



A Canadian Patriot Review Special Report

Breaking Free of Anti-China Psyops

**How the Cold War is Being Revived
and What You Can Do About It**

Matthew Ehret and Cynthia Chung

Breaking Free of Anti-China Psyops

**By Matthew Ehret
And Cynthia Chung**

Breaking Free of Anti-China Psyops
Canadian Patriot Review Special Report © 2023 by Matthew Ehret. All Rights Reserved.

All rights reserved. Many of the chapters within this book were adapted from articles featured on the Strategic Culture Foundation and The Cradle published between 2019-2022

Matthew Ehret
Cynthia Chung
www.canadianpatriot.org

Printed in the USA

First Printing: 2022
Canadian Patriot Press

ISBN- 979-8-36669-404-9

Cover Design: Jonathan Ludwig

Table of Contents

Introduction

p.4

Sun Yat-sen's Republican Revolution Inspired by Lincoln's USA

p. 5

Today's Anti-China Propaganda Revives the Gouzenko Hoax that Unleashed the Cold War

p.11

China, the USA or Something Else? Who's to Blame for the COVID Pandemic?

p.16

How Kissinger's Chinese Slave Labor Program Came Undone

p.23

Taiwan as the Ukraine of the Pacific

p.33

The Real Global Agenda Pushing for War with China

p.40

Is China Just a Totalitarian Anti-Spiritual Monolith? Social Credit and Synthetic Cults

p.55

Jesuits, Tavistock and the Battle for the Soul of China

p. 61

How China Banned Soros in 1989

p.67

Appendix- Li Hongzhi: Intergalactic Messiah of Falun Gong and Epoch Times

p.75

Introduction

Today's world is drowning in confusion, ignorance and fear. Nothing good has ever emerged from that toxic recipe.

In the following Canadian Patriot Review special report, we have sought to provide a remedy to this plague of ignorance which has induced many well-meaning, moral westerners to embrace false narratives that have revived old Cold War tropes about Russia and China in the 21st century. Just as these old prejudices and fears of "godless communist conspiracies" were premised on MI6/CIA operations and a profound ignorance of Eurasian Culture among the majority of American patriots during the 1950s, our modern fears of the "Chinese boogeyman" out to conquer the globe are identically devoid of any claim to truth.

We will begin the report with a brief chapter on the historical backdrop of US-Chinese republicanism from China's first president Sun Yat-sen and the attempts by Franklin Roosevelt and Vice President Henry Wallace to revive Sun Yat-sen's vision for US-China cooperation at the end of World War II.

We will follow this story with the reality of Anglo-Canadian intelligence operations which oversaw a hoax called 'The Gouzenko Affair' that unleashed the Cold War in order to break the dangerous emergence of a US-Russia-China post war alliance and internationalization of the New Deal.

In chapter three, we will be introduced to the COVID pandemic through the eyes of Chinese strategists who have recognized this operation as it was outlined by the Project for a New American Century ideologues in September 2000: An ethnically targeted bioweapon. The case for this concept will be laid out in full in those pages.

In *How Kissinger's Chinese Slave Labor Program Came Undone*, we will evaluate the grand strategic agenda for China laid out by the Trilateral Commission in the early 1970s. In the original plan applied by Kissinger, the Club of Rome and other technocratic forces during this period, the USA's advance industrial base

would be hollowed out while China would become a cheap labor plantation colony controlled by a managerial class of Malthusian social engineers beholden to western masters. By the mid-1990s, cracks began to appear in this agenda which could finally be felt in force with Xi Jinping's crackdown on China's deep state and the unveiling of the Belt and Road Initiative.


In *Taiwan as the 'Ukraine of the Pacific'* and *'The Real Global Agenda Pushing for War with China'*, we are again introduced to the geopolitical dynamics shaping the color revolutionary tactics deployed by the CIA, and MI6 in Hong Kong, Taiwan, Xinjiang, Tibet and also around China's perimeter. If you notice that many of these same techniques were used to derail the US elections in November 2020, then don't be surprised.

In chapter seven, the lies of China's apparent crackdown on religious groups will be rebuked, as we come to learn of the 150 year use of religious groups and synthetic cults as fronts for western asymmetric warfare against China. This exercise will lead you into the British orchestrated Chinese Civil war of the 1850s under the banner of the 'Taiping Heavenly Kingdom' pseudo Christian cult. A new lens will be provided to evaluate China's use of surveillance, and social credit which are driven by a very different intention than the anti-human agenda dominating western states.

In *Jesuits, Tavistock and the Battle for the Soul of China*, we will examine the various cultural warfare operations deployed to subvert China's ancient Confucian traditions.

And finally we will examine 'China's Maidan' of 1989 which nearly saw a Yeltsin-like puppet of George Soros installed as a neo-Trotskyite dictator during the CIA-run operation called 'Tiananmen Square'.

-Matthew Ehret
Editor-in-Chief, Canadian Patriot Review



Breaking Free of Anti-Chinese Psyops 1 Sun Yat-sen's Republican Revolution Inspired by Lincoln's USA

Today's China has become a paradox for many people.

On the one side, it is a nation based upon centralized government, yet it also has a vast private sector, entrepreneurial culture and market economy. Its leaders call this "socialism with Chinese characteristics", but there is a larger history at play, which embraces much more than popular definitions of either "socialism" or "capitalism" allow and which bring us directly into the heart of British imperial vs American "nationalist" economic systems that shaped the causal nexus of world history in the decades following the American Civil War.

As a statesman with connections to the United States going back to his days as a student in Hawaii, Sun Yat-sen was on a tour of the US and Canada in the Autumn of 1911[1]. Sun was lecturing and raising funds for the revolutionary movement that he had been leading since 1893. This particular tour was different from most, as news of the Wuchang uprising that eventually brought down the Qing dynasty went public. He quickly cut his tour short in William Gilpin's state of Colorado and departed for his homeland to oversee the dismantling of the dynastic system and establishment of a Chinese republican

government for the first time in history where the former doctor took the mantle as the new republic's first president.

Beyond Left vs Right

It may be a surprising irony for some to discover that Dr. Sun Yat-sen, first president of the republic of China and a beloved hero to this day in both Taiwan and mainland China was not a follower of Karl Marx nor was he a Bolshevik, although he was a revolutionary with no qualms about central planning and a healthy disdain for imperialism.


Not one to be easily fit into pre-defined categories, he was also no proponent of the liberal theories of Adam Smith, Malthus, Ricardo or John Stuart Mill and rallied against the lies of British Free Trade that had only recently been used to justify two opium wars during the previous century of humiliation.

Rather than pick an extreme on the political left or right, Sun Yat-sen instead found himself firmly grounded in the moral philosophy of Lincoln's USA.

Sun, who was both a Christian and Confucian scholar, stated this explicitly in his 1904 pamphlet *A*

True Solution for the Chinese Question where he wrote [2]:

“To work out the salvation of China is exclusively the duty of our own but as the problem has recently found a worldwide interest, we, in order to make sure of our success... must appeal to the people of the United States in particular for your sympathy and support, either moral or material, because you are the pioneers of western civilization in Japan: Because you are a Christian nation: Because we intend to model our new government after yours; Above all, because you are the champion of liberty and democracy. We hope we may find many Lafayette’s among you.”



A 1942 Stamp featuring Lincoln and Sun Yat-sen commemorating the common bond shared by both nations

Sun Yat-sen was always quick to invoke the spirit of Abraham Lincoln throughout his works and for good reason.

In the Gettysburg Address of November 19, 1863, near the end of the Civil War, Lincoln memorialized those who had died defending the Union by exhorting that through their sacrifice, “government of the people, by the people, for the people, shall not perish from the earth.” This tricolon became the inspiration for Sun Yat-sen’s 1924 tract *Three Principles of the People*[3].

In the series of lectures which was soon transcribed into a book, Sun lays out the similar three principles that China should adhere to:

minzu (‘national feelings of the people’),

minquan (‘rights of the people’),

and *minsheng*, (‘the people’s livelihood’).

When asked to describe his political principles, Lincoln once responded[4]: *“My politics are short and*

sweet, like the old woman’s dance. I am in favor of the internal improvement system and a high Protective Tariff. These are my sentiments and political principles.”

During his early years studying in the United States from 1879-1883, a young Sun Yat-sen recognized in this aspect of Lincoln’s thought the practical political economic practices that were needed if China was to overcome its twofold crisis: outdated dynastic traditions from within, and hostile manipulation by empires from without. He despaired of the future of the nation, and looked to America for inspiration.

Sun advocated the creation of a native manufacturing sector through the application of protective tariffs, and vast internal improvements through the building of rail, roads, water projects and energy systems. Reiterating his long-time support for the protective tariff in order to cultivate local industries and agriculture, he wrote:

“How do other countries meet foreign economic pressure and check the invasion of economic forces from abroad? Usually by means of a tariff which protects economic development within these countries. Just as forts are built at the entrances of harbors for protection against foreign military invasion, so a tariff against foreign goods protects a nation’s revenue and gives native industries a chance to develop.”

Prospects for a bright future in China after World War I were bleak, with rampant poverty and a severe shortage of national spirit. Watching the hopes of the 1911 revolution slipping away, Sun wrote in despair:

“If China perishes, the guilt will be on our own heads and we shall be the world’s great sinners. Heaven has placed great responsibilities upon us Chinese; if we do not love ourselves, we are rebels against Heaven.”

In his first lecture on nationalism, Sun Yat-sen clearly described the path to national rejuvenation:

“Our Three Principles of the People mean government ‘of the people, by the people, and for the people’ – that is, a state belonging to all the people, a government controlled by all the people, and rights and benefits for the enjoyment of all the people. If this is true, the people will have a share in everything. When the people share everything in the state, then will we truly reach the goal of the minsheng principle, which is Confucius’ hope of a ‘great commonwealth.’”

It cannot be over-emphasized that the man revered by the Chinese Communist Party today as the forbearer of its revolutionary legacy, took direct aim at Marx whose theories were then quickly permeating the young intelligentsia around him. Sun wrote in his *Three Principles of the People*:

“Society progresses through the adjustment of major economic interests rather than through the clash of interests (ie: “class struggle”). If most of the economic interests of society can be harmonized, the majority of people will benefit and society will progress.”

Studying forms of government from ancient Greece to the modern age, Sun stated that those revolutionary leaps that transform society’s means of production, distribution and social norms were never static or zero sum, but always centered around the *“overthrowing of old systems and giving rise to new systems.”* He stated: *“It is the constant emergence of new systems that makes constant progress possible.”* By recognizing that humanity always leaps from lower to higher systems through new discoveries and inventions, always improving humanity’s condition of life, he recognized the fatal flaw in Marx’s ideology, to which he directed his strongest attack. Sun concluded that *“class war is not the cause of social progress, it is a disease developed in the course of social progress,”* and that *“Marx can only be called a social pathologist; we cannot say that he is a social physiologist.”*

Looking again to America’s example under Lincoln, Sun further stated that Marx *“found only one of the diseases of society; he did not discover the law of social progress and the central force in history. As stated by the American scholar, the struggle for subsistence is the law of social progress and is the central force of history.”*


In the last four lectures of his book, Sun deals with the idea of improvement of livelihood through scientific and technological progress. He stresses the need for constant improvement of the quality of life and livelihoods through great public projects, centered on hydroelectric power from the great Yangtze River, industrial growth by the application of the protective tariff, and the application of advanced technology for transportation of rails and roads as well as agriculture. On the latter, he writes: *“We must use the great power of the state and imitate the United States’ methods.”*

By arguing for the application of advanced technology to replace human labor, he states “if

China with human labor can support four hundred millions, she should with machine power produce enough for eight hundred millions.” In this way, the “law of diminishing returns” advanced by John Stuart Mill can be overcome by leaping from states of lower to ever higher powers of productivity – offsetting the tensions which caused Marx’s class struggle and instead cultivating a spirit of increasing economic opportunity, harmony of interests and justice for all. Taking a swipe at the capitalist systems promoted by the British Empire, whose Opium Wars were still a painful memory for China, Sun Yat-sen stated:

“The fundamental difference ... between the Principle of Livelihood and capitalism is this: capitalism makes profit its sole aim, while the Principle of Livelihood makes the nurture of the people its aim. With such a noble principle we can destroy the old, evil capitalistic system.”

Sun Yat-sen believed that if the Confucian *minsheng* principle – centering on the never-ending improvement of the livelihoods of the people – was adhered to, then a balance could be found between large state power and the liberty of the people. He wrote: *“With such an administrative power on the part of the government and such political power on the part of the people, we will be able to realize the ideal of an all-powerful government seeking the welfare of the people and to blaze the way for the building of a new world.”*



*An incredibly detailed map featured in *The International Development of China* outlining a grand design for development that has finally come to life with the New Silk Road in our modern age*

Understanding the Evil of Hereditary Systems

While taking aim at the “old, evil capitalist system”, Sun was not attacking free enterprise or capitalism per se as many reactionary communists tend to reflexively do, but rather the principle of empire masquerading behind ivory tower liberal theories of free trade always with the intention of dividing to conquer their victims.

Writing his *1917 Vital Problems of China* Sun Yat-sen displays a profound insight into the true nature of the empire’s manipulation of both China (and the world) saying:

“The British are as cunning as the fox and as changeable as the weather and they are not ashamed of themselves... Britain seeks friendship only with those which can render her services, and when her friends are too weak to be of any use to her, they must be sacrificed in her interests. Britain’s tender regard for her friends is like the delicate care usually shown by farmers in the rearing of silkworms; after all the silk has been drawn from the cocoons, they are destroyed by fire or used as food for fish. The present friends of Britain are no more than silkworms.”

Sun Yat-sen understood this “Great Game” very well. Throughout his *Vital Problems*, Sun not only rigorously demonstrated how and why the British oligarchy directly manipulated ALL of the major wars of the 19th and early 20th centuries in the pursuit of a “balance of power”, but also laid out those imperial techniques that are as applicable today as they were in 1917 when he said:

“The key policy of England is to attack the strongest enemy with the help of the weaker countries and join the weakened enemy in checking the growth of a 3rd country. The British foreign policy has remained basically unchanged for two centuries.”

Sun pointed out that when a nation is on the ascent, Britain’s policy is to use alliances with weaker powers who are on the descent to undermine it, and once those allies find themselves in a position of ascent the policy is reversed and they then become the targets for destruction, echoing Lord Palmerston’s famous assertion that the “empire has no permanent friends, but rather only permanent interests.” On this last point Sun stated:

“When England befriends another country, the purpose is not to maintain a cordial friendship for the sake of friendship but to utilize that country as a tool to fight a third country. When an enemy has been shorn of his power, he is turned into a friend, and the friend who has become strong, into an enemy. England always remains in a commanding position; she makes other countries fight her wars and she herself reaps the fruits of victory.”

A Look to the Future

Forecasting an interconnected Eurasian railway system and US-Asia alliance, Sun Yat-sen famously stated in his 1919 treatise:

“The world has been greatly benefited by the development of America as an industrial and a commercial Nation. So a developed China with her four hundred millions of population, will be another New World in the economic sense. The nations which will take part in this development will reap immense advantages. Furthermore, international cooperation of this kind cannot but help to strengthen the Brotherhood of Man.”

Finally, looking to the future of China and the world, Sun wrote optimistically, but left a warning which is more apt today than it was in 1924:

“If we want China to rise to power, we must not only restore our national standing, but we must also assume a great responsibility towards the world. If China cannot assume that responsibility, she will be a great disadvantage not an advantage to the world, no matter how strong she may be. ... If China, when she becomes strong, wants to crush other countries, copy the Powers’ imperialism, and go their road, we will just be following in their tracks. ... Only if we “rescue the weak and lift up the fallen” will we be carrying out the divine obligation of our nation. We must aid the weaker and smaller peoples and oppose the great powers of the world. If all the people of the country resolve upon this purpose, our nation will prosper, otherwise, there is no hope for us.”

The balance between strong government and the people’s will has never perfectly been achieved, but Sun Yat-sen knew this was America’s struggle, and was going to be a challenge for China as it threw off the dynastic system and became a Republic in 1912.

Unfortunately, Sun Yat-sen’s early death in 1924 created a leadership vacuum which none among his allies within the Kuomintang were even moderately qualified to fill. Much like the elitist Federalist party of Alexander Hamilton earlier, the corruption and

strategic incompetence among the leadership of the KMT party which he founded resulted in its ultimate inability to preserve the mandate of heaven.

By the end of World War II, Sun Yat-sen's vision for a world of win-win cooperation was revived by the figure of Franklin Roosevelt who had fought tirelessly against the British Imperial forces of the City of London before and during the war.

One of the most important figures who shared the passion for an internationalization of New Deal projects globally in order to help former colonies to stand on their feet and achieve genuine economic independence was FDR's vice president from 1941-1945 Henry A. Wallace.

The Sabotage of a US-China Alliance

Wallace passionately upheld a new vision of the post-war world that included the East. As he wrote in his 1944 book *Our Job in the Pacific*:

"Today the peoples of the East are on the march. We can date the beginning of that march from 1911 when the revolutionary movement among the Chinese people, inspired by the teachings of Sun Yat-sen, overthrew the Manchu dynasty and established a republic. This was the first time in the vast and culturally rich history of Asia that an Asiatic people turned its back on the whole principle of monarchy and hereditary rule and, in spite of the difficulties and obstacles that still remained, set out courageously toward the attainment of democracy – government of the people, by the people and for the people."

Having played a leading role in the initiation of thousands of American water, energy, agriculture and transportation projects as part of Franklin D. Roosevelt's "New Deal", Wallace believed that the foundation for any durable international order would be centered on large-scale national development projects that eradicated poverty and elevated minds. Wallace worked closely with FDR and other New Deal Democrats to shape the 1942 Atlantic Charter – enshrining principles of global peace and cooperation into a sort of constitution – and the Bretton Woods System in order to internationalize the success of the New Deal in the post-war world.

While many Wall Street monetarists complained that committing vast spending on poor colonial countries would be an inflationary waste, Wallace explained that if those investments were directed to large scale



Henry Wallace and Franklin Roosevelt

agro-industrial endeavours, and if the repayment time frame were long term enough, then there would be no risk. He wrote:

"To form a balanced opinion we need to look forward to the kind of world we shall be living in twenty years from now, for it is the conditions then which will have a bearing on the ability of the borrowing countries to repay on their borrowings, and the ability of this country to receive payment in goods and services."

What type of political-economic system would best facilitate this post-war growth in Asia? Again citing Sun Yat-sen, Wallace believed that it couldn't be done through communism or capitalism alone, but by a higher synthesis that had yet to be created. He continued:

"Undoubtedly more than one mechanism will be worked out to serve as a gear between the capitalism of America and Britain, the socialism of Russia, and the mixed state and private enterprise which we can expect to see develop in countries like China. One such mechanism might be an international government bank with appropriate guarantees for both government and private funds."

The foundation for the stability needed for this post-war plan to work, in Wallace's opinion, included a core alliance of Russia, China and America working in cooperation. On this point Wallace wrote:

"It is vital to the United States, it is vital to China and it is vital to Russia that there be peaceful and friendly relations between China and Russia, China and America and Russia and America. China and Russia complement and supplement each other on the continent of Asia, and the two together complement and supplement America's position in the Pacific."

Belying the zero-sum game thinking that the post-war world would devolve into, Wallace maintained that each nation's welfare is locked up in the well being of its neighbour:

"We cannot have prosperity for ourselves alone. We cannot sell unless others can buy. We cannot maintain a high standard of living if it is to be undermined by the low standards of others." He ends his pamphlet by describing the guiding principles for this ideal post-war order, writing that fostering communal interests by working together is *"the kind of policy in the Pacific that would be welcomed and supported by Americans."* He adds that such a policy should *"be willing to associate with others in minding the world community's common business; but would fight shy of minding other people's private business, just as it would resent having our business minded by others."*

Clearly Wallace would have liked the IMF and World Bank to act as instruments to grow this new age, but with the early death of FDR in April 1945, that plan would not unfold. Instead, Wallace and other New Deal Democrats loyal to FDR's vision were labelled as communist sympathizers for their resistance to the emerging accepted wisdom of the Cold War.

The IMF's founder and first president, Dexter White, was brought in front of the House of Un-American Activities, losing his position to Wall Street lawyer John J. McCloy and dying of a heart attack in 1948 while campaigning for Wallace as a 3rd Party candidate. Wallace himself was demoted upon Truman's rise to the Presidency, then summarily fired in 1946 after he tried to promote peace between Russia and America.

Forecasting the tensions of the coming decades, Wallace wrote in 1944 that were his program for an international New Deal to be rejected,

"Fascism in the postwar inevitably will push steadily for Anglo-Saxon imperialism and eventually for war with Russia. Already American fascists are talking and writing about this conflict and using it as an excuse for their internal hatreds and intolerances toward certain races, creeds and classes."

