed July 2016 Coup- Behind Turkish Arrest Warrant for Ex CIA](#)

[Graham Fuller](#) By F. William Engdahl Global Research, December 11, 2017

³²⁷ [Inside Dragon Springs, the high-security compound in upstate New York that's home to a 'cult' tyrannized by China](#) by James Pasley, Business Insider Sep 9, 2019

London controllers to take part in drug money laundering and offshore banking in British Cayman Islands zones. These groups saw their wealth expand massively within Toronto and Vancouver which also saw the creation of a massive housing bubble made possible through British-directed Chinese expat shell companies³²⁸.

But the key here is that they were never representative of the Chinese government as Canadians have been told but rather by the actual foreign agency controlling our captured state.

Chinese Deep State INFLUX #2: 1997

The next influx of immigration of super rich Chinese exiles was in the months prior to the return of Hong Kong to China after the British 99 year lease expired in 1997. Those British-directed multi-billionaire overlord class of managers built up in Hong Kong didn't know if the restoration of China's rule would result in an immediate anti-crime crackdown and so took as much of the ill-begotten loot they could carry and escaped to...Canada mostly.

Keep in mind that the British have always used the tiny strategic island as a British base of operation to run asymmetric warfare, banking warfare and other forms of attack onto China during the Cold War. Hong Kong (whose currency is STILL controlled by London's biggest drug banks HSBC and Standard and Charter³²⁹) was the central point of drug production along with Taiwan of "silver triangle production" infusing drugs into North America via Vancouver.

Since the CIA's NED-directed Hong Kong regime change operation failed in 2019-2020, the west is again granting sanctuary to the thousands of operatives who

were used like human weapons to burn Hong Kong to the ground and are desperate to avoid arrest³³⁰.

Chinese Deep State INFLUX #3: 2012-2023

Between 2012-2023, over 4.7 million Chinese party officials faced punishment for bribery and corruption charges³³¹, while CIA front groups tied to the National Endowment for Democracy were cut off from their western support. US Intelligence operatives including disgraced neo-con John Bolton admitted as much in a Nov. 10, 2021 Bloomberg article which read:

*"Xi's sweeping efforts to change China's domestic politics and consolidate his control also have taken a toll on American intelligence... The shift from a system of 'collective' leadership under former Presidents Jiang Zemin and Hu Jintao toward one dominated by Xi means that the CIA has had to go from focusing on the inner circles of seven or even nine top leaders to, effectively, just one."*³³²

Some examples of high officials purged from positions of vast power within China include Ministry of State Security officials Ma Jian (vice minister for Public Security from 2006-2015) and Zhou Youngkang (minister of public security from 2002-2007) who were arrested and sentenced to life in prison in 2015 and 2018 respectively.

According to investigative reporter Pepe Escobar³³³, both men were handlers of Miles Guo (a bankroller of Steve Bannon, close friend of Tony Blair and ally of Connie Morgan- heiress of the Morgan Family dynasty³³⁴). These men were also close allies to Ling Jihua (Chief of Staff to Hu Jintao) who was found guilty of bribery and sentenced to life in prison in 2016.

³²⁸ [Canadian Housing Boom Fueled by China's Billionaires](#), Bloomberg

³²⁹ [HSBC Never Left the Dope Trade Nor the Crown](#) by Cynthia Chung, Through a Glass Darkly Feb 16, 2023

³³⁰ [Seeking Sanctuary in the Old Empire Many Hong Kongers are fleeing to Britain as Beijing imposes its own form of colonial rule and imperialist tendencies](#) By Timothy McLaughlin, The Atlantic, July 28, 2022

³³¹ [China punishes 4.7 million people in decade-long anti-graft campaign](#) By Zhang Hui, Global Times Jun 30, 2022

³³² [China Is Evading U.S. Spies](#) — and the White House Is Worried- Under Xi Jinping, China has become an even harder target for America's spying operation. By Peter Martin, Jennifer Jacobs, and Nick Wadhams Bloomberg, November 10, 2021

³³³ [Exclusive: The Fugitive Who Tried to Spark a US-China War](#), by Pepe Escobar, The Saker, August 05, 2022

³³⁴ [Steve Bannon And China's Deep State](#) by Matthew Ehret, The Last American Vagabond, Jan. 2023

Another associate of this hive was Sun Zhengcai who found himself ousted as a Politburo member and sentenced to life in prison in 2018. On top of this list, former vice minister of police Sun Lijun was arrested in 2022 on corruption charges, Chinese Interpol Chief Meng Hongwei was jailed for 13.5 years in 2019 on bribery charges while former Justice Minister Fu Zhenghua was given a suspended death sentence in 2021.

Based on the simple review of the origins of the Chinese ultra-rich operatives in North America, the evidence points to the fact that those worst elements of Chinese billionaires in Canada or the USA are not working for the Chinese government, but are only here because the Chinese government would have put them in jail if they didn't escape.

Say no to nominalism and yes to reality

Just as we were played throughout the Cold War, ignorant westerners have been tricked YET AGAIN into believing China is our arch nemesis. This sleight of hand has been made entirely possible by our tendency to 1) lie to ourselves about our own un-earned virtue and 2) our tendency to believe in nominalism.

Nominalism works because people haven't learned how to use the god-given tool called their MINDS in order to look for context, nuance and truth that fall outside of the controlled framing of approved definitions given to "names/labels".

Reality can be known...but not through narrow definitions and categorisations.

China- which has an abundance of private enterprise co-existing with national planning is no more communist than our captured western governments qualify as being capitalist or democratic.

There is one fight today just as there was long before anyone knew of the name of Karl Marx or Adam Smith: Are you committed to organizing society around principles of Natural Law or around master-slave feudalism?

About the Authors

Matthew Ehret is the Editor-in-Chief of the Canadian Patriot Review which he founded in June 2012, a BRI Expert on Rogue News, co-host of Breaking History on Badlands Media and Senior Fellow at the American University in Moscow. He is author of the ‘Untold History of Canada’ book series, as well as the four volume Clash of the Two Americas. In 2019 he co-founded the Montreal-based Rising Tide Foundation as a non-profit organization designed to promote inter-civilizational dialogue and understanding.

Cynthia Chung is President and co-founder of the Rising Tide Foundation. She has lectured on the topics of Schiller’s aesthetics, Shakespeare’s tragedies, Roman history, the Florentine Renaissance among other subjects. She is a writer for Strategic Culture Foundation, is a classically trained pianist, has experience in leading choral works and holds a BSc in Molecular Genetics. In 2022 she published The Empire on Which the Sun Never Set vol. 1: The Anglo-American Origins of International Fascism in the 20th century.