FDR and Wallace had intended for a system of cooperation with an internationalized New Deal to shape humanity as the plagues of empire were wiped away. Unfortunately, with FDR's death, the USA got the Truman doctrine, containment and proxy wars between the supposedly "free and capitalist west"

and "totalitarian evil east". Time will tell whether it will be possible to revive some version of Wallace's vision of cooperation from 1944, or whether competition and a zero-sum game mindset will prevail again.

Footnotes for Part 1

[1] [Sun Yat-sen's 1911 Tour of America](#), Chinese Historical Society of America, Exhibit

[2] Sun Yat-sen, "The True Solution to the Chinese Question", New York, 1904, 11-2.

[3] [San Min Chu, The Three Principles of the People](#) by Dr. Sun Yat-sen, 1924

[4] [The Tariff Review](#), July 20, 1894, p. 20



Over the course of the past several years, a new coordinated psychological operation has been sprung to convince every living patriot across the Five Eyes sphere of influence that the enemy of the free world who lurks behind every conspiracy to overthrow governments, and western values are Russia and China.

Since February 2020 slanderous, and often conjectural stories of Chinese and Russian subversion has repeatedly been fed to a gullible western audience desperate for an enemy image to attach to their realization that an obvious long-term conspiracy has been unleashed to destroy their lives. While the left has been fed with propaganda designed to convince them that this enemy has taken the form of the Kremlin, the conservative consumers of media have been fed with the narrative that the enemy is China. Of course, since Soros began openly calling for Xi Jinping's overthrow in 2021, and as the liberal-left have turned into China-sanctioning war mongers, there is increasingly little difference between the anti-China hysterics prevalent among both "left" and "right" audiences at this point.

The reality is that both Russia and China together have a bond of principled survival upon which the entire multipolar order is based. It is this alliance which the actual controllers of today's empire wish to both destroy and ensure no western nation joins... especially not the USA.

Every day we read that secret lists of millions of Chinese communist party members have infiltrated western national governments or that espionage honey pots have targeted politicians, or Russia is subverting western democracies, and preparing false flags to invade its neighbors. Even alternative media outlets carry CIA/NED funded propaganda about "international Chinese police stations" operating across the west without any concern that the sources generated by outlets like Safeguard Defenders prove none of their claims, rely on pure conjecture and "anonymous" messaging and receive their funding openly from the National Endowment for Democracy and European Union.

In all cases, the stories pumped out by mainstream media rags reek of 1) Five Eyes propaganda psy-op techniques, and often unverified accusations, while

2) deflecting from the actually verifiable British Intelligence tentacles caught repeatedly shaping world events, regime change, infiltration, assassination and conspiracies for over a century including the push to overthrow Trump under a color revolution[1].

Among the most destructive of these conspiracies orchestrated by British Intelligence during the past century was the artificial creation of the Cold War which destroyed the hopes for a multipolar world of win-win collaboration guided by a U.S.-China-Russia alliance as envisioned by FDR and Henry Wallace [see part 1].

When reviewing how this perversion of history was manufactured, it is important to hold firmly in mind the parallels to the current anti-China/anti-Russian operations now underway.

Cold War Battle Lines are Drawn

Historians widely acknowledge that the actual catalyst for the Cold War occurred not on March 5, 1946, but rather on September 5, 1945. It was at this moment that a 26-year-old cipher clerk left the Soviet Embassy in Ottawa with a list of code names for supposed spies planted within the British, Canadian and American governments controlled by the Kremlin. In total this young defector took telegram notes attributed to his boss Colonel Zabolotin and 108 other strategic documents that supposedly proved the existence of this Soviet conspiracy to the world for the first time.

The young clerk's name was Igor Gouzenko, and the scandal that emerged from his defection not only created one of the greatest abuses of civil liberties in Canadian history, but a sham trial based on little more than hearsay and conjecture. In fact, when the six microfilms of evidence were finally declassified in 1985, not a single document turned out to be worthy of the name (more to be said on that below).

The outcome of the Gouzenko Affair resulted in the collapse of all U.S.-Canada-Russia alliances that had been fostered during fires of anti-fascist combat of WWII.

Voices like Henry Wallace (former Vice-President under FDR) watched the collapse of potential amidst the anti-Communist hysteria and sounded the alarm loudly saying:

“Before the blood of our boys is scarcely dry on the field of battle, these enemies of peace try to lay the foundation for World War III. These people must not succeed in their foul enterprise. We must offset their poison by following the policies of Roosevelt in cultivating the friendship of Russia in peace as well as in war.”

This fight against those actual top-down controllers of fascism whom Wallace had bravely put into the spotlight would sadly not prove successful. Between 1945 and the collapse of Wallace's Progressive Party USA presidential bid in 1948, those strongest anti-Cold War voices both in the USA and in Canada were promptly labelled “Russian agents” and saw their reputations, careers and freedoms destroyed under the CIA-FBI managed spectre of the Red Scare and later McCarthyism. In Canada, Wallace's Progressive Party co-thinkers took the form of the Labor Progressive Party (LPP) then led by Member of Parliament Fred Rose, LPP leader Tim Buck and LPP National Organizer Sam Carr- all three would represent the anti-Cold War fight to save FDR's vision in Canada and all of whom would figure prominently in the story of Igor Gouzenko.

The Gouzenko Hoax Kicks Off

When Prime Minister King heard those claims made by Gouzenko, he knew that it threatened the post war hopes for global reconstruction and for this reason was very hesitant to make the unverifiable claims public for many months or even offer the defector sanctuary for that matter.

After the story was eventually strategically leaked to American media, anti-communist hysteria skyrocketed forcing King to establish the Gouzenko Espionage Royal Commission on February 5, 1946 under Privy Council Order 411. Earlier Privy Council Order 6444 had already been passed extending the War Measures Act beyond the end of the war and permitting for detention incommunicado, psychological torture and removing Habeus Corpus of all those who would be accused of espionage.

By February 15, 1946 the first 15 targets were arrested and held for weeks in isolation in Ottawa's Rockcliffe Military Barracks without access to family or legal counsel. All those arrested without charge suffered weeks of psychological torture, sleep deprivation and were put on suicide watch with no communication with anyone but inquisitors from the Royal Commission. Both Judges who presided over the show trial were rewarded with Orders of Canada

and were made Supreme Court Justices in the wake of the affair.

With a complete disregard for any notion of civil liberties (Canada still had no Bill of Rights), lead counsel E.K. Williams blatantly argued for the creation of the Royal Commission *“because it need not be bound by the ordinary rules of evidence if it considers it desirable to disregard them. It need not permit counsel to appear for those to be interrogated by or before it”*.

During the show trial, none of the defendants were allowed to see any evidence being used against them and everyone involved including RCMP officers were threatened with 5 years imprisonment for speaking about the trial publicly. The only person who could speak and write boundlessly to the media was the figure of Igor Gouzenko himself. Whenever appearing on TV or in court, Gouzenko who was to charge over \$1000 for some interviews and received generous book deals, and government pensions for life, always appeared masked in a paper bag on his head. Even though this cipher clerk never actually met any of the figures standing trial, his testimony against them was treated like gold.

By June 27, 1946 the Royal Commission released its final 733 page report^[2] which, along with Gouzenko’s own books, became the sole unquestionable gospel used and re-used by journalists, politicians and historians for the next decades as proof of the vast Russian plot to undermine western values and steal atomic secrets. There was in fact nowhere else to go for a very long time if a researcher wished to figure out what actually occurred.

As it so happened, all trial records were either destroyed or “lost” in the days after the commission disbanded, and if people wanted to look at the actual evidence they would have to wait 40 years when it was finally declassified.

The result of the trials?

By the end of the whole sordid affair, 10 of the 26 arrested were convicted and imprisoned for anywhere from 3-7 years. While these convictions are themselves often cited as “proof” that the Gouzenko evidence must have been valid, on closer inspection we find that this is merely the effect of a game of smoke and mirrors.

It must first be noted that of the 10 found guilty, not one indictment or conviction of espionage was found. Instead, five defendants were found guilty of assisting in the acquisition of fake passports during the 1930s which were used by Canadian volunteers to fight with the *Mackenzie-Papineau Battalions* in the Spanish Civil War against Franco’s fascist coup while the other five were convicted of violating Canada’s Official Secrets Act during WWII entirely on Gouzenko’s testimony. The other 16 targets were released without ever having been charged of a crime. The two leaders of the supposed spy ring that received the longest sentences were Labor Progressive Party leaders Fred Rose and Sam Carr who had been the loudest advocates of FDR’s international New Deal and the exposure of the financial sponsors of fascism that aimed at world empire (more to be said on this in an upcoming report).

When the Gouzenko evidence was finally declassified in 1985, Canadian journalist William Reuben wrote a fascinating analysis for a July 20 edition of *The Nation* in an article titled [“The Documents that Weren’t There”](#) where he noted the absence of anything one could reasonably call “evidence” among the thousands of items.

After spending weeks investigating the six reels of declassified microfilm, Reuben found only what could be described as *“a hodgepodge, reminiscent of one of Professor Irwin Corey’s double talk monologues”*.

Listing the vast array of telephone directories from 1943, RCMP profiles, lists of travel expense vouchers and passport applications, Reuben asked:

“What is one to make of this jumble? With no indication as to when any of the exhibits were obtained by the RCMP, how they related to espionage or any wrongdoing and for the most part, no indication of when they were placed in evidence at the hearings it is impossible to determine their significance, authenticity or relationship to other evidence.”

In short, not a single piece of actual evidence could be found.

Additionally when reviewing the 8 handwritten telegrams of Russian notes outlining the spy code names and instructions from the Kremlin which Gouzenko originally took from his embassy in 1945, no forensic evidence was ever attempted to match the handwriting with Colonel Zubatov to whom it

was attributed and who always denied the accusation.

Reuben goes further to ask where are the 108 secret documents that Gouzenko famously stole and upon which the entire case against the accused spies was based? These documents were not part of the declassified microfilms, and so he noted: “*as with the eight telegrams, there is no physical evidence to prove that the originals existed or came from the Soviet Embassy*”.

He also asked the valid question why it was only on March 2, 1946 (six months after Gouzenko’s defection) that any mention was made of the 108 documents?

Could the lack of evidence and the long gap in time be related to Gouzenko’s five and a half month stay at Ottawa’s Camp X spy compound under the control of Sir William Stephenson before his defection was made public? Could those apparent 108 documents used by Gouzenko’s dodgy dossier have anything to do with the Camp X Laboratory which specialized in forging letters and other official documents?

If you find yourself thinking about the parallels of this story to the more recent case of the Brookings Institute’s Igor Danchenko who was found to be the “source” of the dodgy dossiers used to create RussiaGate by MI6’s Christopher Steele, Richard Dearlove and Rhodes Scholar Strobe Talbott, then don’t be shocked. It means you are using your brain^[3].

What was Camp X?

Camp X was the name given to the clandestine operations training center in the outskirts of Ottawa Canada on December 6, 1941.

It was created by the British Security Cooperation (BSC) headed by Sir William Stephenson- a spymaster who worked closely with Winston Churchill. BSC was created in New York in 1940 as a covert operation set up by the British Secret Service and MI6 to interface with American intelligence. Since the USA was still neutral in the war, Camp X was used to train the Special Operations Executive, as well as agents from FBI’s Division 5 and OSS in the arts of psychological warfare, assassination, espionage, counter-intelligence, forgeries and other forms of covert action.

The leadership cadre that was to survive the purge of OSS in October 1945 and go on to lead the new CIA when it was formed in 1947 were all trained in Camp X.

In his book *Camp X: OSS, Intrepid and the Allies’ North American Training Camp for Secret Agents*, historian David Stafford notes that Gouzenko’s attempts to contact media and government offices on the night of September 5, 1945 were met with cold shoulders and even Prime Minister William Lyon Mackenzie King himself wanted nothing to do with the man, writing in his diary: “*if suicide took place let the city police take charge and secure whatever there was in the way of documents, but on no account for us to take the initiative.*”

It was only due to the combined direct intervention of Stephenson and Norman Robertson (head of External Affairs and leading Rhodes Scholar) after an emergency meeting, that King was persuaded to give Gouzenko sanctuary. King had not even known about Camp X’s purpose at the time.

While King wished to defend FDR’s vision for a post-war world of cooperation with Russia, Stafford notes: “*Stephenson vigorously opposed King’s view. Like SIS headquarters in London, BSC (British Security Cooperation) for most of the war had operated a counter espionage section to keep an eye on Communist subversion... he was convinced, even before the Gouzenko affair, that BSC could provide the nucleus of a post-war intelligence organization in the Western Hemisphere. The cipher clerk’s defection provided him a golden opportunity.*” [4]

Canadian Journalist Ian Adams had reported that Gouzenko’s “*defection came at a wonderful time when there was tremendous resistance from the scientists involved in developing the atomic bomb. They wanted to see an open book on the development of nuclear power with everybody collaborating so that it wouldn’t become the ungodly arms race that it did become and is today. So if Gouzenko hadn’t fallen into the western intelligence services’ lap, they would have had to invent somebody like him.*”

A Final Word on the Real Infiltration of Western Governments

As Henry Wallace and FDR understood all too well, the real subversive threat to world peace was not the Soviet Union, or China... but rather the supranational financial-intelligence-military

architecture that represented the globally extended British Empire that had orchestrated the dismemberment of Russia during the Crimean War, the USA during the Civil War and China during two Opium Wars. This was and is the enemy of the Labour Progressive Party of Canada that took the form of the Fabian Society CCF run by 6 Rhodes Scholars and it was this Rhodes Scholar/Round Table agency that was resisted by Canadian nationalists O.D. Skelton and Ernest Lapointe, and which fully took over Canada's foreign ministry with their deaths in 1941[5].

This same hive of Rhodes Scholars and Fabians increasingly took control of American foreign policy with the death of Franklin Roosevelt, the ouster of Wallace and the rise of the new Anglo-American Special Relationship manufactured by Churchill, Stephenson and their lackies in the USA. This is the beast that infiltrated and undermined labor unions across the Five Eyes during the Cold War and ensured that pesky patriots like Paul Robeson, John Kennedy, Malcolm X, Martin Luther King, and many others who resisted, would not be long for this world.

This is the structure whose hands have shown themselves time and again behind the dodgy dossiers that started the Iraq War, to the false intelligence used to justify wars in Libya, and Syria. It is the same structure which has been caught managing the regime change in the USA since 2016 with its assets cooking up dodgy dossiers accusing Russia of putting their puppet into the White House, to orchestrating mass vote fraud in the elections of 2020[6].

This is the same operation which has always aimed at dismembering the USA, Russia, China and every other nation state who may at any time utilize the power of their sovereignty to declare political and economic independence from this supranational parasite and choose to work together to establish a world of win-win cooperation rather than tolerate a new technocratic feudal dark age.

Footnotes for Part 2

[1] Lord Malloch Brown Revealed: The British Hand Behind the Coup Shows Its Scales Again, Matthew Ehret, Strategic Culture Foundation, November 17, 2020

[2] Download the full 1946 report at https://historyofrights.ca/wp-content/uploads/documents/commission_report.pdf

[3] The Brookings Hand Behind Russiagate Points Back to Rhodes Trust Coup on America, Matthew Ehret, Strategic Culture Foundation, August 10, 2020

[4] Stephenson immediately flew two of his top SIS officials in from the BSC HQ in New York to manage the Gouzenko affair for the next 8 months: Peter Dwyer (head of counter-espionage for BSC) and Jean-Paul Evans. Evans is an interesting figure whose SIS successor was none other than triple agent Kim Philby who replaced him when he left his post as British liaison to the FBI and CIA in 1949. Evans himself went on to work with leading Round Table controller and soon Governor General Vincent Massey in the creation of a new system of promoting the arts in Canada pouring millions of dollars into modernist/abstract art, music and drama under the Canada Council which grew out of the Massey-Levesque Royal Commission for the Arts in Canada. This body founded in 1957 took over the reins of control from the CIA and Rockefeller Foundations who had formerly enjoyed a near monopoly sponsoring such things as part of the post-WWII cultural war against communism. Stafford notes that "the man who impressed Ottawa with his love of the arts had also played an important part in the history of Anglo-Canadian secret intelligence."

[5] Just as Roosevelt was coming to power in America in 1932, the Rhodes Trust networks of Canada centering on Escott Reid, Frank Underhill, Eugene Forsey, F.R. Scott, and David Lewis founded a self-described "Fabian modeled think tank" customized for Canada known as the League for Social Reconstruction (LSR). Reid, Forsey, Scott and Lewis were all Rhodes Scholars while Underhill was an Oxford trained Fabian who was tutored by Harold Laski and G.B. Shaw at Balliol College. The avowed intention of the group was to institute a system of "scientific management of society" under Fabian precepts and expressed itself in the group's selecting of J.S. Woodsworth, another Oxford-trained Fabian, to head the new Cooperative Commonwealth Federation (CCF) as an outgrowth of the LSR. The CCF called for the complete destruction of capitalism in its Regina Manifesto of 1933. Woodsworth, an avowed eugenicist, vigorously endorsed the passage of Alberta's 1927 sterilization laws to eliminate the unfit. Following the gospel of his Fabian mentors H.G. Wells and G.B. Shaw, Woodsworth even advocated the abolishment of personal property. At its heart the CCF was not your typical "socialism", but merely fascism with a "scientific" socialist face. This story is told in full in *The Origins of the Deep State in North America Part I: The Round Table Movement*, Canadian Patriot Review, 2019

[6] <https://www.bitchute.com/video/RzKATD6prbI/>



Breaking Free of Anti-Chinese Psyops 3 China, the USA or Something Else? Who's to Blame for the COVID Pandemic?

Ever since the earliest days of the Coronavirus pandemic, evidence began to emerge that the virus was not a naturally occurring evolutionary phenomenon as asserted by the WHO, Nature Magazine, and editors at the Lancet, but had other origins.

Among the earliest of those who found themselves supporting this theory were the Chinese Foreign Ministry Spokesman Lijian Zhao who made international waves by sharing two articles by Larry Romanov[1] on the possibility of “gene targeting” of the virus which was having a disproportionately bad effect on Iranians, Italians and various Asian genotypes.

Larry Romanoff's work on this particular topic are of extremely high value, the most recent of which develops a conclusive study of the genetic targeting operations underlying the global Pentagon-run bioweapons complex that have had a disproportionately devastating effect on Han Chinese.[2]

Zhao was soon joined by bioweapons experts like Francis Boyle, prominent virologists Luc Montagnier and Judy Mikovits, followed by a growing array of scholars, scientists and academics from around the

world who all assessed that the virus' apparent gene sequencing implied human handiwork. While all agreed that COVID appeared to have originated from a lab, it was still unclear whether that lab was Chinese or controlled by the USA.

Another obvious question arose with this lab theory: Was it an accidental leak or was it consciously deployed?

Since pandemic war game operations had become a normalized part of western geopolitical life from the early days of Dark Winter in 2000 to the Rockefeller Foundation's 2011 Lock Step to the World Economic Forum's Event 201 (and dozens more in between), the likelihood of conscious deployment was a very serious possibility.

Who had the motive, means and modus operandi to carry out such a global operation?

The Wuhan Theory Begins

By February 2020, the Wuhan lab leak hypothesis began to make headlines fed by evidence that Dr. Anthony Fauci had exported certain gain of function coronavirus experiments from US bioweapons laboratories to Wuhan's Institute of Virology- one of

two BSL-4 labs in China equipped to conduct this sort of research.

When Sir Richard Dearlove (former head of MI6) became a loud proponent of the Wuhan lab leak hypothesis in June 2020, something seemed amiss[3]. Dearlove certainly knew a thing or two about bioweapons. He knew very well of the Pentagon's vast array of internationally extended bioweapons labs peppered across the world, and he certainly understood the art of misdirection being himself a byzantine shadow

creature who operated at the highest echelons of British intelligence. Dearlove was after all in charge of the "yellowcake" dodgy dossier that launched an Iraq war, he knew of the fallacious reports of nerve gases used by the governments of Libya and Syria sponsored by MI6, had even overseen major components of Russiagate[4] that drove a color revolutionary process in the USA. Dearlove also knew a thing or two about the Porton Down labs that manufactured Novichok used in the Skripal Affair.

While Dearlove's cheerleading of the Wuhan lab theory raised alarm bells, as time passed, no smoking gun evidence of an alternative lab-leak hypothesis surfaced that one could fully "take to court". In this respect, Dearlove's operation had the upper hand since receipts from Fauci's NIH to the Wuhan Lab did conveniently make headlines, and acted as a "smoking gun" in the minds of many.

Before going into the next phase of the story, it is important to recall that the absence of empirical evidence is not by itself a proof of one party's innocence, just as the existence of a piece of empirical evidence is not a proof of another party's guilt.

Wuhan Lab Origins Go Viral Again

In recent weeks, the Wuhan lab leak hypothesis has once again become all the rage.

Rand Paul's May 10, 2021 showdown with Fauci[5] over the latter's funding of the Wuhan Institute of



An array of some of the 320+ international Pentagon-directed "biolabs" around the world

Virology added fuel to the fire. Sky News' May 7 reporting[6] of public Chinese policy papers discussing covid-based bioweapons have gone viral. On March 26, former Center of Disease Control head Robert Redfield asserted his support for the Wuhan lab leak theory[7]. While the scanned receipts of the funds transfer from Fauci's NIH to research in China via Eco Health Alliance (\$600 thousand went to Wuhan) for coronavirus research, had been available since last February, one must wonder why it is only now, over a year later that this fact is being spread across the perception landscape on all levels.

Both mainstream and alternative media across the western world representing both the left and right have jumped on board the bandwagon blaming China for leaking the virus whether by accident or intent (though obviously, intent is the conclusion which anyone is expected to draw once the Wuhan lab leak theory is accepted). But again, I must ask: In a world of misdirection, psychological warfare and perception management, do the clues that we are being given force us to conclude that the Chinese government is behind the global pandemic or is another culprit likely to be found?

Chinese Leaders Blame the CIA

Zeng Guang, a chief epidemiologist at China's Center of Disease Control recently joined the conspiracy club on February 9, 2021 in an interview with Chinese media. While denying that the Chinese

Wuhan lab is the source of the virus as so many in the west have claimed, Guang asserted that SarsCov2's origins in a laboratory should not be discounted. Pointing to the vast globally extended US bioweapons labs littering the earth (and citing the USA's proven track record of deploying bioweapons as part of its asymmetrical war arsenal since WWII), Guang asked:

"The United States has biological laboratories all over the world. Why does the United States have so many laboratories? What is the purpose? On many things, the United States requires others to be open and transparent, only to find that it is the United States itself that is often the most opaque. Whether or not the United States has any special fame on the issue of the new corona virus this time, it should have the courage to be open and transparent. The United States should take responsibility for proving itself to the world, rather than being caught up in hegemonic thinking, hiding itself from the virus and dumping others."

Guang was himself joined by Chinese Foreign Ministry spokesman Hua Chunying who had also pointed to the Pentagon's globally extended array of bioweapons laboratories[8] saying:

"I'd like to stress that if the United States truly respects facts, it should open the biological lab at Fort Detrick, give more transparency to issues like its 200-plus overseas bio-labs, invite WHO experts to conduct origin-tracing in the United States, and respond to the concerns from the international community with real actions."

Those who tend to avoid looking at the history and scope of Pentagon controlled bioweapon warfare tend to ignore the content of such remarks cited by those Chinese officials above for a multitude of reasons. For one: it is easy to believe that Fauci and Gates are corrupt, and this theory not only implicates both men but also ties them to a Chinese government which most westerners have been brainwashed to fear as a bastion of global debt-trappery, genocide, and communist imperialism out to destroy western values.

After conducting a short review of some of the fundamental facts of recent world history alongside certain geopolitical realities of our present world order referenced by the head of the Chinese CDC, I believe that China's Wuhan Lab is being set up. Here are five facts to support my case...

Fact #1) Depopulation Then and Now

While many people may wish to avoid looking at this fact, depopulation is a driving factor behind international unipolar policy today as it had been during the days of WW2 when Rockefeller Foundation, Macy Foundation, City of London and Wall Street interests gave their backing to both the rise of fascism as an economic miracle solution for the economic woes of the great depression and eugenics (the science of population control) as the governing religion of a new scientific priesthood[9].

Today, this agenda masquerades behind a new transhumanist movement, shaped by words like "Fourth Industrial Revolution", "decarbonized economies", and "Great Resets". The primary targets of this agenda remain: 1) the institution of sovereign nation-state, and 2) the "overpopulated zones" of the world with a focus on China, India, South America and Africa.

For anyone who would find themselves instinctively inclined to brush aside such claims as "conspiracy theorizing", I would encourage a brief review of Sir Henry Kissinger's infamous NSSM-200 report: *'Implications of Worldwide Population Growth for U.S. Security and Overseas Interests'* published in 1974[10]. This declassified report went far to transform US foreign policy from a pro-development philosophy to a new paradigm of population control. In his report, Kissinger warned that *"if future numbers are to be kept within reasonable bounds, it is urgent that measures to reduce fertility be started and made effective in the 1970s and 1980s....(Financial) assistance will be given to other countries, considering such factors as population growth... Food and agricultural assistance is vital for any population sensitive development strategy... Allocation of scarce resources should take account of what steps a country is taking in population control... There is an alternative view that mandatory programs may be needed...."*

In Kissinger's twisted logic, US Foreign Policy doctrine had too often foolishly sought to end hunger by providing the means of industrial and scientific development to poor nations.

A true Malthusian through and through, Kissinger believed that aiding the poor to stand on their own feet would result in global disequilibrium as the new middle classes would consume more, and use the strategic resources found under their own soil, which would set the world system of accelerated entropy.

This was deemed unacceptable to the mind of Kissinger and any misanthropic follower of Malthus who shared his views of humanity and government.


Kissinger's Master-Slave Global Society

At the time of Kissinger's ascent to power as Secretary of State under Nixon, a new grand strategy was unleashed designed to create a new "master-slave" dependency between the developed and undeveloped sectors of the world... with a special emphasis on the 13 nations targeted by NSSM 200 plus China. [11]

China itself was only permitted to acquire western tech needed to start climbing out of abject poverty on the condition that they obeyed the Rockefeller-World Bank demands that one child policy programs were imposed to curb population growth.

Kissinger began organizing for this new set of relations in society around "Have", post-industrial consumers and a massive "Have-Not" class of poor laborers with access to industry, but remaining stagnant, cheap and without the means of purchasing the goods they produced. The other darker skinned parts of the world would be even more worse off, having neither the means of production, nor consumption while remaining in constant states of famine, war and backwardness. These dark age zones would be largely made up of Sub-Saharan Africa and would find their resource-rich lands exploited by the corporate middlemen and financiers trying to run the world order above the "obsolete order" of nation-states.

Kissinger's model of a world order was absolutely static with no room for population growth or technological progress. Mao and the Gang of Four which ran the cultural revolution appeared to be highly compatible with Kissinger's agenda. But when Mao died and the Gang of Four were rightfully imprisoned, a new long-term strategy known as the Four Modernizations shaped by Zhou Enlai and carried out by Deng Xiaoping was launched. This



Source: Global Network Against Weapons & Nuclear Power in Space

program was far more foresighted than Kissinger realized. [12]

Fact #2) China is currently a leading force of pro-industrial growth

While the west has been accelerating into a decaying path on every measurable level, China is quickly moving in an opposing trajectory via extending long term investments and advanced tech development into its own society as well as to its neighbors through such comprehensive projects as the Belt and Road Initiative.

While its own population has not healed from the disastrous 1979 one child policy and is far from achieving the 2.1 children per couple needed for replacement fertility, it did lift the one child limit to two in 2015 and leading Bank of China economists have called for a total elimination of all limits immediately[13]. Meanwhile, the top-down national orientation of China towards increasing the free energy needed to support and grow the economy is unlike anything we have seen in the closed-system western world.

A vital fact often forgotten is that together China and India were instrumental in sabotaging the December 2009 COP-14 program in Copenhagen which had promised to establish legally binding emission target cuts to guide the de-carbonization (and de-industrialization) of much of society.

The London Guardian had reported in 2009 that *“Copenhagen was a disaster. That much is agreed. But the truth about what actually happened is in danger of being lost amid the spin and inevitable mutual recriminations. The truth is this: China wrecked the talks, intentionally humiliated Barack Obama, and insisted on an awful “deal” so western leaders would walk away carrying the blame*[14]”

Apparently China and India, along with African governments like Sudan (which had not yet been carved up on the careful watch of Rhodes Scholar Susan Rice) did not wish to sacrifice their industry and national sovereignty on the altar of climate change models and technocrats that had only weeks earlier been publicly exposed as frauds by East Anglia University researchers during the embarrassing Climategate scandal.[15]

While China and India should be celebrated for having sabotaged this effort 11 years ago, very few people have been able to hold this drama in their memory, and fewer still realize how this fight over sovereignty was in any way connected to China’s 2013 creation of the Belt and Road Initiative as the vital force behind the emerging Multipolar Alliance.

Fact #3) Soros at Davos 2020: The two greatest threats to Open Society: 1) Donald Trump’s USA and 2) Xi Jinping’s China.

During his January 2020 Davos speech, Soros took aim at both Trump and Xi Jinping as the two greatest threats to his Open Society[16] who had to be stopped at all costs. In September 2019 (just as Event 201 was happening) Soros wrote in the Wall Street Journal:

“As founder of the Open Society Foundations, my interest in defeating Xi Jinping’s China goes beyond U.S. national interests. As I explained in a speech in Davos earlier this year, I believe that the social-credit system Beijing is building, if allowed to expand, could sound the death knell of open societies not only in China but also around the globe.” [17]

Before becoming mired into the “China virus” narrative, Donald Trump had worked exceptionally hard to emphasize good relations with China and even managed one of the most important trade deals that had successfully moved into phase one the week Soros spoke at Davos. This first phase involved China creating a market to purchase US finished goods as

part of the program to rebuild America’s lost manufacturing sector that had been hollowed out over 5 decades of “post industrialism”.

Where Kissinger called NAFTA “the most creative step toward a new world order taken by any group of countries since the end of the Cold War” [18], Trump went far to renegotiate the anti-nation state treaty giving nation-states a role to play in shaping economic policy for the first time in over 25 years.

It is important to also recall that Trump resisted the war hawks pushing a total military encirclement of China that began under Obama’s Asia Pivot, which is today threatening nuclear war. He took the fuel out of the THAAD missile encirclement of China which has justified its expansion based on the “North Korean threat” for over a decade- always denying the truth that the real targets were both Russia and China. Trump’s push to build friendly relations with Kim Jong Un had much greater ramifications in changing US Pacific military policy than many realized, although that fact was certainly not missed by the Chinese intelligentsia.

While the Soros/CIA-driven color revolutionary operations have so far failed to divide up China in Hong Kong, Tibet and Xinjiang, they have been successful in the USA, particularly in the information war.

Fact #4) The Pentagon’s Global Bioweapons Complex Is a Fact

While China is the proud owner of a total of TWO BSL-4 labs (both within its own borders), a vast array of over 300 Pentagon-run bio labs litter the international landscape. Exactly how many is hard to estimate, although one clue was given by the Chinese Foreign Ministry who stated on March 2022 that *“The US has 336 labs in 30 countries under its control, including 26 in Ukraine alone. It should give a full account of its biological military activities at home and abroad and subject itself to multilateral verification.”*

After the military intervention into Ukraine began, Russia took control of the Pentagon’s 30+ labs cussing the border of Russia with the Foreign Ministry reporting on March 8, 2022 that:

“Documents were obtained from employees of Ukrainian biological laboratories about emergency disposal of

particularly dangerous pathogens of plague, anthrax, tularemia, cholera and other deadly diseases, carried out on February 24. In particular, we are talking about the Ukrainian Health Ministry order on prompt disposal of all stockpiles of dangerous pathogens, sent to all bio laboratories... The obtained documentation is currently being scrupulously analyzed by specialists of Nuclear, Chemical and Biological Protection troops. However, it is already possible to make a conclusion that the laboratories located in direct proximity from Russian borders worked on development of components of biological weapons."

In 2018, investigative journalist Dilya Gaytandzhieva documented the Pentagon's multibillion dollar budget that sustains bioweapons labs around the world[19] which grew exponentially since the December 2001 bioweaponized anthrax attack killed five Americans and justified a hyperbolic increase of bioweapon warfare to rise from a \$5 billion budget when Cheney's Bioshield Act was passed in 2004 to over \$50 billion today.

Fact #5) International Pandemic War Game Scenarios Laid the groundwork for the international response to Covid. Not China

The driving force behind such bioweapon war game exercises such as the June 2001 Operation Dark Winter, the May 2010 Rockefeller Foundation report featuring Operation Lock Step, and the 2019 World Economic Forum/Gates Foundation/CIA Event 201 pandemic exercises indicate that China is not the causal nexus[20].

Taken together, these facts demonstrate that China is being set up and is in fact a primary target for destruction.

How China would find itself the beneficiary of such an irresponsible unleashing of a novel virus that hammered its own economy, accelerated the blowout of the world financial bubble economy and annihilated the foundations of international stability is absurd to the extreme... especially considering the fact that everything China has done for the past decades has indicated a consistent desire to create stability, long term development and win-win cooperation with the international community... including the USA.

Nothing similar has been seen among members of the Five Eyes or their Trans Atlantic network of over-bloated imperialists.

The oligarchy running the Trans Atlantic System certainly loves the centralized control found in the Chinese system, and they adore the behaviorist social credit stuff, but that is where their admiration ends. The Kissinger, Gates, Carney or Schwab-types hate and fear everything China has actually done for development, ending poverty, population growth, national banking, long term credit generation, building full spectrum industrial economies and defending sovereignty along with Russia whom they are tightly bound with in the Eurasian Multipolar alliance.

Footnotes for Part 3

[1] [Chinese Foreign Ministry Spokesman: "It Might Be US Army Who Brought The Epidemic To Wuhan"](#), By Tim Hains, Real Clear Politics, March 18, 2020

[2] [COVID-19 – A Biological Weapon Targeting Ethnicity and Body Systems](#) by Larry Romanoff, Canadian Patriot Review, April 8, 2022

[3] [Ex-MI6 boss claims coronavirus started in a lab](#) by James Hockaday, Metro UK News, June 4, 2020

[4] [American Shadow Creatures Exposed: But Will the Empire Still Win the Day?](#) By Matthew Ehret, Canadian Patriot Review June 8, 2020

[5] [Rand Paul Confronts Fauci For Funding The Wuhan Institute of Virology](#), National File, May 11, 2021

[6] [Documents reveal China discussed weaponisation of coronaviruses prior to pandemic](#), Sky News, May 08, 2021

[7] [Ex-CDC Director Robert Redfield believes COVID-19 came from Wuhan lab](#) By Lia Eustachewich, New York Post, March 26, 2021

[8] [The Pentagon Bio-weapons](#) By Dilyana Gaytandzhieva, April 29, 20

[9] [British Psychiatry: From Eugenics To Assassination](#) by Anton Chaitkin, Principia Scientifica, July 3, 2019

[10] [National Security Study Memorandum: Implications of Worldwide Population Growth For U.S. Security and Overseas Interests](#), December 10, 1974 [declassified 1989]

[11] The full story of Kissinger's efforts to create a perpetual sweat shop slave society in China see '[Who is Creating a New Chinese Boogey Man? \(An Examination of Modern Psychological Warfare\)](#)' by Matthew Ehret, Canadian Patriot Review, Dec. 17, 2020

[12] While receiving much needed revenue from foreign exports, China began to slowly create the foundations for a genuine renaissance which would be made possible by **slowly learning the skills, leapfrogging technologies and acquiring means of production which the West had once pioneered**. Zhou Enlai had first enunciated this visionary program as early as 1963 under his [Four Modernizations mandate](#) (Industrial,

agricultural, national defense and science and technology) and then restated this program in January 1976 weeks before his death. This program manifested itself in the July 6, 1978 State Council Forum on the "Principles to Guide the Four Modernizations" informed by the findings of international exploratory missions conducted by economist Gu Mu's delegations around various advanced world economies (Japan, Hong Kong, Western Europe). **The findings of Gu Mu's reports laid out the concrete pathways for full spectrum economic sovereignty with a focus on cultivating the cognitive creative powers of a new generation of scientists that would drive the nonlinear breakthroughs needed for China to ultimately break free of the rules of closed-system economics** which technocrats like Kissinger wished the world adhere to.

[13] [China population: birth control policies should be scrapped to retain economic edge over US, says central bank](#), South China Morning Post, April 15, 2021

[14] [How do I know China wrecked the Copenhagen deal? I was in the room](#) by Mark Lynas, London Guardian, Dec. 22, 2009

[15] [The Evidence of Climate Fraud](#) By Marc Sheppard, American Thinker, Nov. 21, 2009

[16] [George Soros rips Trump and Xi, says the 'fate of the world' is at stake in 2020](#), CNBC, January 23, 2020

[17] [Will Trump Sell Out the U.S. on Huawei?](#) By George Soros, WSJ, Sept. 20, 2019 [18] [With NAFTA, US Finally Creates New World Order](#) by Henry Kissinger, Los Angeles Times, July 18, 1993

[19] [The Pentagon Bio-weapons](#) By Dilyana Gaytandzhieva, April 29, 2018

[20] For an overview of Dark Winter see: [Heard of Operation Dark Winter? Here's Why It Matters Now](#), My Patriots Supply, August 05, 2020. For an overview of Lockstep see: [Scenarios for the Future of Technology and International Development](#), p. 18 (Lock Step) Rockefeller Foundation, 2010, and for an overview of Event 201 see: [centerforhealthsecurity.org/event201/](#)



Breaking Free of Anti-Chinese Psyops 4 How Kissinger's Chinese Slave-Labor Program Came Undone

Understanding the nature of the current full spectrum psychological operations deployed to convince dim witted westerners that China is the center of all evil, it is necessary to review some underappreciated facts of recent history. Since former secretary of State Sir Henry Kissinger (a genuine Knight of the British Empire), figures prominently in this story of US-China relations in the post-1968 era, it is wise to start with his relationship with China.

Although he is celebrated for being an “enlightened” liberal politician who helped China open up to the west after the dark days of Mao’s Cultural Revolution by extending western markets to China, the truth is very different.

A devout proponent of world government and population control, Kissinger had been the tool selected during a particularly important period of human history to advance a new ordering of world affairs.

Kissinger Sides with Churchill over FDR at Chatham House

In order to properly understand Kissinger’s strategic thinking and his design for both China and the USA alike, it is useful to review a speech delivered at London’s Chatham House on May 10, 1982 titled “*Reflections on a Partnership: British and American Attitudes to Postwar Foreign Policy*”:

“All accounts of the Anglo-American alliance during the Second World War and in the early postwar period draw attention to the significant differences in philosophy between Franklin Roosevelt and Winston Churchill reflecting our different national histories...”

Many American leaders condemned Churchill as needlessly obsessed with power politics, too rigidly anti-Soviet, too colonialist in his attitude to what is now called the Third World, and too little interested in building the fundamentally new international order towards which American idealism has always tended. The British undoubtedly saw the Americans as naive, moralistic, and evading responsibility for helping secure the global equilibrium. The dispute was resolved

according to American preferences- in my view, to the detriment of postwar security.”

The Division of the World into Producers and Consumers

Since the world was taken off the gold reserve system in 1971, a new age of “post-industrialism” was unleashed onto an increasingly globalized world.

Humanity was given a new type of system which presumed that both our nature and the cause of value itself were located in the act of consuming. At least for citizens of the west.


The old idea that our nature was creative, and that our wealth was tied to producing, was assumed to be an obsolete thing of the past... a relic of a dirty old industrial age.

Under the new post-1971 operating system, we were told that the world would now be divided among producers and consumers.

The “have-not producers” would provide the cheap labor which first world consumers would increasingly rely on for the creation of goods they used to make for themselves. “First world” nations were told that according to the new post-industrial rules of deregulation and market economics, that they should export their heavy industry, machine tools and other productive sectors abroad as they transitioned into “white collar” post-industrial consumer societies. The longer this outsourcing of industries went on, the less western nations found themselves capable of sustaining their own citizenries, building their own infrastructure or determining their own economic destinies.

In place of full spectrum economies that once saw over 40% of North America’s labor force employed in manufacturing, a new addiction to “buying cheap stuff” began, and a “service economies” took over like a cancer.

To make matters worse, the many newly independent nations struggling to liberate themselves from colonialism were told that they would have to abandon their dreams of development



since those goals would render the formula of a producer-consumer stratified society impossible to create. Those leaders resisting this edict would face assassination or CIA overthrow. For the leaders who adapted to the new rules, they would soon become peons of the new age of “Economic Hitmen”.

China and the West: The Real Story

By the time Deng Xiaoping announced the “opening up” of China in 1978, Kissinger had already managed the economic paradigm shift of 1971, the artificial “oil shock therapy” of 1973[1]¹ and authored his infamous 1974 NSSM 200 Report which transformed U.S. Foreign Policy from a pro-development orientation towards a new policy of depopulation targeting the poor nations of the global south under the logic that the resources under their soil were the lawful possession of the USA[2].

Kissinger, and the hives of Trilateral Commission/CFR operatives to which he was beholden never looked on China as a true ally, but merely as a zone of abundant cheap labor which would feed cheap goods to the now post-industrial west under their new dystopic producer-consumer world order.

Believing China (then still largely an impoverished third world country) to be desperate enough to accept money and short-term salvation after years of trauma induced by the Cultural Revolution. Under Kissinger’s logic, China would receive just enough money to sustain a static existence but would never be able to stand on its own two feet.

Unbeknownst to Kissinger, China's leaders under the direction of Zhou Enlai, and his disciple Deng Xiaoping had a much longer-term strategic perspective than their western partners imagined.

While receiving much needed revenue from foreign exports, China began to slowly create the foundations for a genuine renaissance which would be made possible by slowly learning the skills, leapfrogging technologies and acquiring means of production which the west had once pioneered. Zhou Enlai had first enunciated this visionary program as early as 1963 under his Four Modernizations mandate (Industrial, agricultural, national defense and science and technology) and then restated this program in January 1976 weeks before his death[3].

This program manifested itself in the July 6, 1978 State Council Forum on the "Principles to Guide the Four Modernizations" informed by the findings of international exploratory missions conducted by economist Gu Mu's delegations around various advanced world economies (Japan, Hong Kong, Western Europe). The findings of Gu Mu's reports laid out the concrete pathways for full spectrum economic sovereignty with a focus on cultivating the cognitive creative powers of a new generation of scientists that would drive the non-linear breakthroughs needed for China to ultimately break free of the rules of closed-system economics which technocrats like Kissinger wished the world adhere to.

Deng Xiaoping broke from the radical Marxism prevalent among the intelligentsia by redefining "labor" from purely material constraints and elevating the concept rightfully to the higher domain of mind saying:

"We should select several thousand of our most qualified personnel within the scientific and technological establishment and create conditions that will allow them to devote their undivided attention to research. Those who have financial difficulties should be given allowances and subsidies... we must create within the party an atmosphere of respect for knowledge and respect for trained personnel. The erroneous attitude of not respecting intellectuals must be opposed. All work. Be it mental or manual, is labor."

Over the course of the coming decades, China learned, and like any student, copied, reverse engineered and reconstructed western techniques as it slowly generated capacities that ultimately allowed

them to press on the limits of human knowledge outpacing all western models.

Scientific and technological progress became the driving force of its entire economy and by 1986, the "863 Project for Research and Development" was announced which focused on areas of space, lasers, energy, biotechnology, new materials, automation and information technology[4]. This project became the driver for creative innovation guided by the National Science Foundation and was upgraded to the *973 Basic Research Program* in 2009[5] to: "1) support multidisciplinary and fundamental research of relevance to national development; 2) Promote frontline basic research; 3) Support the cultivation of scientific talent capable of original research; and 4) Build high-quality interdisciplinary research centers."

The fruit of these long term programs was beginning to be felt and by 1996, discussion for a New Silk Road reviving the ancient trade routes connecting China to Europe and Africa through the Middle East and Caucasus was beginning with conferences hosted by Beijing.


One of the few western participants at these Chinese events was the Schiller Institute, whose founders delivered a full day seminar in 1997[6] describing the program that would finally come back to life in 2013 when Xi Jinping made it the focus of China's foreign policy outlook under the Belt and Road Initiative.

Why did this program wait until 2013 to blossom onto the world stage when obvious momentum was already in motion in 1997?

George Soros and the Attack on the Asian Markets

From May 1997, George Soros' targeting of the Southeast Asian "Tiger economies" of Myanmar, Thailand, Indonesia, Philippines, Laos, and Malaysia with speculative short sales of their local currencies resulted in months of vast anarchy across all of Asia and the world more broadly. Currencies collapsed from 10-80% over the next 8 months and took many years to begin to recover.

Malaysia's Mahathir Mohammed was brave enough to call out Soros' economic warfare and did much to help his nation weather the storm by imposing capital controls to maintain some semblance of stability calling out the speculator saying: "as much as people



The primary development corridors driven by China's multimodal New Silk Road unveiled in 2013

who produce and distribute drugs are criminals, because they destroy nations, the people who undermine the economies of poor nations are too.” Chinese President Jiang Zemin followed suit calling Soros “a financial sniper” and stated he would not let the speculator enter Chinese markets.

As analyst Michael Billington astutely wrote in his August 1997 EIR report[7]:

“The ultimate target is China. The British are particularly worried about the increasingly close collaboration between China and the ASEAN nations, which are being integrated into the massive regional and continental development projects initiated by China under the umbrella of the Eurasian Continental Land-Bridge program. Such real development policies offer the alternative to the cheap-labor, colonial-style export industries of the “globalization” model- the model that has led to the financial bubbles now bursting worldwide.”

The Tumultuous Years of 1997-2013

With the advent of the collapse of Long-Term Capital Management (whose meltdown nearly took down the world economy in 1999 if not bailed out by central banks), followed by the Y2K/tech bubble explosion of 2000, the world markets nearly collapsed on several occasions. 9-11 unleashed a new era of warfare which deflected attention from the rot of the financial system while derivatives were deregulated,

and ‘Too Big To Fail’ banking formed in short order growing far beyond the powers of any nation state to rein in.

Under this period of destabilization, wars, terrorism and easy money speculation, China and its Eurasian allies moved slower to rebuild the physical basis of their existence with the creation of the Shanghai Cooperation Organization, long term planning, and a slow but steady focus on real (vs speculative) economic activity. The fact that China was among the only nations of the world to keep national controls over their central bank and maintain Glass-Steagall bank separation were not lost on the enemies of humanity yearning for a bankers’ dictatorship.

This process continued until it became evident that the western unipolar agenda would stop at nothing including nuclear war in order to assure the total subservience of all nation states, with Obama unveiling his Asia Pivot (air-sea battle) plans against China along with the Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP) economic attack on China. The veil was now lifted to the true ugly face behind the liberal fascist smiles and it became clear that the full spectrum dominance military encirclement of Russia’s perimeter was being fully extended to China’s perimeter as well.

The Revival of the New Silk Road 2013- Present

It was in the face of this existential threat that Xi Jinping emerged as the new leader of China and a historic crackdown of party corruption on all levels Federal, Provincial and Municipal was begun in force[8] while Xi's 2013 announcement of the Belt and Road Initiative in Kazakhstan[9] revived the New Silk Road/Eurasian land bridge policy of 15 years earlier.

This strategy quickly moved from an idea into a powerful reality as China's state banking system (one of the only to have avoided privatization over the past century) began unleashing large scale credit for infrastructure both within its own borders, and globally to a scale never before seen in human history. The importance of China's national banks cannot be overstated. Among the most important of China's robust state banking system are the following four categories: 1. Policy Banks (Agricultural Development Bank of China (ADBC), China Development Bank (CDB), Export-Import Bank of China (CHEXIM)) 2. State Owned Banks (Agricultural Bank of China (ABC), Bank of China (BOC), China Construction Bank (CCB), Industrial and Commercial Bank of China (ICBC) 3. State Owned Funds (China Investment Corporation (CIC), Silk Road Fund (SRF)) 4. International Financing Institutions (Asian Development Bank (ADB) Asian Infrastructure Investment Bank (AIIB), New Development Bank (NDB).

These institutions have given China an edge lacking in the speculative, debt driven west who are forced

to rely upon a usurious privatized Central Banking system to shape monetary policy. With these powers of credit emissions, China has been permitted to unleash over \$4 trillion of loans, and direct investments into the New Silk Road projects internationally, while creating literally dozens of advanced new cities, which the western states haven't done in nearly a century. Within the space of less than 10 years, China has become a global leader in tunneling technology at a fraction of the cost of western companies and has even sold its technology to governments of Europe. New Chinese built designs for bridge building machines have given China the means of producing record breaking bridges at a fraction of the cost of the west and quicker.


While the Malthusian technocrats managing the western Green New Deal have forbidden any large-scale water diversion or hydroelectric project from being built in defense of desert ecosystems, China has instead become the world leader of major water projects so vital for her populations' long-term survival.

Water Abundance: Move South Water North

One of the most overlooked components of China's anti-zero-sum paradigm which bears directly upon the matter of North America water crisis is a megaproject called the "*Move South Water North Project*".

Begun in 2001, the \$64 billion water diversion project is the largest such program ever devised in human history. In order to bring much needed water from the flood prone, low-population density headwaters of the Yangtze River of the south to the highly populated industrial zones of drought-prone North, engineers have embarked on a project which aims to bring 44.8 billion cubic meters (1.5 trillion cubic feet) of water per year from the south to the North and Yellow River basin.

Divided into three routes, the first to begin construction was the Eastern Route upgrade of the Grand Canal bringing 12.6 billion cubic meters/year of water from the Yangtze via massive tunnels to avoid evaporation across 760 miles to Tianjin. This journey will take



the water through 23 pumping stations, and provide 45.4 MW of power along the way. This route was completed in 2013.

The next route which was built between 2004-2014 is the Central Route diverting 9.5 billion cubic meters/year of excess water from the Danjiangkou Reservoir on the Han River to Beijing 765 miles away. This immense endeavor features the construction of two tunnels moving this water 65 meters below the Yellow River in its journey north.

Finally, the third Western Route will only be completed in 2050 and will bring water from the Yangtze and tributaries to the Yellow River passing through the Tibetan Plateaus.

While detractors of these projects focus only on the 330,000 people who were displaced due to these projects, it is undeniable that the flood controls, increased irrigation, and water availability for urban and industrial activity will save countless lives over the coming centuries.

Two Opposing Paths to a Green Future

This is the only green policy which is valid, since China is greening deserts, increasing food abundance while lifting people out of poverty. The opposing monstrosity passing itself off as “green” by World Economic Forum trustees like Mark Carney or Klaus Schwab, are merely promoting a program of depopulation behind a thin veneer of saving nature.

Where one defines “sustainable development” as a euphemism for de-growth (and depopulation) under a unipolar rules-based international order, the other looks towards “sustained development” as a driving force for long term growth, multipolarism and even population increases premised on large scale capital-intensive infrastructure building.

Where one system increases deserts by spreading solar panels across the face of the earth (and in turn increasing surface temperatures drastically), the other actually greens deserts by careful reclamation, desalination and water diversion programs such as China’s Move South Water North.

Yes, China and India are building a lot of green energy programs and they intend to lower their rates of CO2 output by 2060. However, unlike the post-modern basket cases in the


west who are clamoring for a Fourth Industrial Revolution, Eurasian nations are not resting their entire development strategies on windmills and solar panels. Instead what we find are competent programs for hydropower, oil, coal, natural gas, hydrogen power and importantly next generation nuclear power (with pioneering work on Molten Salt thorium as well as fusion power in the works).

Looking to NASA’s recent surprise discovery that the world’s biomass has increased by over 5% due in large measure to India and China’s economic activity, the fact is slowly emerging into the zeitgeist that the apparent conflict between humanity’s aspirations to grow vs the health of ecosystems is a chimera. The obvious fact that carbon dioxide also happens to be considered by all chlorophyll-based life to be a delicious food should also not be lost in the rush to demonize CO2.

Xinjiang, Tibet and The Freedom to Develop


Despite the loud denunciations from the western Five Eyes-managed political class, China’s approach to both African BRI partners and their own minority groups stands in stark contrast to this nefarious tradition of exploitation and cultural genocide deployed by the western oligarchy for generations[10].

While western narrative shapers across both left and right media platforms pummel us with images of





China and India Lead the Way in Greening



supposed slave labor plantations, genocide and re-education facilities in Xinjiang and Tibet, the truth is something very different.

NASA Earth Observatory data demonstrated that the vast increase in global biomass is driven primarily by the large scale water and development projects led by China and India

What we have seen in places like Tibet and Xinjiang are cultural heritage centers, exploding literacy rates, the celebration and teaching of traditional languages, songs, stories and dances given full government patronage. In Xinjiang, per capita GDP has increased 100 fold since 1979 and average life expectancy increased from 30 years on average in 1949 to 72 years today.

As I write this, a major high speed passenger railway running from Lanzhou-Urumqi, totalling 1776 km is now under construction, running parallel to the electrified Lanxin passenger railway completed in 2014. With the new high-speed route completed, the Lanxin passenger railway will be transformed to freight rail servicing the Eurasian Continental Landbridge with goods flowing 11,000 km from East China to Rotterdam.



High speed rail lines stretching deep into Xinjiang Province and north into Mongolia

Other rail projects now underway in Xinjiang involve the Ruoqiang-Hotan railway which completes a loop circling the Taklamakan desert, and also the 600 km Kashgar to Osh railway from China to Kyrgyzstan and Uzbekistan. This latter project will provide a vital boost of needed growth to Uzbekistan’s Ferhana Valley which has served as a landing point for Saudi Wahabism since the 1990s, where radicalized jihadis were infused into Pakistan and Afghanistan (and also back into China via a shared 70 km border). A new highway from Kashgar City in Southern Xinjiang to Pakistan is now being finalized as an extended component of the China-Pakistan Economic Corridor, as well as a new railway cutting through the desert.

While evidence of this cultural growth has grown across all minority ethnic zones, we have also seen a dramatic growth in longevity, population density, quality of life, poverty reduction, reduced infant mortality reduction, and access to advanced industrial skills, clean water, internet and abundant electricity.

On a religious level, over 24,400 mosques currently exist in Xinjiang, not to mention 59 Buddhist temples and 253 churches. In only eight years, the bane of Saudi-US funded terrorism in China has been dealt with without a single Arab state bombed back to the stone age which is no small accomplishment.



In Tibet, high speed and conventional rail has connected the local communities that had long lived in poverty, to broader global markets, with durable technical skills and training growing vibrantly among the younger population.

Buddhist temples are also thriving with the full support of the government. NED-controlled propaganda outlets in either region would have you remain blind to these demonstrable facts of Chinese life[11].

While concessions favoring Chinese firms are certainly built into most BRI-connected projects springing up across Southwest Asia, Africa, and beyond, the fact is that infrastructure (both hard and soft), new industrial hubs and educational opportunities are springing to life at breakneck speed[12].

Across Africa, we have found local cultural traditions thrive in tandem with the same policy we have witnessed in Tibet and Xinjiang. If this is news to you, try putting down the Epoch Times and watching some local African news or CGTN's African channels.


China's approach stands in stark contrast to those IMF-World Bank-USAID programs that have systematically kept poor nations in usurious debt-trap enslavement for decades providing money to buy a few fish, but never allowed the capability to fish

for themselves. China, on the other hand has encouraged the growth of vast construction projects, manufacturing hubs, and perhaps most importantly, advanced engineering skills[13].

International Dynamics: Open vs Closed Systems Clash

The Belt and Road Initiative has already won over much of Africa as BRI-connected rail, ports, and other infrastructure are providing a breath of fresh air to nations long held hostage by IMF/World Bank conditionalities. Pakistan and much of Southwest Asia are also increasingly on board the BRI through the growing China-Pakistan Economic Corridor. Twenty Arab states consolidated massive BRI infrastructure projects over the past three years with Iran finalizing a \$400 billion deal with China in July 2021, and even western controlled puppet states like Turkey and Saudi Arabia realizing their lack of future within the collapsing unipolar order grabbing the opportunity to join the New Silk Road.

Additionally, much of Latin America has also joined with hundreds of billions of dollars of infrastructure



Caption: Today's growing Belt and Road Initiative is quickly evolving into a world land bridge echoing William Gilpin's 1890 dream [illustrated by the Schiller Institute drafted image above]

projects with China holding controlling stakes in over 40 strategic ports across Latin America

Although China is often accused of intellectual theft, the reality is that it has begun to clearly outpace western nations becoming a pioneer on every level of science and technology. China now registers more patents than the USA[14], has become the cutting edge leader of high speed rail engineering with over 30,000 km, bridge building, tunneling, as well as water management, quantum computing, AI, advanced telecommunications, and even space science becoming the first nation to ever land on the far side of the moon with an intent to mine Helium-3[15] and develop permanent bases on the Moon alongside Russia in the coming decade.

This is the system which the USA and other western nations could have joined on multiple occasions, but which has instead been targeted as a global threat to western hegemony. According to the logic of those western utopians who refuse to let go of their old outdated 1971 script for a new world order, China's New Silk Road must be subverted at all costs since it is very well understood that it would become the basis for a new world system as the old, globalized paradigm comes crashing down faster than the Hindenburg.

Footnotes for Part 4

[1] As William Engdahl demonstrated in his [1992 Century of Oil](#), then Secretary of State Henry Kissinger had more of a role in manufacturing this crisis from scratch by keeping hundreds of tankers replete with petrol from being unloaded in the USA and facilitating the 400% increase with the assistance of several high level oil ministers in the Middle East beholden to Kissinger. In recent years, Saudi Arabia's former OPEC minister at the time [corroborated Engdahl's research](#) stating: "I am 100 per cent sure that the Americans were behind the increase in the price of oil. The oil companies were in real trouble at that time, they had borrowed a lot of money and they needed a high oil price to save them."

[2] The NSSM 200 (titled "Implications of Worldwide Population Growth for US Security and Overseas Interests") outlined its objective "Assistance for population moderation should give emphasis to the largest and fastest growing developing countries where there is a special US and strategic interest".

[3] [China's Four Modernizations The New Technological Revolution](#) Edited By Richard Baum, Published December 7, 2020 by Routledge

[4] [Energy Innovation in China and the 863 Technology Program](#), Brian Sergi, Innovation Systems, 20 April 2011

- [5] [National Basic Research Program of China \(973 Program\)](#) outline published on the Chinese Consulate of NY website, March 5, 2016
- [6] The 1997 seminar entitled The Eurasian Landbridge: New Silk Road as Locomotive for Worldwide Economic Development, hosted by the FDR-Pac Policy Caucus can be viewed here: <https://youtu.be/HFgYPZeUKjc>
- [7] *Asian leaders expose George Soros's crimes*, Michael O. and Gail G. Billington, *EIR*, vol. 24, no. 33, 1997
- [8] [China's Crackdown on Corruption and Government Spending: A Timeline](#) by Christina Nelson, China Business Review, January 23, 2014
- [9] [Promote People-to-People Friendship and Create a Better Future](#)", speech delivered by Xi Jinping at Kazakhstan's Nazarbayev University, September 6, 2013
- [10] [China-Africa cooperation: Strengthen infrastructure for more convenient lives](#), CGTN, September 2, 2018
- [11] [Inside the World Uyghur Congress: The US-backed right-wing regime-change network seeking the 'fall of China'](#) By Ajit Singh, Greyzone, March 5, 2020
- [12] [Eight African Belt And Road Initiative Projects That Global Investors Should Be Aware Of](#) by Chris Devonshire-Ellis, Silk Road Briefing, Nov. 20, 2020
- [13] [Why China's 'Debtbook Diplomacy' is a Hoax by Hussein Askary and Jason Ross](#), Schiller Institute, August 30, 2018
- [14] [In a first, China knocks U.S. from top spot in global patent race](#) By Stephanie Nebehay, Reuters, April 7, 2020
- [15] [China's Helium-3 Program: A Global Game-Changer](#), Jeremy Beck, Space Safety Magazine, March 19, 2016



Breaking Free of Anti-Chinese Psyops 5

Taiwan as the 'Ukraine of the Pacific'

It has become commonplace western media and armadas of geopolitical think tankers to paint today's Russia-China alliance as a matter of either 'momentary convenience', or as a strained partnership between two competing authoritarian regimes with global imperial aspirations.

However, if one simply looks at the facts as they are without the filter of 'experts' telling you how to interpret reality, it becomes extremely clear that those cynical geopolitical assessments painted by geopolitical opinionators are doing little more than trying to analyze life through lenses that only see dead corpses. It isn't that such analysts aren't necessarily concerned with the truth (although more than a few aren't), but due to their fundamental axioms, their limited minds cannot contemplate a system organized by non-Hobbesian parameters either past, present or future. It is for this reason that such opinionators cannot understand the nature of the Russian-China alliance nor can they see or understand the stark parallels in the asymmetrical war efforts to destroy either Eurasian power.

Due to this intellectual blindness, even among many intelligent experts within the alternative media community, I will take this opportunity to briefly

assess some of the key elements of the parallel features of both operations that have been deployed to destroy both Russia and China. We will begin by looking at the color revolutionary tactics, followed by 'Gladio stay behinds', military encirclement, biowarfare and finally the use of 'fifth columns'.

Color Revolutionary Tactics

Over the past decades, both Russia and China have contended with obsessive efforts to carve up and destabilize their governments utilizing 'democracy promoting/anti corruption' organizations tied to western intel have fortunately failed to Balkanize them as seen in the tragic case of Yugoslavia.

The late geopolitical guru Zbigniew Brzezinski wrote passionately of his vision of a carved-up Russia in his 1997 '*Grand Chessboard- American Primacy And Its Geostrategic Imperatives*' saying:

"A loosely confederated Russia- composed of a European Russia, a Siberian Republic, and a Far Eastern Republic- would find it easier to cultivate closer economic regulations with Europe, with the new states of Central Asia and with East Asia, which would thereby accelerate Russia's own development."



A Balkanized Russia under western diktat as desired by Zbigniew Brzezinski and his neocon brethren

Over the years, western funded movements in China have arisen calling openly for breaking up China into no less than five ethno-nationalist micro-states called 'East Turkestan, The Free State of Tibet, Canton and Manchuria.'

Purged multibillionaire deep state operative Guo Wengui (aka: Miles Guo), now operating from New York, has gone so far as to establish an international insurrectionary organization called 'The New Federal State of China'[1] with a shiny new flag, constitution and cheesy anthem for the post-CCP China which will undoubtedly happen any day within Guo's wildest imagination. Since escaping arrest in 2015, Guo immediately partnered up with Steve Bannon,

China).

While never becoming a member of the Communist Party, unlike fellow deep state operative Jack Ma, Guo had made his fortune much like the Russian oligarchs of the liberalizing 1990s which saw a vast privatized free-for-all into the hands of conscience-free pirates beholden to the City of London and Wall Street. Guo was among the first batch of young sociopaths who had risen to prominence under the protection of Soros-asset Zhao Ziyang (former Premier and then head of the Chinese Communist Party from 1987-89). When Zhao was taken out of power during his attempted coup d'état at Tiananmen Square in 1989, leading to Soros' lifetime ban, Guo was one of the hundreds of Zhao assets arrested, spending nearly two years in jail during the early 1990s. Upon his release, Guo quickly went back to work rebuilding his empire using no shortage of dirty tricks.

Guo's luck ran out in 2014 when he found himself facing dozens of counts of bribery, extortion, blackmail and rape. While his leading associates went to prison, Guo escaped arrest finding sanctuary in the USA where he was put to work conducting asymmetrical warfare operations ever since.

In January 2021, two Bannon-Guo controlled think tanks named *The Rule of Law Society* and *Rule of Law*





Miles Guo: Hand of China's Uprooted Deep State

Foundation financed the report conducted by Hong Kong based researcher Dr Li Meng Yan painting China as the agency which unleashed covid-19 onto the world.

Among Guo's close friends included Tony Blair (who wrote a letter of recommendation when he applied to purchase his first \$60 million condo in New York in 2015) and Connie Morgan, heiress to the JP Morgan dynasty who is also a leading supporter of Guo's Federalist State of New China.

The leaders of both Russia and China have clearly identified 'color revolutionary' tactics as an active form of asymmetrical warfare leading both states to ban a wide spectrum of western-funded NGOs (or if permitted to exist within their territories to be forced to register as 'foreign agents'). While the color revolution financing king George Soros was banned from China back in 1989, Russia took longer to gain the power and confidence to ban the economic hitman's Open Society operations which finally occurred in 2015.

A Word on Steve Bannon

It is worthwhile to take a moment to review the role played by Gao's close associate and devout anti-China Cold Warrior Steve Bannon who Trump rightly booted from his team in August 2017. Bannon has been a dangerous character attempting to coral Trump supporters in America and the European right into a new anti-Chinese united front while reviving the neo-con "clash of civilizations" doctrine with a vengeance.

One of the main conduits Bannon chose to unleash this assault early on was titled the *Committee on Present Danger-China*[2] which he founded alongside a group of intelligence operatives, raging neocons and grifters in March 2019. Labelling China's *Belt and*


Road as a new empire threatening to undo America and enslave the world, Bannon's think tank stated: "As with the Soviet Union in the past, communist China represents an existential and ideological threat to the United States and to the idea of freedom – one that requires a new American consensus regarding the policies and priorities required to defeat this threat."

Bannon has also found himself working ever more tightly with the anti-Beijing CIA-funded cult Falun Gong which has been banned from China since 1999 and used by the CIA as a propaganda weapon against China claiming anecdotal evidence of Beijing-sponsored organ harvesting and killing of religious minorities. Though pushing itself as a meditation group, its leader Li Hongzhi is based in the USA and promotes the idea that he has magical powers that keep the forces of evil from destroying the world [see appendix 1].

Bannon has interfaced closely with the Falun Gong on a variety of projects including promoting the Falun Gong-directed *Epoch Times* and even producing a Falun Gong financed film titled *Claws of the Red Dragon*- putting him into the same boat as his left-handed mirror image George Soros who also supports the Falun Gong through Open Society Foundation's Freedom House[3]. The contradiction arising from this alliance of pro-Trump sociopaths working with anti-Trump sociopaths only makes sense when you look at the anti-human game from the top down rather than the bottom up.

It is here, that we start getting a fuller picture of the nature of the false 'left vs right' game being played, as we look at a City of London-based think tank which Bannon leads called the *Dignitatis Humanae Institute* alongside 5 other highly connected figures which were recently exposed in a powerful expose by journalist Stan Ezrol[4] who described Bannon's four other co-patrons of this Catholic group as "Archduke Otto Von Habsburg, successor to the throne of the Holy Roman Emperor when it was dissolved; His Royal Highness Charles of Bourbon Two Sicilies and Duke of Castro, a leading figure in the anti-Renaissance wing of European nobility; Field Marshal the Lord Guthrie GCB (Knight of the Grand Cross), LVO (Lieutenant of the Victorian Order), OBE (Order of the British Empire); and Father Matthew Festing."

A former chairman and current member of the institute even includes a member of the British Royal family Lord Nicholas Windsor.



The Pan European Movement held strange ties to the “liberal revolutionaries” of the Austrian School’s Mont Pelerin Society: Top row: Count Richard Coudenhove-Kalergi and his 1923 Manifesto, Archduke Otto von Hapsburg. Bottom row: Nazi geopolitician Karl Haushofer, Mussolini, Hjalmar Schacht, Walter Lippmann, and financier Max Warburg

The connection to the inner echelons of the European black nobility centered around the networks of Otto von Hapsburg take us into a driving nerve center of international fascism which shaped so much of the 20th century. It was Otto Hapsburg who had led the Pan European Movement for over 40 years and it was this same movement that had been created and led by Hapsburg’s mentor Count Richard Coudenhove-Kalergi starting in 1922.

Other devout supporters of Coudenhove-Kalergi’s Pan Europa included likes of Benito Mussolini, Winston Churchill, Walter Lippman, Nazi finance minister Hjalmar Schacht and Nazi geopolitician Karl Haushofer, while financiers Max Warburg, Louis de Rothschild openly bankrolled the organization.

In 1932, Kalergi delivered a speech celebrating the great restoration of order that would emerge in the unified pan-European effort to put down Bolshevik anarchism saying: *“This eternal war can end only with the constitution of a world republic.... The only way left to save the peace seems to be a politic of peaceful strength, on the model of the Roman Empire, that succeeded in having the longest period of peace in the west thanks to the supremacy of his legions.”*

Although the Pan Europa was created as a “conservative Catholic” opposition to the spread of Bolshevism (itself managed by intelligence agencies during the 19th and early 20th century), it is no smile irony that the Jesuit-trained Bannon has stated that he models his tactics on those of Lenin[5], and has called for “20,000 shock troops to take over the government[6].

Gladio-type “stay-behinds” on their borders.

The asymmetrical warfare tool basket doesn’t stop at color revolutionary tactics, but relies upon networks of provocateurs and extremists who often find their roots in the non-punishment of virulent war criminals in the wake of WW2.

Those second and third generation fascist stay-behinds who were incorporated into western intelligence under the helm of NATO after WW2 remains one of the most uncomfortable and dangerous secrets of the modern age[7].

Weaponized ideological groups carefully groomed by Anglo-American intelligence since WWII and who continued to glorify Nazi-collaborators as ‘great heroes’ played a major role both during the Cold War, and also today’s Banderite-filled age with neo-Nazi battalions driven obsessively to carry out jihad against Russia as their spiritual forefathers had done during WW2[8].

This problem is not isolated to Eastern Europe, but persists in China’s own back yard where the American military colony of Japan still maintains a strong tradition of treating WWII fascist war criminals as heroes[9] (much to China’s chagrin).

One of the largest parties occupying 30% of the Japanese parliamentary seats is the Nippon Kaigi party which claims openly that “Japan should be applauded for liberating much of East Asia” during WW2.

Despite many anti-fascist impulses in Japan seeking to maintain peaceful coexistence with their Eurasian neighbors, the Nippon Kaigi goes so far as to deny that Japan committed any atrocities to the Chinese during WW2 while trying to maintain the thesis that Japan was on the side of justice by working with Hitler. Keep in mind that this is also the same colony (now hosting over 50,000 US troops) which saw former PM Shinzo Abe call publicly for acquiring US-owned nuclear weapons to defend against China one week after Zelensky made that same call on behalf of Ukraine in Munich on February 19, 2022.]



The US Military Industrial Complex's 'Full Spectrum Dominance' encirclement of Russia and China

Full Spectrum Dominance: Atlantic, Arctic and Pacific

Like Russia, who has watched 'full spectrum dominance' wrap around her perimeter over the course of 20+ years, China has also been looking at ongoing efforts to create a 'NATO of the Pacific' termed 'the Quad' in her backyard.

This toxic idea has been championed by NATO-connected think tanks like the Atlantic Council and CFR for years and grows directly out of Obama's 2012 'Asia Pivot' strategy which saw a broad extension of missile systems, trident-bearing submarines, provocative 'freedom of navigation' exercises, military bases and efforts to impose US-controlled governments hostile to China in the Pacific region.

The ABM-aspect of this program (which experts agree can be easily converted from 'defensive' into 'offensive') is reflected in the THAAD missile system already stationed in South Korea which currently hosts over 28,000 US troops. Nominally justifying its existence to stop the 'North Korean threat', the reality is that this system has always been aimed at China.

Describing the \$762 billion National Defense Authorization Act of 2022 which received nearly total bipartisan support, analyst Michael Klare observed[10]:

"The gigantic 2022 defense bill — passed with overwhelming support from both parties — provides a detailed blueprint for surrounding China with a potentially suffocating network of U.S. bases, military forces, and increasingly militarized partner states. The goal is to enable Washington to barricade that country's military inside its own territory and potentially cripple its economy in any future crisis. For China's leaders, who surely can't tolerate being encircled in such a fashion, it's an open invitation to... well, there's no point in not being blunt... fight their way out of confinement."

Taiwan as Ukraine of the Pacific

Obviously within this entire mess, Taiwan (which has been an Anglo-American plaything since 1949) is currently acting like the 'Ukraine of the Pacific' with many leading agents operating throughout the government calling openly for US military defense of China's autonomous province from the 'evil commie' mainlanders.

Biden himself has pledged that Taiwan can “count on America’s support” were an invasion to break out at any time. These supportive words were backed up with a \$750 million deal to provide a Howitzer military system to Taiwan in August 2021, a \$100 million deal to supply and upgrade Taiwan’s Patriot missile systems on February 8, 2022 and another \$95 million missile deal on April 6, 2022. After the second of these three deals, the Taiwanese foreign ministry sounded like it was trying to out-Zelensky Zelensky saying:

“In the face of China’s continued military expansion and provocative actions, our country will maintain its national security with a solid defence, and continue to deepen the close security partnership between Taiwan and the United States.”

China’s concerns over the vast expansion of US efforts to turn Taiwan into a Pacific Ukraine (including a doubling of military officials in the US embassy compound in the past year) are very real[11].

Biowarfare in the 21st Century

Then there is the serious issue of the Pentagon’s bioweapons infrastructure that has demonstrated an ethnic-targeting feature as outlined in the September 2000 Project for a New American Century (PNAC) manifesto “*Rebuilding Americas Defenses*”. In this bone-chilling neocon manifesto, its authors stated that in the 21st century “*combat will likely take place in new dimensions: In space, cyber-space and perhaps the world of microbes... advanced forms of biological warfare that can ‘target’ specific genotypes may transform biological warfare from the realm of terror to a politically useful tool*”.

Today over 320 Pentagon-run biolabs are scattered strategically around the world with a very active program titled “Jupitr” and “Centaur” located in South Korea[12]. This later program has caused grave concern to both the Chinese and many Koreans since Obama launched the program in 2010 with an executive order that stated “*a robust and productive scientific enterprise that utilizes biological select agents and toxins is essential to national security*.”

This was the same team that brought us the Obama-Lugar partnership that established a vast bio-laboratory infrastructure in Georgia while Obama was still just another Soros-controlled Senator with Presidential ambitions.

Work on some of the deadliest toxins in the world has been conducted within the US run biolabs which include work on botulinum, ricin, staphylococcal, anthrax, plague and more. In 2015 the US military was caught illegally shipping samples of live anthrax via FedEx to the US laboratory at the Oran Air base 70 km south of Seoul resulting in civilian protests across the nation although no evidence of any change in policy by the Americans[13].

Japan’s sordid past is again brought back into the story, as Finian Cunningham’s recent Strategic Culture Foundation study on the origins of US bioweapons complex zeroed in on the Military Industrial Complex’s absorption of the genocidal ‘Unit 731’ under the control of Shiro Ishii. Cunningham wrote[14]:

“Ishii’s Unit 731 is estimated to have caused up to 500,000 deaths during the war from the use of biological warfare by dropping pathogens from airplanes on Chinese cities in Hunan and Zhejiang provinces. The unit also carried out diabolic forced experiments on Chinese and Russian prisoners of war to study the epidemiology of diseases and vaccines. Inmates were infected with pathogens and subjected to horrible agonizing deaths... Shiro Ishii and his criminal network were never brought to trial following the war despite earnest Soviet requests. Instead, the Americans who occupied mainland Japan granted him and his team of doctors immunity from prosecution in exchange for exclusive access to the biological and chemical warfare experiments. The Pentagon assigned its experts from Fort Detrick, Maryland, to tap the Japanese trove of data.”

This list would not be complete without the last consideration...

Fifth Columnists in Russia and China

Leaders within both nations have been contending for years with World Economic Forum fifth columnists like Anatoly Chubais in Russia[15] and WEF Trustee Jack Ma (and more than a few other Shanghai Clique connected technocrats and billionaires) both inside and outside of China. Some observations on those foreign influences still exerting relevant influence within China via Shanghai as a hotbed for international finance was Emanuel Pastreich who wrote in ‘Shanghai Lockdown: Who’s Behind It’[16]:

“Shanghai is riddled with global financial interests, with the head offices (or certainly the major branch) for all

major multinational investment banks and multinational corporations located there. Their impact on the Chinese economy remains immense.

Shanghai has a history of over a hundred years as a center for global capital with a parasitic relationship to the rest of the nation. It was Shanghai, after all, that offered extraterritoriality to citizens from imperial powers until the 1940s.”

Luckily, since the ousting of Soros, many of the worst elements of China’s deep state have been incrementally de-weeded in bursts starting in 1989, then 1997, and the largest robust purge begun in 2012 and continuing to this day.

Some of the biggest operatives purged by Xi’s crackdown on corruption include Ma Jian (former Deputy Director of China’s National Security Bureau), Zhang Yue (former legal affairs secretary of Hebei), Bo Zilai (former Communist Party Secretary of Chongqing), Xu Caihou (Vice Chair of China’s Military Commission), and billionaire Pony Ma (to name but a few).

There has been an obvious clash between these traitorous forces and genuine patriots in both nations committed to their peoples’ survival in opposition to the religious like commitment to depopulation, cultural mediocrity and global enslavement.

Beyond Simply Survival

Russia and China’s commitment to survival and cooperation goes far beyond utilitarian concerns as outlined by their February 4th *joint statement for Cooperation Entering a New Era*^[17] which called for the further integration of the EAEU and BRI, military intelligence harmonization under the growing SCO and broader international integration of the multipolar system.

Among its many important points, the statement read:

“The sides are seeking to advance their work to link the development plans for the Eurasian Economic Union [EAEU] and the Belt and Road Initiative with a view to intensifying practical cooperation between the EAEU and China in various areas and promoting greater interconnectedness between the Asia Pacific and Eurasian regions.

The sides reaffirm their focus on building the Greater Eurasian Partnership in parallel and in coordination with the Belt and Road construction to foster the development of regional associations as well as bilateral and multilateral integration processes for the benefit of the peoples on the Eurasian continent.”

There is still a window of opportunity open for the west to wake up and recognize the total failure of the unipolar model of imperial governance before the point of no return has passed. Whether or not the moral fitness to conduct this exercise in humility still exists remains to be seen.

Footnotes for Part 5

- [1] What is The New Federal State Of China? By The Thinking Conservative -June 22, 2020
- [2] <https://presentdangerchina.org/>
- [3] Mark Palmer, Director of Freedom House: It is Admirable that Falun Gong is Intercepting TV Signals in China, Clear Harmony (European Falun Dafa) Oct. 9, 2003
- [4] [*Falun Gong: Neocons’ Cult Weapon Against China and Trump*](#) by Stan Ezrol, *EIR*, 2019
- [5] [Bannon says he’s a Leninist: that could explain the White House’s new tactics](#) by Victor Sebestyen, *The Guardian*, Feb. 6, 2017
- [6] [‘Fire and brimstone’: Liberals alarmed as Steve Bannon calls for ‘shock troops’ from GOP for ‘sweeping’ victory in 2024](#), *Russia Today*, Oct. 3, 2021
- [7] [Operation Gladio: How NATO Conducted a Secret War Against European Citizens and Their Democratically Elected Governments](#) by Cynthia Chung, *Strategic Culture*, April 14, 2022
- [8] [How the Ukrainian Nationalist Movement Post-WWII was Bought and Paid for by the CIA](#) by Cynthia Chung *Strategic Culture*, April 4, 2022
- [9] [Japan Returns to Fascism](#), By Josh Gelernter, *National Review*, July 16, 2016
- [10] Michael Klare, *Welcome to the New Cold War in Asia*, *Tom Dispatch*, Jan 13, 2022
- [11] [US Nearly Doubled Military Personnel Stationed in Taiwan This Year](#), by Erin Hale, *VOA News*, Dec. 2, 2021
- [12] [‘South Korea gripped with fear over US bioweapons labs](#) *Xinhua*, 2022-04-13
- [13] [Live anthrax shipped across states, to S. Korea by accident – Pentagon](#), *Russia Today*, May 27, 2015
- [14] [Pentagon’s Biological Warfare Built on War Crimes of Fascist Japan and Nazi Germany](#) by Finian Cunningham, *Strategic Culture*, April 24, 2022
- [15] [As New Purge of Fifth Columnists Approaches: Anatoly Chubais Jumps Ship](#) by Matthew Ehret *Strategic Culture*, March 25, 2022
- [16] [Shanghai Covid Lockdown – who is behind it?](#) Emmanuel Pastriche, *Canadian Patriot Review*, Apr. 2022
- [17] The full text of the joint statement can be read here: <http://en.kremlin.ru/supplement/5770>



“We have no eternal allies, and we have no perpetual enemies. Our interests are eternal and perpetual, and those interests it is our duty to follow.”

– Henry John Temple, aka Lord Palmerston (Britain’s Prime Minister from 1855-1858, 1859-1865), oversaw Britain’s First Opium War (1839-1842) as Head of Britain’s Foreign Office and the Second Opium War (1856-1860) as Britain’s Prime Minister against China.

Snow is Now Black

Bertrand Russell discussed in his book “The Impact of Science on Society” (1952) that the subject which “will be of most importance politically is mass psychology,” that is, the lens in which an individual views “reality” and “truth.” Russell is very clear, such “convictions” are not generated by the individual themselves but rather are to be shaped by the State.

Of course, individuals are not encouraged to think about an absolute truth or reality, rather they are encouraged to think on a much smaller scale, on individual “facts,” for this is much easier to control and shape and also limits “problematic” thinking such as the ponderance on *purpose* and *intention*.

Russell, in his “Impact of Science on Society,” goes on to talk about how one could program a society to think snow is black rather than white:

“First, that the influence of home is obstructive. Second, that not much can be done unless indoctrination begins before the age of ten. Third, that verses set to music and repeatedly intoned are very effective. Fourth, that the opinion that snow is white must be held to show a morbid taste for eccentricity. But I anticipate. It is for future scientists to make these maxims precise and discover exactly how much it costs per head to make children believe that snow is black, and how much less it would cost to make them believe it is dark gray.”

This is of course a program for the most ambitious “reframing” of “reality”. However, as we see today, we do not need to start before the age of ten for other sorts of “reframing,” and nowhere does this seem to be the most successful and effective with any age group than the West’s “foreign” policy.

For snow is something that we see and experience regularly. It is much more difficult to “reframe” something familiar, however, something that is “foreign” has always been a rather blurred and undefined concept for millennia, and thus is a much

easier candidate for the State to “reframe” as our collective “reality,” our collective “existential fear.”

Thus, for most of history, our understanding of who is our “friend” and who is our “foe” has rarely been determined by the people themselves but rather their governing structure.

Such a governing structure is free to determine for us what is “truth” vs. “falsehood” what is “fact” vs. “fiction,” because the people, despite all the abuse and exploitation from such a governing force still look to this very thing to protect and shield them from the frightful “unknown.”

People have become accustomed to thinking “Better the Devil you know.” In this paper we will see if that is indeed the case or not.

“Our Interests are Eternal and Perpetual”

“It is a man’s own mind, not his enemy or foe, that lures him to evil ways.”

–Buddha

Before I get into the geopolitical situation of today and attempt to address this question of what the global agenda behind pushing for war with China is, I would like to share a brief overview of some very important history, for I assure you, this plays a prominent role in what is shaping today’s dynamics.

For the sake of brevity, the story starts with the First Opium War (1839-42).

In short, the British Empire had made a move towards a free trade system in the 1840s, modelled on Adam Smith’s ‘*A Wealth of Nations*’. In this new system of trade it was believed that if there is a demand for a product, a country has no right to intervene in its transaction. Protectionism, which had been practiced by Britain up until that point, had now been deemed an unfit practice by...Britain, and all other countries were naturally to follow along according to the “new rules” chosen for them.

Britain, however, would grant itself to be the sole country permitted to continue the practice of protectionism while it enforced its “free” trade on others.

In the case of China, the trade of opium was ultimately banned by the Chinese, and severe punishments were to be delivered to those involved in smuggling the product into the country, which

included British merchants. The British Empire considered this a direct threat to its ‘security’ and its new enforcement of free trade. Thus, when China did not back down, the First Opium War (1839-1842) was waged. The result was the forced signing of the Nanking Treaty in 1842.

This treaty, known as the first of the “unequal treaties”, ceded the territory of Hong Kong to Britain and allowed British merchants to not only trade at Guangzhou but were now also permitted to trade with five additional “treaty ports” and with whomever they pleased.

Created in 1600 with a Royal Charter from Queen Elizabeth I, the East India Company was from its inception indistinguishable from the British Empire itself, rising to account for half of the world’s trade. As is aptly said by Lord Macaulay in his speech to the House of Commons in July 1833, since the beginning, the East India Company had always been involved in both trade and politics, just as its French and Dutch counterparts had been.

In other words, the East India Company was to facilitate the geopolitical chess game that the British Empire wished to see played out. Not only the trade contracts it received but whole colonised territories won by the British Empire were handed over to this company to manage, along with a large sized private military, all under the decree of the Crown. This would be most evidently seen in the freedom it was given to control opium production in British India and to then facilitate its trade within Hong Kong and other colonised parts of Southeast Asia.

China was deemed uncooperative to the conditions signed under the Nanking Treaty and a Second Opium war was declared on them by the British Empire, lasting from 1856-60.[1]

The British (with French assistance) defeated the Chinese defenses after a four-year war. China, an ancient civilization with an advanced society both culturally and scientifically was forced to be entirely beholden to British foreign policy and its enforced free trade of opium.

On the 18th of October 1860, the British burned down the Summer Palace, also known as Yuanmingyuan (Gardens of Perfect Brightness), the French apparently refused to assist. The razing of the building took two days.

When the war was won, British and French troops (and mercenaries) looted and destroyed many artifacts, many of which remain abroad, scattered throughout the world in 47 museums[2]. An ongoing reminder of their spoils from the Opium Wars. How ironic that so many enjoy gazing upon such works of beauty and forget the horror that was committed in attaining them.

A British-friendly bank needed to be created to facilitate trade in the region, connecting the Empire's newly acquired treasures Shanghai and Hong Kong with its British India (the major world producer of opium) along with the rest of the British Empire and Europe. HSBC was founded in 1865 for this purpose, that continues to this day.[3]

This bank was not only meant to facilitate foreign trade within China in whichever way it deemed fit, but in addition was created namely to trade in the product of opium. It is important to note that although the founder of HSBC is credited as Thomas Sutherland of the Peninsular and Oriental Steam Navigation Company, a Scottish merchant who wanted the bank to operate under "sound Scottish banking principles", the bank had been created from the start to facilitate crooked trade on behalf of the British Empire.

China refers to this period as its "Century of Humiliation," also known as the "hundred years of national humiliation," describing the period from 1839 to 1949.

What happened in 1949?

The Chinese had fought a 22 year long civil war (Aug 1927-1949), which overlapped the Second Sino-Japanese War (1937-1945) where the Chinese also fought against Japanese fascists for their very existence. The Japanese fascists wanted to ethnically cleanse China, as well as the entire eastern coastline of Asia. Ho Chi Minh led the valiant fight against the Japanese fascists in Vietnam. The Japanese fascists committed the most brutal genocide, perhaps in all of history, known as the Asian holocaust and to which westerners often are completely unaware.

The most notorious of these was the Nanjing Massacre, or the Rape of Nanjing, starting on the 13th of December 1937 and lasting for six weeks. It is estimated that over 300,000 were massacred and over 80,000 brutally raped and tortured.

The Chinese heroically fought back the Japanese fascists and kept their country intact by the end of WWII. Though many European countries did not even last a week against invasion by the German Nazis, China had resisted a Japanese take-over for eight years, while fighting a civil war. There is certainly not even remotely close to enough respect given to the Chinese people for this incredible and heroic accomplishment.

On October 1st, 1949, the Chinese Communists led by Mao Zedong won the civil war against Chiang Kai-shek's Kuomintang army and Mao declared the creation of the People's Republic of China. This is a complicated history that is beyond the scope of this paper to discuss in satisfactory detail, however, I will make a few points.

Sun Yat-sen, who is discussed in part one of this booklet, was instrumental in China's Revolution against the corrupt Qing dynasty. He also received training in Hawaii and became an adherent to the American System of economics. He was Christian but he was also Confucian, seeing no contradiction in their true teachings.

Because of Sun Yat-sen's leadership, China won its revolution against the Qing dynasty in 1911. Sun became President of the Republic of China in 1912 but voluntarily stepped down (in order to maintain the peace) to Yuan Shikai. Yuan Shikai was a warlord and was a greedy puppet to British interests. Sun had no choice but to step down because he understood that if he failed to do so, Britain would militarily intervene.

China had won its revolution but was still beholden to Britain's dominion.

Sun Yat-sen was no fool and understood the situation with clarity. China's problem with Britain, was the same problem the colonies of the United States faced almost 150 years earlier.

As we learned in chapter one of this booklet, Sun Yat-sen was very clear in his understanding of what was China's "vital problem."

Sun Yat-sen is known as the Father of the Republic of China. It was Sun Yat-sen who founded the Kuomintang and Chiang Kai-shek was Sun's selection for next in line. During this time, many subsequent members of the Chinese Communist Party were originally members of the Kuomintang, such as Zhou Enlai (who was later instrumental in the formation of

the Five Principles for Peaceful Co-Existence and a vital participant in the Bandung Conference).

Sun Yat-sen died in 1925 and China's civil war broke out two years later. It is my belief that if Sun had remained alive longer, China would have never fallen into a civil war.

As the civil war broke out, Madame Sun Yat-sen (Rosamond Soong Ch'ing-ling) who was an extremely intelligent Chinese political figure in her own right, after some delay, picks in favour of the Communist Party of China. Chiang was no longer the man Sun once thought able to lead the Chinese people. Madame Sun Yat-sen's sister who married Chiang was also politically astute and continued to back her husband.

This decision of Madame Sun Yat-sen, regarded as the true living embodiment of the philosophy and teachings of Sun Yat-sen, was treated by most, as if Sun himself had spoken to the Chinese people.

This caused an alignment with numerous other Chinese political parties and institutions to side with the Communist Party against the Kuomintang, which at that point was regarded as being in bed with foreign interests (British and American) and that Chiang was more concerned with keeping his power and influence than on the actual fate of China.

[Madame Sun Yat-sen held several prominent positions within the People's Republic of China from 1949 on.]

Numerous times during WWII, there had been a call to unite both sides in order to focus on defeating the Japanese fascists, however, Chiang always essentially refused. Chiang wanted to use the Japanese fascists against the Communist Party in order to win the civil war. There was also the unsettling question of whether Chiang was starting to view Japanese totalitarianism as a model for governance.

Taiwan, which is an island just 100 miles from China's mainland, has a history that goes back for many thousands of years. From the late 13th century on, Chinese people gradually came into contact with Taiwan and started settling there. By the late 17th century, Taiwan became increasingly integrated into China, with mostly Chinese people living there (the indigenous population still lives in Taiwan to this day).

When Chiang lost the civil war, he retreated to the island of Taiwan, which was at that point considered part of China and was inhabited by mostly Chinese people. Chiang continued to call himself the only true representative of the teachings of Sun Yat-sen and the only true leader of the Republic of China, even though, Madame Sun Yat-sen refused to recognise his legitimacy as well as the majority of those living in China.

Chiang ruled Taiwan, essentially under a dictatorship, from 1943 to the year he died in 1975.

The balkanization of China and the extermination of her people was a very real threat that China not only survived during this period but fought back with remarkable fortitude and courage. Those who are responsible for saving China are rightly seen as heroes in the eyes of the Chinese, and we would be foolish in under-estimating the will and courage of the Chinese people after such displays of valor.[4]

Thus, the year 1949 was to mark the end of China's "Century of Humiliation."

The City of London

"Hell is a city much like London."

– Percy Bysshe Shelley

"Over and over again we have seen that there is another power than that which has its seat at Westminster. The City of London, a convenient term for a collection of financial interests, is able to assert itself against the government of the country. Those who control money can pursue a policy at home and abroad contrary to that which is being decided by the people."

– Clement Attlee, UK Prime Minister (1945-1951) and political opponent of Churchill.

The City of London is over 800 years old. It is arguably older than England herself, and for over 400 years it has been the financial center of the world.

During the medieval period, the City of London, otherwise known as the Square Mile or simply the City, was divided into 25 ancient wards headed each by an alderman. *This continues today.*

In addition, there existed the ominously titled City of London Corporation, or simply the Corporation, which is the municipal governing body of the City. *This also still continues today[5].*

Though the Corporation's origins cannot be specifically dated, since there was never a "surviving" charter found establishing its "legal" basis, it has kept its functions to this day based on the Magna Carta. The Magna Carta is a charter of rights agreed to by King John in 1215, which states that "*the City of London shall have/enjoy its ancient liberties*". In other words, the legal function of the Corporation has never been questioned, reviewed, re-evaluated EVER but rather it has been left to legally function as in accordance with their "ancient liberties", which is a very grey description of function if you ask me. In other words, they are free to do as they deem fit.

Therefore, the question is, if the City of London has kept its "ancient liberties" and has upheld its global financial power, is the British Empire truly gone?

Contrary to popular naïve belief, the empire on which the sun never sets (some say "*because God wouldn't trust them in the dark*") never went away.

After WWII, colonisation was *meant* to be done away with, and many thought, so too with the British Empire. Countries were reclaiming their sovereignty, governments were being set up by the people, the system of looting and pillaging had come to an end.

It is a nice story, but could not be further from the truth.

In the 1950s, to "adapt" to the changing global financial climate, the City of London set up what are called "secrecy jurisdictions". These were to operate within the last remnants of Britain's small territories/colonies. Of Britain's 14 oversea territories, seven are bona fide tax havens or "secrecy jurisdictions". A separate international financial market was also created to facilitate the flow of this offshore money, the Eurodollar market. Since this market has its banks outside of the UK and U.S., they are not under the jurisdiction of either country.

By 1997, nearly 90% of all international loans were made through this market[6].

John Christensen, an investigative economist, estimates that this capital *that legally belongs to nobody* could amount to as high as \$50 trillion within these British territories. Not only is this not being taxed, but a significant portion of it has been stolen from sectors of the real economy.

So how does this affect "formerly" colonised countries?

According to John Christensen, the combined external debts of Sub-Saharan African countries was \$177 billion in 2008. However, the wealth that these countries' elites moved offshore, between 1970-2008, is estimated at \$944 billion, five times their foreign debt. This is not only dirty money, this is also STOLEN money from the resources and productivity of these countries' economies.

Thus, as Christensen states, "*far from being a net debtor to the world, Sub-Saharan Africa is a net creditor*" to offshore finance.

Put in this context, the so-called "backwardness" of Africa is not due to its incapability to produce, but rather that it has been *experiencing uninterrupted looting since these regions were first colonised*.

These African countries then need to borrow money, which is happily given to them at high interest rates and accrues a level of debt that could never be repaid. These countries are thus looted twice over, leaving no money left to invest in their future, let alone to put food on the table.

And it doesn't stop there. Worldwide, it is estimated that developing countries lose \$1 trillion every year in capital flight and tax evasion. Most of this wealth goes back into the UK and U.S. through these offshore havens, and allows their currencies to stay strong whilst developing nations' currencies are kept weak.

However, developing nations are not the only ones to have suffered from this system of looting. The very economies of the UK and U.S. have also been gutted. In the 1960s and onward, the UK and U.S., to compensate for the increase in money flow out of their countries decided that it was a good idea to open their domestic markets to the trillions of dollars passing through its offshore havens.

However, such banks are not interested in putting their money into industry and manufacturing. They put their money into real estate speculation, financial speculation and foreign currency trade. And thus, the financialization of British and American economies resulted, and the real jobs coming from the real economy decreased or disappeared.

Although many economists try to claim differently, the desperation has boiled over. We have reached a point now where every western first world country is struggling with a much higher unemployment rate and a significantly lower standard of living than 40 years ago. Along with increased poverty has followed

increased drug use, increased suicide and increased crime.

Now, we are ready to look at today's global agenda behind the push for war with China.

China's Belt and Road Initiative Put Into Perspective

"BRI seeks to back an array of projects, but to date, the vast majority of funds has been allocated toward traditional infrastructure—energy, roads, railways, and ports. Though principally aimed at developing countries, with Pakistan, Malaysia, Bangladesh, Myanmar, and Sri Lanka among the largest recipients of BRI funds, BRI also includes developed countries, with numerous U.S. allies participating. If these U.S. allies were to turn to BRI to build critical infrastructure, such as power grids, ports, or telecommunications networks, this could complicate U.S. contingency planning and make coming to the defense of its allies more difficult."

—The Council on Foreign Relations' "Independent Task Force Report No. 79"

The Council on Foreign Relations, a major shaper of U.S. foreign policy, has made it clear in its numerous reports that it regards it as the duty of the United States government to counter China's economic relationship and partnership with every country in the global sphere.

It should be noted that the Council on Foreign Relations is the American branch of the Royal Institute for International Affairs (aka: Chatham House) based in London, England. It should also be noted that Chatham House itself was created by the Round Table Movement during the Treaty of Versailles Conference in 1919[7].

Thus, deterrence to all American "allies" in forming partnerships with China has also been heavily enforced.

Why are China's international relations seen as a threat to U.S. national security? The short answer to this is competition, and the slightly longer answer is that China is forming an alliance of countries against the economic strait jacket that was first imposed by the British Empire under its free trade doctrine and which is enforced today in the interests of the Anglo-American Empire.

In 2014, Johns Hopkins School of Advanced International Studies (SAIS) launched the China

Africa Research Initiative (CARI), based in Washington, DC. In June 2020, SAIS-CARI published a report titled *"Debt Relief with Chinese Characteristics."*

I would like to share a few lines from this report, which begins with:

"In December 2019, a Zambian economist commented: 'Chinese debt can easily be renegotiated, restructured, or refinanced.' Is this true?"

"...In this working paper, we draw on data from the China Africa Research Initiative (CARI) to review evidence on China's debt cancellation and restructuring in Africa, in comparative and historical perspective. Cases from Sri Lanka, Iraq, Zimbabwe, Ethiopia, Angola, and the Republic of Congo, among others, point to debt relief patterns with distinctly Chinese characteristics. In nearly all cases, China has only offered debt write-offs for zero-interest loans. Our study found that between 2000 and 2019, China has cancelled at least US\$3.4 billion of debt in Africa. There is no 'China, Inc'... We found that China has restructured or refinanced approximately US\$ 15 billion of debt in Africa between 2000 and 2019. We found no 'asset seizures' and despite contract clauses requiring arbitration, no evidence of the use of courts to enforce payments, or application of penalty interest rates."

It continues:

"During the debt crisis of the late 20th century, we saw that many sovereign borrowers simply did not service the interest-free loans lent by the Chinese government. Because the interest-free loan program was diplomatic in nature, a core part of China's foreign aid, pressing hard for loan repayment was simply not done. As of 2019, with a much wider variety of loans in play—many commercial—rescheduling is no longer so easy, although it is happening. Beijing's main tool to press for payments when a country goes into arrears is to suspend disbursements on projects currently being implemented (which slows their completion but also hurts Chinese contractors), and to withhold approval of new loans."

"... A committee led by China's Ministry of Finance (which has overall authority for debt relief), with delegates from MOFCOM, China's Exim Bank, and China Development Bank will approve or reject the debt cancellation request. 'The Chinese government will see how the money was used. They will consider this thoughtfully. They will refuse applications from some"


whose economy is doing well...’ a Chinese official told one of the authors.”

The SAIS-CARI report concludes:

“Chinese debt relief for Africa has been going on for many decades, following the ups and downs Africa’s economic recessions, recoveries, and booms... As Zhou Yuyuan, a researcher with the Shanghai Institute for International Studies, noted in a recent article: ‘the cost for violating the contract is actually quite low for the borrowers.’ Furthermore, Beijing is concerned with its international reputation and its long term political and diplomatic relationship with individual countries. In addition, Chinese contractors, who usually advance their own money to get a project launched before being reimbursed through Chinese bank disbursements, suffer from project suspensions. Although loan contracts provide for arbitration in case of default, there is no evidence that Chinese banks have ever used this option, or that a judgment could actually be enforced, were it to be in their favor. We also see no evidence of penalty interest rates.

...We started this paper with a quote from a Zambian economist. A fuller version of that quote is:

‘It’s the US\$ 3 billion worth of eurobonds that are the problem, not the Chinese loans...with eurobonds, you don’t play around when the payments are due. Chinese debt can easily be renegotiated, restructured or refinanced’.”



According to the Jubilee Debt Campaign in 2017, China owned 24%, the IMF and World Bank owned 20%, the Paris Club 10%, the private sector 32%, and other multilateral institutions 15% of Africa’s debt.

The Center for International Policy’s “Africa Program,” based in Washington DC, tracks and analyzes U.S. foreign policy toward the nations of Africa. Interestingly they conclude:

“As a debt crisis looms, there has been a growing demand from various advocacy groups for debt cancellation and the issuance of Special Drawing Rights (SDRs) from the IMF. According to the Advocacy Network for Africa (AdNA), the SDRs are the IMF’s reserve currency that could ‘enable countries to boost reserves and stabilize economies, helping minimize other economic losses, **without any cost to the U.S. government.**’ Although SDRs offer African countries a lifeline, the U.S. has yet to support the initiative, adding yet another hurdle in their attempt to break free from their debt trap. In addition to advocating for SDRs, organizations like the **Jubilee Debt Campaign (JDC)** are also urging the IMF to sell its stockpile of gold to cancel the debt of the poorest countries. According to JDC, the profit from selling less than 7% of IMF’s gold (worth \$11.8 billion), ‘would be enough to pay for cancelling all debt payments by the 73 countries eligible for the G20 Debt Service Suspension Initiative for the next 15 months’ and ‘**would still leave the IMF with \$26 billion more gold than the institution held at the start of 2020.**’

The efforts of debt-cancellation advocates seem to continue to fall on deaf ears, as the IMF and the World Bank refuse to make any move towards cancelling the debt of African countries. **The Bank’s hypocrisy is observed in the fact that it continues to pressure China, Africa’s largest creditor, to cancel its debt to poor countries while itself has yet to cancel the debt it is owed.**”

China is Africa’s largest creditor, it is also Africa’s largest debt canceller and is the most flexible in its renegotiation of debt and does not penalise through interest rates as we saw with the Johns Hopkins report. As the Center for International Policy confirms, it is in fact the IMF and World Bank loans, who refuse to be flexible in repayment of these debts. It is they who refuse to make any significant cancellation of debt owed to them by Africa, and who maintain these loans at exorbitant interest rates, which are behind the debt problem in Africa.

In addition, contrary to the enforced conditionalities that come from IMF and World Bank loans that discourage essential infrastructure like electrical grids (Africa has been kept dark for decades), China is actually building infrastructure in Africa to the admitted dismay of the Council on Foreign Relations!

This is what President Putin was referring to in a speech from 2018 to light up Africa[8].


In 2019, Reuters reported[9] that the United States' top African diplomat warned that African countries running up debt they won't be able to pay back, should not expect to be bailed out by western-sponsored debt relief.

"We went through, just in the last 20 years, this big debt forgiveness for a lot of African countries," said U.S. Assistant Secretary of State for Africa for African Affairs Tibor Nagy, referring to the somewhat condescendingly named HIPC (Heavily Indebted Poor Countries) program, started by the IMF and World Bank in 1996 as a nice window dressing.

"Now all of a sudden are we going to go through another cycle of that? ... I certainly would not be sympathetic, and I don't think my administration would be sympathetic to that kind of situation," he told reporters in Pretoria, South Africa.

Hmmm, imagine if a Chinese diplomat were to have said that, how it would have been viewed by the west, but apparently when a westerner says it, it is somehow not exploitive and predatory...

Let us look at another example. What about Sri Lanka's debt crisis, surely China is to blame like we have all been told repeatedly?



This is a graph included within an article[10] by the German news press DW. As we can see, China owns

only 10% of Sri Lanka's debt. The Asian Development Bank owns 13% but don't be fooled by its name, it is modeled off of the World Bank and has only held Japanese presidents on its board. Japan is beholden to the west's diktat in all of its foreign financial affairs.

So, who owns this 47% market borrowings share of Sri Lanka's foreign debt? Well, according to NIKKEI Asia, the world's largest financial newspaper based in Tokyo, Japan[11]:

"By the end of 2020, a year into Gotabaya's term, the country's foreign debt was \$38.6 billion, accounting for 47.6% of the central government's total debt, according to the IMF. International sovereign bonds made up the largest share, at \$14 billion, followed by \$8.8 billion in loans from multilateral lenders and \$6.2 billion in bilateral debts. The top 20 ISB [International Sovereign Bonds] holders included BlackRock, Allianz, UBS, HSBC, JPMorgan Chase and Prudential, according to Advocata Institute, a Colombo-based think tank."

It is here that we start to see the truth behind such graphs that hide behind vague titles such as the "private sector," "other multilateral institutions" or "market borrowings". These are predominantly British and American banks and investment firms who are extending loans at exorbitant interest rates. Why are the names of these institutions not even mentioned, conveniently hidden behind such generic and seemingly benign labels?

We also see the outright slander and lying that is occurring against China in being blamed for Sri Lanka's debt crisis. How can such an accusation be justified if China owns only 10% of Sri Lanka's debt?!

Once again, we see, it is not China that is responsible for the economic mayhem that is occurring today in Sri Lanka (formerly the British colony Ceylon, and who was a significant organiser of the Bandung Conference). In fact, there is great reason to believe that the National Endowment for Democracy is behind much of the chaos in Sri Lanka.

What about the IMF? They do not seem to be hardly mentioned in these debt trap charts, they don't seem too bad right?

You may be surprised, that the example I am about to give of an IMF economic horror story is not located in either Africa or Asia, but rather in Europe.

Ukraine today is a tragic story on multiple levels.

Ukraine used to be among the richest countries in Eastern Europe, known as “the breadbasket of Europe.” However, this economic fact is harder and harder to come by since Ukraine was a part of the USSR when their economy was at its peak. *A most inconvenient truth.* It is for this reason that you will be hard pressed to find any GDP graph of Ukraine that begins earlier than 1991, the date of their independence from the USSR. From 1991 to 1997, Ukraine lost 60% of their GDP[12] and suffered five-digit inflation rates[13]. Who was Ukraine beholden to during this massive recession that has never really ended for Ukrainians? The International Monetary Fund (IMF).

During the EU Deal dispute that was used to trigger the Ukrainian protests, it has since been discovered that part of the conditions of this “deal,” which was strong-armed by the IMF, was the demand that a significant rise in utility rates (first and foremost electricity and gas) be implemented while the income of Ukrainians stayed the same.

The Ukrainian people had no idea. The very deal they were fighting and dying for was to directly benefit corrupt gas companies such as Burisma Holdings and their foreign shareholders, to the economic detriment of the Ukrainian people. A similar situation to what most of Europe is facing today under a plethora of glorious “EU Deals” in the midst of an energy crisis[14].

It turns out much that was behind the youth protests in Ukraine was funded by not only the American government directly[15], but also by the National Endowment for Democracy, the American department of color revolutions.

Jeremy Kuzmarov for Covert Action Magazine writes in an article titled “National Endowment for Democracy Deletes Records of Funding Projects in Ukraine”:

“The National Endowment for Democracy (NED)—a CIA offshoot founded in the early 1980s to advance ‘democracy promotion’ initiatives around the world—has deleted all records of funding projects in Ukraine from their searchable ‘Awarded Grants Search’ database.

The archived webpage captured February 25, 2022 from 14:53 shows that NED granted \$22,394,281 in the form of 334 awards to Ukraine between 2014 to the present. The capture at 23:10 the same day shows “No results found” for Ukraine. As of right now, there are still ‘No results found’ for Ukraine...

The erasure of the NED’s records is necessary to validate the Biden administration’s big lie—echoed in the media—that the Russian invasion of Ukraine was ‘unprovoked.’”

So just to be as clear as possible here, the economy of Ukraine was beholden to the IMF after their independence in 1991 (after the dissolution of the Soviet Union). It was almost immediately afterwards that the Ukrainian economy began a downward trend, entering an economic recession and creating Ukrainian oligarchs overnight. [Russia also went through a serious recession and had its overnight oligarchs because of the introduction of the Perestroika, which was a western restructuring of Russia’s internal finances. In time, Russia has been able to gain in part its economic and financial sovereignty, but it has been a long process which still has elements that are beholden to the western diktat such as the Russian Central Bank.]

This is what makes up the “Moscow on the Thames” in London, overnight Ukrainian and Russian oligarchs who benefitted from the suffering of their own people. These are men who are servants to the City of London. These are traitors to their country, who would sell their grandmothers for the right to sit in the hallway of their masters, as President Putin said in a recent speech.

Both the Orange Revolution (2004) and the Maidan Revolution (2014) were at the end of the day, about economic despair. The Ukrainians died for the EU deal and closed out Russia. What did they gain for this? Before the start of this year, Ukraine was by far the poorest country in all of Europe as a result of signing onto the EU Deal seven years ago. They then foolishly allowed themselves to be led into a war with Russia in service of Anglo-America, which was the entire time never about Ukrainian freedom but about triggering an economic collapse within Russia, which has very clearly failed[16].

We would perhaps do well to remember Lord Palmerston’s words, “*We have no eternal allies, and we have no perpetual enemies. Our interests are eternal and perpetual, and those interests it is our duty to follow.*”

The Ukrainian people who bought into this were played. The result of this “Revolution of Dignity” is that Ukraine now lies in ashes.

Now the Taiwanese people are being asked to follow suit.

The Sunflower Movement: Taiwan’s Color Revolution

What many likely do not know, or at least do not connect together, is that Ukraine’s “Revolution for Dignity” occurred during the same year as Hong Kong’s “Umbrella Revolution” aka “Occupy HK” as well as Taiwan’s Sunflower Movement. Yes, they all happened in the same year and they were all funded by the National Endowment for Democracy along with western NGOs.

Let us first start with the case of Hong Kong.

Hong Kong, which was a part of China for many centuries, was established as a temporary colony of the British Empire after China lost the First Opium War. After the Second Opium War was lost, Britain expanded the colony to the Kowloon Peninsula, and in 1898, obtained a 99-year lease of Hong Kong.

In 1997, Hong Kong was returned to China as per the 99-year lease agreement with Britain. However, Britain did not release Hong Kong fully.

Laura Ruggeri, who has been living in Hong Kong since 1997 and has done excellent reporting on the 2019 Hong Kong protests, writes in her paper “Agents of Chaos: How the US Seeded a Color Revolution in Hong Kong[17]”:

“By all appearances, the process of creating a sense of identification with, and loyalty to, China was still in its infancy. In contrast, transnational actors, most notably churches, NGOs and advocacy networks regarded by the US as ‘vectors of influence’ and ‘catalysts of democratization’ were well-entrenched in the Hong Kong civil society. Working in concert with US-sponsored local media and pro-democracy parties they subjected both China and the local government to constant criticism, exploiting domestic grievances in order to deepen rifts in society and achieve the sort of partisan and ideological polarization that would make Hong Kong ungovernable.

...Hong Kong lawmakers failed to acknowledge that the political feasibility of One Country Two Systems ultimately rests on the stability of One Country, without which any talk of Two Systems becomes preposterous.

... when British rule ended in 1997 it left behind a toxic legacy of colonial institutions, British-trained civil servants and a damaged collective psyche precariously held together by a false sense of superiority towards mainland China.

...The US began laying the brickwork for a colour revolution in Hong Kong even before the 1997 handover: NED funding for Hong Kong-based groups dates back to 1994 and was described as ‘consistent’ by Louisa Greve, who was vice president of programs for Asia, the Middle East and North Africa until 2017. Its first strategic objective was to prevent the enactment of a national security law (Article 23) in Hong Kong, as this would effectively make the activities of NED and other foreign-funded organizations illegal.

When in 2003 the Secretary for Security Regina Ip announced a Bill to implement Article 23[18], as if on cue, half a million people marched against the government proposal, Mrs. Ip became the target of a coordinated vilification campaign that forced her to resign from office and the Bill was eventually withdrawn.

...foreign agents and fifth columnists. Their task was to scupper the One Country Two Systems governance model and contrast any rise of patriotic feelings towards China. If the One Country Two Systems model failed in Hong Kong, the U.S. would also achieve another strategic goal at no cost, because Taiwan wouldn’t be tempted to adopt it in the future.”[19]

Thus, as you see with all of these NED funded revolutions, the people are never actually protesting something that will harm their freedom and prosperity, but rather the very opposite. They have been fooled into protesting something that is actually to their benefit. They are played by the prejudice that has been fueled by foreign agents in their education system, media and government, to hate and remain distrustful of what is actually a better outcome for them.

In the case of the 2019 Hong Kong protests, this was incredibly started as an Anti-Extradition Law Amendment Bill Movement, in response to Hong Kong’s introduction of the Fugitive Offenders amendment bill on extradition. Why did the Hong Kong government introduce this bill? Because a young girl was hacked into pieces and shoved into a suitcase[19]. Her boyfriend who committed the horrific crime left her body in Taiwan and took a flight back to Hong Kong that evening.

Hong Kong's law, due to the "one country, two systems," did not allow for China's extradition of this criminal, thus the introduction of the bill. Something that not even the Australian government saw as an issue in their cooperating with before the fervour of protests in Hong Kong. What this meant, was that those participating in the 2019 Hong Kong protests were ultimately protesting China's right to "intervene" into how Hong Kong people live their lives, even if they are to commit crimes within China.

In other words, these protesters were saying that China had no right to intervene in crimes committed by Hong Kongers, even though Hong Kong is a part of China... Does that sound like a democratic peace-loving movement to you?

Let alone that they violently attacked any Hong Kong resident who disagreed with their views during the 2019 protests, including the elderly.

The 2014 "Occupy HK" received \$400,000 in funding from the NED. Hong Kong received \$1.7 million in grants spent by the NED from 2017 to 2019 for the 2019 protests[20].

The NED is also funding separatist groups in Tibet and Xinjiang (only called East Turkistan by the radicalised separatists and the NED). NED has recently scrubbed their Xinjiang list of funding, however, if you go to the "awarded grants search" within the NED (www.ned.org) site you will find that their primary funding goes to the World Uyghur Congress, which services US government foreign policy, and is the primary organiser and funder behind claims that China is committing a genocide in Xinjiang.

When Anglo-America made a second attempt to reclaim Hong Kong in 2019, it again failed to separate Hong Kong from China. If they had succeeded, it would have been used as a model for Taiwan's separatist movement.

Strangely, there has been this claim circulating around the web by such news agencies like The Guardian[21], criticizing China for claiming that Hong Kong was never a British colony because China never recognised the treaties that ceded the city to Britain. This is true in the sense that it was the corrupt Qing dynasty that signed over Hong Kong to the British for a 99-year lease. When the Chinese people overthrew the Qing dynasty and eventually formed the People's Republic of China, this treaty was never recognised.

In other words, *the Chinese government never recognised such a treaty in support of British colonialism.*

What is disturbing in this sort of criticism of China essentially refusing to acquiesce to a colonial identity, is that the reaction from the British press is "how dare they!" You see how old habits die hard.

China recognised, as also confirmed by the observations by Laura Ruggeri's work, that it needed to take back their education system in Hong Kong, not because they are some sort of dictatorship that censors freedom of speech but because those textbooks were continuing to teach a British colonial view of the world and Chinese history that was essentially anti-Chinese.

How ironic that these so-called freedom lovers in Hong Kong and their supporters are so quick to side with a colonial framework. Anything to sit in the hall of their masters...


The Guardian article goes on to say, how dare China teach in their schools that the 2019 Hong Kong protests were driven by external forces. What this means is: how dare China not accept the separatist movement in Hong Kong that is still brainwashed with a colonial mentality as genuine.



Picture of the 2019 Hong Kong protestors holding British flags.

Hmmm.

I would like to make a quick note here, that part of my family comes from Hong Kong, and it is most



clearly the case that they saw themselves as superior to the Chinese living on the mainland, whom they viewed as dirty peasants, and likely have retained this prejudice despite mainland China now economically thriving with many cities being much more affluent and beautiful than Hong Kong. My family that grew up in Hong Kong, largely identified with western idealisation, and my mother and siblings have even confessed to me that they wished they had been born with more western features in their appearance. Does that sound like freedom to you?

Now, let us take a look at Taiwan’s “Sunflower Movement.”

Taiwan, in case you were not aware, is legally a part of China and is recognised as so by the entire international community, except 13 small countries and the Vatican City, Holy See. And I would go so far as to say that it was not the decision of these small countries to do so, who are beholden to the Anglo-American diktat.

The United States, despite sending weapons over to Taiwan, and having a small number of US troops in Taiwan, *also recognises Taiwan as part of China.*

On the US Department of State website it is written[22], “*We oppose any unilateral changes to the*

status quo from either side; we do not support Taiwan independence.”

So why all the belligerence from the United States? It appears it is the United States who is in violation of the law.

Quite ridiculously, Newsweek published an article which is the same sort of fiction that is being published all over media right now, titled “China Warplane Fleet Enters Taiwan’s Air Defense Zone.[23]”

Below are the images published by Taiwan’s Ministry of National Defense, showcasing China’s aircraft “violation,” that were used by the Newsweek article.

Do you notice something strange? Taiwan’s Self-Declared Air Zone overlaps with the actual mainland of China. According to Taiwan, China should not even have the right to fly above a section of its own mainland!

In addition, according to Taiwan, China has no right to pass over or through the Taiwan Strait, but a US Navy Destroyer can enter “its” waters, which has happened dozens of times over the past several years.

CNN writes the very misleading headline “US Navy Destroyer enters Chinese-claimed waters for third time in a week[24].” Um, “Chinese-claimed waters”? The US Department of State recognises Taiwan as part of China, so yeah, it is in Chinese waters. Are you beginning to see what China is having to deal with?

Lastly, if you see the flight routes that China is taking in the image below, you can see clearly that China is making it crystal clear that those flight paths are not

meant to pass over Taiwan. China is giving Taiwan its space, even though it is a part of China.



As, ex-Marine Corps, Brian Berletic’s *The New Atlas*[25] has pointed out in his informative videos, Taiwan is completely dependent on trade with China, thus, if China really wanted to cause Taiwan’s “submission” to China, there would be no need to “invade” Taiwan, they would simply stop trading with Taiwan. China makes up 49.04% of Taiwan exports and 23.8% of Taiwan imports [see images above].

In 2014, the Sunflower Movement, like the Ukrainian “Revolution for Dignity” was over an economic deal. In the case of Taiwan it was over a free trade deal with China, which makes sense since Taiwan is part of China, therefore why would you not want free trade within the same country? Once again, we see that the protests were against something that was in fact to their benefit.

One of the leading organizations behind the Sunflower Movement was the *Taiwan Foundation for Democracy* (www.tfd.org.tw) which is directly connected to the NED[26] and has received funding from the NED.

On the NED webpage “Taiwan’s Destiny,” the remarks by Carl Gershman, former US Ambassador to the UN Human Rights Council and who has served as president of the NED since its founding in 1984 to 2021, states:

“I visited Taiwan for the first time 25 years ago to encourage it to join the community of countries that was fostering democracy through non-governmental institutions like the National Endowment for



Democracy. Taiwan was not ready for this idea at the time.

In the quarter of a century since that conference, Taiwan has consolidated a dynamic, stable, and successful liberal democracy, exemplified by President Tsai herself, who is the first woman to be elected President of Taiwan. Elsewhere in the world, however, democracy has entered a period of crisis...and authoritarian countries like Russia and China have become more aggressive and threatening.

Taiwan has not chosen to be a global symbol of democratic universalism, and I did not anticipate that it would become one when I came here 25 years ago, hoping that Taiwan might establish an institution to promote democracy in the world. It now has such an institution, and for that I'm very grateful. And as I said last year when I spoke at the TFD's 15th anniversary celebration, I hope that the Taiwan government will increase the Foundation's budget, as the U.S. Congress may soon do for the NED. The work is so important.

...Because of Taiwan's sacrifice and commitment, I believe that day will come."

Like what the sacrifice of the Ukrainian people brought for Ukraine in obeisance to this?

It is clear from the words of Carl Gershman that Taiwan's Foundation for Democracy is an NED created and funded institution to encourage the separation of Taiwan from China.

And just like Ukraine's Revolution of Dignity, the Sunflower movement allowed for the demand of a new government, a new government that would be picked and shaped by the US government. People such as Joseph Wu who is Taiwan's Minister of Foreign Affairs and is also the Vice-Chairman of Taiwan's Foundation for Democracy.

Some Parting Thoughts

So, is it truly better the Devil you know? I always found this saying a confusing one, it essentially is describing one situation or person that we know for sure is monstrously bad and the other to which we acknowledge we do not "know." So why the assumption that the choice is between one monstrous thing or another?

As we see with the technique especially of color revolutions, the ignorance of the people in siding with the Devil they know, is that they have chosen rather

simply to remain in the hell they find themselves in. They are so fearful to travel into the unknown (which can become rather quickly known if one informs themselves) that they would rather stay with their captor.

Colonial Stockholm Syndrome one might say?

B.F. Skinner, a scary behaviourist, discovered a phenomenon in his work with rats which is now called, very creepily, "the Skinner box," or by its somewhat less creepy title the "operant conditioning chamber."

What Skinner found was that rats that were tortured within this box in the specific manner he does with conflicting messaging of reward and punishment, these rats would form a sort of dependence on this created "reality" as a coping mechanism to future stresses. It was found that when the rat was allowed to leave the box and was subjected to a stimulus that caused pain or fear that its immediate reaction was to run back into the box for its own perceived security out of its own volition!

Think about that.

There is a reason why behaviourists became extremely giddy over this "discovery" of Skinner, and it wasn't because of its applications on rats...

We are told that we live in a complicated world. A world that is divided, a world that is full of hate and war and greed. And it is most certainly the case that the west in particular has descended into its own self-created hell. But that is the key right there.

As John Milton would say in his *Paradise Lost*, "*The mind is its own place and, in itself can make a heaven of hell or a hell of heaven.*"

Ironically, what many do not know is that Milton wrote a follow-up titled "*Paradise Regained*[27]". How interesting that we only focus on *Paradise Lost* and seemingly have no care for *Paradise Regained*? Or that everyone has heard of Dante's *Inferno* and perhaps *Purgatorio* but few have heard of Dante's *Paradiso* which was meant to be read as a whole. Why do you think that is?

If we choose to walk in this life blind to what is the good, we will certainly condemn ourselves to living

in a hell, but that is not reality, that is our self-made creation.

The choice is *yours* to make.

“It is a man’s own mind, not his enemy or foe, that lures him to evil ways.”

-Buddha

Footnotes for Part 6

[1] There is an excellent Chinese movie called “The Opium War” that goes over this story, you can watch it for free here: <https://youtu.be/YWzeZ5sNxmk>

[2] “Old Summer Palace marks 157th anniversary of massive loot”. <http://www.chinadaily.com.cn>. Retrieved 2018-06-30.

[3] HSBC Never Left the Dope Trade nor the Crown: Why HSBC Is No ‘Victim Institution’ in the Huawei Case

[4] For more stories of China’s valor refer here: https://youtu.be/oZmGmOM2_pc, and here: <https://www.bilibili.tv/en/video/2017793215>

[5] Sugar and Spice and Everything Vice: the Empire’s Sin City of London, Cynthia Chung, Strategic Culture Foundation, March 8, 2020

[6] “The Spider’s Web: Britain’s Second Empire” (2017) Documentary.

[7] The Hotel Majestic and the Origins of Chatham House, Katharina Rietzler Chatham House, June 1, 2019

[8] [Russia will light up Africa – Putin](#), Russia Today, July 27 2018

[9] [Don’t expect debt relief. United States warns Africa](#) By Joe Bavier, Reuters, June 24, 2019

[10] Why Sri Lanka defaulted on its foreign debt Krithiga Narayanan, Die Welt, 14, 2022

[11] Sri Lanka’s international bondholders brace for a haircut Debt-ridden country’s recent history is a chronicle of a default foretold. **MARWAAN MACAN-MARKAR**, **Nikkei Asia**, APRIL 18, 2022

[12] “[Can Ukraine Avert a Financial Meltdown?](#)”. World Bank. June 1998. Archived from the original on 12 July 2000.

[13] Figliuoli, Lorenzo; Lissovolik, Bogdan (31 August 2002). “[The IMF and Ukraine: What Really Happened](#)”. International Monetary Fund

[14] The EU’s ‘Fit for 55,’ ‘Farm to Fork’ and the Cancellation of Nord Stream: A Mass Sacrifice to the Gods? By Cynthia Chung, Through a Glass Darkly, Jul 19, 2022

[15] Fact Checking the Fact Checkers: Why Does Ukraine Seem to Have So Many Nazis Nowadays? By Cynthia Chung, Through a Glass Darkly, Mar 26, 2022

[16] Financial war takes a nasty turn, Alasdair Macleod, Gold Money, May 5, 2022

[17] Agents of Chaos. How the U.S. Seeded a Colour Revolution in Hong Kong, by Laura Ruggeri, Medium, May 25, 2020

[18] *Article 23 is an article in the [Basic Law](#) of Hong Kong. It states that the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region “shall enact laws on its own to prohibit any act of treason, secession, sedition, subversion against the Central People’s Government, or theft of state secrets, to prohibit foreign political organizations or bodies from conducting political activities in the Region, and to prohibit political organizations or bodies of the Region from establishing ties with foreign political organizations or bodies.”*

[19] The murder behind the Hong Kong protests: A case where no-one wants the killer, by Cindy Sui, BBC, 23 October 2019

[20] The Anglo-American Origins of Color Revolutions & NED, by Matthew Ehret, Strategic Culture, August 17, 2019

[21] New Hong Kong textbooks ‘will claim city never was a British colony’, by Helen Davidson, The Guardian, July 15, 2022

[22] <https://www.state.gov/u-s-relations-with-taiwan/>

[23] China Warplane Fleet Enters Taiwan’s Air Defense Zone After Two-Month Lull by John Feng, Newsweek, August 12, 2021

[24] US Navy destroyer enters Chinese-claimed waters for third time in a week, By Brad Lendon, CNN, July 20, 2022

[25] www.youtube.com/c/TheNewAtlas

[26] TAIWAN’S DESTINY REMARKS BY CARL GERSHMAN UPON RECEIVING THE PRESIDENTIAL MEDAL IN TAIWAN, National Endowment for Democracy, December 10, 2019

[27] Paradise Lost and Paradise Regained, Paperback, 400 pages Published February 1968 by Signet Classics (first published 1667)



Breaking Free of Anti-Chinese Psyops 7

Is China Just a Totalitarian Anti-Spiritual Monolith? Social Credit and Synthetic Cults

Ever since COVID-19 made a crazy world even crazier, many good people have become absorbed into anti-Chinese hysterics all across the Five Eyes-managed parts of the rules-based liberal west.

Every day, new accusations that China is running spy rings, honey pots, and even the Great Reset itself as part of a larger plot to undermine western democracy are repeated across the conservative press landscape. The two biggest “proofs” of China’s evil heart are:

- 1) China’s use of social credit that deprives people of freedom (and broader state regulation of the internet and video games), followed by
- 2) China’s regulation of religious organizations within their borders which somehow translates into “atheist commie state illegalizing religion”.

Frankly, these fears are impotent, unfounded and devoid of any understanding of either basic history or the actual power structures managing the world we all live in.

The fact is that those same imperial forces that have driven the worst downshifts of human history long

before anyone even heard of “Communist Party of China” via wars, assassinations, coups and economic terrorism, are very much alive today and are in fact attempting to steer humanity into a feudal slave model of society (albeit with some technocratic 21st century modifications).

This power structure is not Chinese, nor is it Russian. It isn’t even American, although it demands that all three nations be wiped out, their historic cultures reset and turned into fragmented, depopulated regions of a post-nation state global order.

Thankfully, genuine nationalist leaders have arisen in this moment of global crisis and have created an alternative pathway into a very different future from the one which misanthropic globalists like George Soros or Klaus Schwab demand exist. UN Secretary General Antonio Guterres complained of this alternative block in a recent speech saying:

“I fear our world is creeping towards two different sets of economic, trade, financial, and technology rules, two divergent approaches in the development of artificial intelligence—and ultimately two different military and geo-political strategies. This is a recipe for trouble. It

would be far less predictable and far more dangerous than the Cold War.”^[1]

In the course of the following series, I am going to nip some of the virulent anti-China mythologies in the bud by first focusing on synthetic cult creations past and present, the problem of Jesuits, the nature of China’s deep state, color revolutions and the Chinese debt trap myth.

Social Credit: Not my Cup of Tea but a Geopolitical Necessity

Let me just state that on a personal level, speaking as someone who is shaped by democratic western values, all forms of surveillance, and social credit offends me.

I believe that people should cherish freedom and live in a cooperative world of love, trust and democracy.

That said, that world which I hope future generations get to experience bears little resemblance to the world of supranational oligarchism which has taken control of nations dumb enough to step into the globalist cage over the past decades and tie their fate to the Wall Street- City of London run bubble world order.

The fact is that those figures preparing to pull the plug on the bubble known as the western financial system tend to orbit one particular organization called the World Economic Forum, with names such as Klaus Schwab, Henry Kissinger and George Soros.

And while consumers of anti-Chinese propaganda might here exclaim that these individuals have all spoken well of China at different times, the only thing which Schwab, Soros or Kissinger admire is China’s centralized power structures and social credit systems. Everything that China *is actually doing* as far as building the New Silk Road, increasing standards of living, improving rates of education, defending ancient cultural traditions, unleashing long term credit for development, empowering sovereign nation-states to stand on their two feet and ending global poverty are despised.

Power hungry imperialists very much enjoy centralized national controls for the simple reason that these controls would give them the power to make their

grandiose visions for a dystopic techno-feudal dictatorship happen fast without pesky democratic mechanisms getting in the way. The difference between China's leadership when contrasted with the Schwabs of the Great Reset crowd is a matter of intention and governing ideology.


Where one is devoted to closed system depopulation and unipolarism, the other is devoted to open system long term growth and multipolarism.

A Lack of Humility

As hard as it is for some to admit, we who live here among the western trans-Atlantic states have a much more virulent form of social credit and surveillance state now in place under the Five Eyes and the multiheaded hydra which former CIA analyst Ray McGovern has called MICIMATT (Military-Industrial-Congressional-Intelligence-Media-Academia-Think-Tank complex). This is easy to see from a top-down perspective, but for those whose minds are conditioned to make sense of the world “from the bottom up”, it is difficult to see beyond the thick veneer of propaganda (i.e.: just being at a rally on January 6, 2021 has countless people on no fly lists, un-employable or in jail).

Bankruptcy (either COVID-lockdown induced or other) results in poisoned credit penalties for many years which is nearly impossible to break out of for most people. In China, on the other hand, you can indeed find your wallet take a hit or job options limited by bad social credit, but inversely you can also recover your standing relatively easily.

Admittedly it frightens me to think how oligarchically-minded figures of a Great Resetting



Open Systems

- Non-Zero Sum
- Whole = More than Sum of Parts
- Relative limits to growth
- Win-Win Cooperation focus
- Multipolar (multiple centers of gravity)

Closed Systems

- Zero Sum
- Whole = Sum of Parts
- Absolute limits to growth
- Win-Lose Competition focus
- Unipolar (one center of gravity)

nature wish to use the structures of social credit tied to some form of Universal Basic Income in order to modify group behaviour under a post-Truth, depopulated/de-carbonized world order. But the thing to hold in mind is that mechanisms, like most tools, are generally morally neutral. It is how we use them that imbues them with evil or goodness.

Additionally, China is NOT forcing vaccines on their people while we here in the free west are making life unlivable if you don't get the jab. Recently, Canadians have lost the right to fly, sit on a train or cross a border to the USA without (at least) two mRNA jabs. Hundreds of peaceful protestors were arrested in Ottawa and bank accounts of single mothers donating \$50 to help support protesting truckers were frozen by the Liberal government of Chrystia Freeland. Additionally, millions of civilians across the trans Atlantic community are threatened with a loss of livelihood if they don't subject themselves as guinea pigs in a vast gene therapy experiment which is still in clinical stages and carrying a remarkably high rate of adverse events including death. Chinese and Russian federal governments on the other hand have intervened on municipal/provincial administrators who have tried making the jab mandatory at different times and both nations have resisted pressure to use mRNA gene therapy relying instead on conventional viral vector technology.

Contrast this with the western nations, where our federal governments have demonstrated themselves to be little more than active appendages of the Great Resetting sociopaths with the only serious efforts at resistance coming from various state or provincial representatives.

In case this exercise in humility is still meeting resistance, it is worth being reminded that here in the freedom-loving liberal democracies of Canada, the USA and UK, jailed whistleblowers deprived of trial, or even basic civil liberties has become a normalized fact of life. When former CIA analyst John Kiriakou spoke out against his government's use of illegal torture, he was put in prison. When Chelsea Manning exposed murderous drone assassinations of civilians committed by the US military, she found herself quickly jailed. When US Air force analyst Daniel Hale leaked information about the murder of innocent civilians, he paid the price with a four year prison sentence. Meanwhile Julian Assange rots in jail and Edward Snowden would be in jail if he hadn't found sanctuary in Russia.

Religion as a Cover for Anti-China Asymmetric Warfare 1850-Present

In order to maintain the perception that China is a villain in the minds of credulous consumers of most conservative media, it is asserted that China is an atheistic monstrosity committed to crushing religion. If one wishes to practice religion in China, we are told the consequences are jail, draconian social credit scores or even the loss of one's life.

Although popular, this perception is entirely bogus.

As far as freedom of religion is concerned, China is a land which is home to over 50 million Christians and has over 65,000 churches of protestant and catholic denominations. Muslims make up the majority of the population in Xinjiang which hosts over 24,000 Mosques and is far greater, per capita than anything found in the USA. Buddhist and Daoist temples abound across China as well^[2].

While China is a secular state, it has come a long way from the anti-religious outlook dominant during the dark days of the 1966-1976 Cultural Revolution. Even China's constitution protects freedom of religion (article 36), with the simple caveat that *"No state organ, social organization or individual shall coerce citizens to believe in or not to believe in any religion, nor shall they discriminate against citizens who believe in or do not believe in any religion. The state shall protect normal religious activities. No one shall use religion to engage in activities that disrupt public order, impair the health of citizens or interfere with the state's education system."*

And most importantly: *"Religious groups and religious affairs shall not be subject to control by foreign forces."*

So basically, freedom of worship is constitutionally protected as long as your religious group doesn't have the smell of color revolution on it.

Despite the fact that it is required that Churches, Mosques, and Buddhist temples receive a government license to operate legally and conform to China's overarching national priorities, thousands of underground Churches also exist across China and for the most part, government officials tend to look the other way.

However, when connections are made between those unlicensed churches and foreign intelligence agencies like the National Endowment for

Democracy, Freedom House, or Open Doors (all having vast CIA connections^[3]), then they are promptly shut down. Christians, Muslims, Buddhists and Daoists are thus encouraged to find less insurrectionary venues to practice their faith.

Most westerners who criticize China's non-liberal relationship to its religious institutions tend to overlook the fact that the form of modern warfare relies heavily on infiltration, cultural manipulation, psy ops, and asymmetrical warfare from within target nations. One such organization is the NED-sponsored ChinaAid (based in Washington and Texas) which finances and coordinates networks of underground Churches as weapons for broader cultural warfare across mainland China.

This technique of utilizing religious cells as a cover for undermining China is nothing new, and actually goes back to the Taiping Rebellion organized over 160 years ago.

The Taiping Rebellion Bloodbath


During this twelve-year bloodbath (1853-1864), a synthetic Christian cult led by a failed school teacher named Hong Xiuquan unleashed a civil war that put the British East India Company on a fast track to

crushing China during the second Opium War (1856-1860).

Hailed as a man-god by his devoted followers, Hong Xiuquan was little more than a useful idiot recruited by western intelligence operatives masquerading as protestant missionaries in 1843 and soon became convinced that he was the brother of Jesus himself. With his revelation, Hong became fanatically committed to cleanse China of evil spirits. This evil was not, however the hand of the British Empire that had bled China in the first Opium War (1839-1842) nor the plague of drugs more generally that had destroyed the lives of millions of his brethren. The "evil spirits" which Hong became obsessed with eradicating were rather Confucian and Buddhist thinking in general and the ruling government specifically!

The year of Hong's great revelation (1842), was the same year that China lost the first opium war giving over Hong Kong to the British Empire along with a vast expansion of drug flows into the impoverished and drug addicted nation. Opium imports skyrocketed to 3200 metric tons per year by 1850 with every province of China soon forced to grow opium to service the ever-growing demand. What was not produced within China was supplied from





British controlled operations in India, and the Ottoman Empire.

The Chinese messiah managed to institute a new government called the Taiping Heavenly Kingdom which soon gained control of one third of China's southern territory making Nanjing its capital by 1851. Its program attracted over 30 million adherents to Hong's particular brand of Christianity among the impoverished peasants who quickly became converts under this synthetic cult. Part of the attraction was found in the Taiping Kingdom's policy of equal distribution of all property and no private possessions.

Hong's cousin and partner in crime was an anglophile trained by the British in Hong Kong named Hung Jen-kan. When Jen-kan returned to Taiping headquarters in Nanjing in 1859 he wrote:

"At present England is the mightiest nation of the world, owing to its superior laws. The English are noted for their intellectual power and national strength, are proud by nature and averse to being subordinate."^[4]

Noted historian Michael Billington cited letters which Caleb Cushing's agent, and protestant missionary in

China, W.A.P. Martin had written to his handler amidst the chaos of the rebellion saying: "The Tartars [Qing] dynasty, too far gone in senility to afford any encouraging prospect of reformation, will now, perhaps, consider the expediency of recognizing its youthful rival [the Taiping] which, catching the spirit of the age, may be prevailed upon to unlock the treasures of the interior and throw open its portals to unrestricted trade... Divide and conquer is the stratagem to be employed in storming the citadels of oriental exclusiveness"^[5]

It is important to hold in mind that Cushing was a leading figure among the Boston Brahmins who made fortunes working with the British in the global opium trade and were always antagonistic to the spirit of the US Constitution itself. Cushing and his fellow Brahmins had been hard at work by this time preparing the groundwork for a parallel Civil War in the USA^[6] while the Taiping Heavenly Kingdom were still active in the east.

One of the bargaining chips the British empire used in negotiating the terms of China's humiliating defeat was the threat to recognize the Taiping Heavenly Kingdom as the legitimate government of China.

Beijing was so deeply bled from years of internal civil war that they easily bent to this threat and agreed to every condition demanded by the British resulting in the 1858 Treaty of Tien Tsin and Convention of Peking which granted unlimited access to foreign missionaries (again often covers for foreign intelligence operations), unlimited drug production, and free trade among other abuses that crippled China for years.

By the time the second Opium war ended in 1860, the British saw no more use in maintaining their synthetic cult and like a silk farmer who extracted all the silk from his worms, proceeded to work with the government to burn the cult which was finally exterminated by 1865.

In all, this civil war resulted in 30 million Chinese deaths, and still weighs heavily on China's mind.

In the wake of the rebellion and broader Opium War, life expectancy sank as 22.6 thousand tons of opium were being produced within China for domestic use by 1900. Poverty ran rampant, and Anglophile freemasonic groups shaped the policy of Triads in Hong Kong where HSBC pioneered global narcotics economics. The crushing of the spirits of the Chinese resulted in the backlash of the anti-Christian Boxer Rebellion that itself became a convenient excuse for western imperial powers to carve up China even further in retribution for damages to houses, rail lines and lives.

By 1910, only one year before Sun Yat-sen's Lincoln-inspired republican revolution broke China free from the unwinnable Great Game, European and Japanese imperial interests had taken control of vast portions of China's territory.^[7]

Whether we are looking at religious sects masquerading as Christian or Muslim fronts, or Asian

scientology-esque Falun Gong cults run by nutty exiled messianic characters like Li Hongzhi who literally believes he is ordained by God to save humanity from interdimensional aliens^[8], Xi Jinping has some messy problems to deal with both within China and abroad.

Living in a 400 acre compound in upstate New York and controlling a vast array of cultural/intelligence platforms including Epoch Times, Li Hongzhi's continuing role as an influence shaper tied to the worst elements of China's exiled community should lead any rational person to understand why China has taken the position it has on cults like Falun Gong and religious groups more broadly.

In the next chapter, we will look more deeply at one more aspect of psy ops in China with a focus on Jesuits, London's Tavistock and other spiritual poisons threatening the free world.

Footnotes for Part 7

[1] [*Secretary-General's address to the 76th Session of the UN General Assembly, September 21, 2021*](#)

[2] For a refutation of the Uyghur genocide myth, see: [Debunking the Myth of China's anti-Muslim Genocide Campaign](https://youtu.be/g9erXi4vdng) <https://youtu.be/g9erXi4vdng>

[3] [CIA's Hidden Hand in 'Democracy' Groups](#) by Robert Parry, Consortium News, January 8, 2015

[4] Cited by Billington, 200 Years of British Drug Wars, EIR, Feb. 27, 2009

[5] 200 Years of British Drug Wars by Mike Billington, EIR, Feb. 27, 2009

[6] [Understanding the Tri-fold Nature of the Deep State](#) by Matthew Ehret, Strategic Culture Foundation, November 3, 2020

[7] [Sun Yat-sen's Advice to Young Revolutionaries](#) by Matthew Ehret, Rising Tide Foundation

[8] See [Interview with Li Hongzhi](#) by William Dowell, Time, May 10, 1999 and the appendix to this report



Breaking Free of Anti-Chinese Psyops 8

Jesuits, Tavistock and the Battle for the Soul of China

While there have been many honest and good Jesuit missionaries in China with names like Matteo Ricci (1552-1610), Adam Schall (1591-1666), Ferdinand Verbiest (1623-1688), and Giuseppe Castiglione (1688-1766) something darker appears to lurk within the Byzantine structure of controls that manage the Society of Jesus founded by Spanish mercenary Ignatius Loyola in 1540. Anyone wishing to get a full grasp on the sorts of operations being conducted to destroy both China and the USA from within these days would do well to take the time to consider this secretive force of world history.

Prior to our current age, the subversive role of Jesuit operations were much more widely known by republican forces who understood the reality of conspiracies as a part of life and world history^[1].

Penetrating Minds Shed Light on the Jesuits

The noted poet and playwright Friedrich Schiller took time to compose *"The Jesuit Government of Paraguay"* of 1788 where he documents the role of Jesuit missionaries whose arrest revealed a coded manual for training natives to kill European settlers "who are cursed by god". The Jesuits in Schiller's report had

created a hybrid religion using Christian motifs and passed themselves off as angelic Kau. Describing their teachings (written in a native language) as "angels of God, who descended to the people, to teach them how one comes into heaven and the art to destroy the enemy of God."

A century earlier, the famed scientist/priest Antoine Arnauld wrote: *"Do you wish to excite troubles, to provoke revolution, to produce the total ruin of your country? Call in the Jesuits... and build magnificent colleges for these hot-headed religionists; suffer those audacious priests, in their dictatorial and dogmatic tone, to decide on affairs of State."*^[2]

Describing Jesuit operations in Canada which had created quasi-synthetic cults blending native beliefs with the Bible and deployed to conduct terror operations on colonists, historian Graham Lowry wrote in his *How the Nation Was Won* (1987): *"Northern tribes converted by the Jesuits- the Hurons, Algonquins, Penobscots, Pequawkets and especially the Abnakis- were repeatedly hurled against the northeastern and western frontier of New England. Led by Jesuit priests, with only an occasional French officer, the Indians attacked down the Kennebec, Connecticut and Merrimack Rivers, massacring and burning as they*

went... *this threat to the northeastern colonies was not removed until the American Revolution*".

The American revolution did not only ensure a respite from Jesuit-run raids on colonists (provoking often brutal and unjust retaliations in response as part of a broader divide-to-conquer strategy), but the Pope Clement XIV passed a Papal Bull forcing the dissolution of this insurrectionary society in 1773 saying quite ominously:

"The suppression is accomplished, I do not repent of it, having only resolved on it after examining and weighing everything, and because I thought it necessary for the church. If it were not done, I would do it now. But this suppression will be my death."

It was only a matter of months before the pope was to die of poisoning.

Although they got revenge on the belligerent Pope, the order took a major hit and removed their base of operations to safer terrain in Russia for a period of nearly 50 years. During this time, their intrigues never ceased, provoking French revolutionary Marquis de Lafayette to write during the heat of the American Revolution that:

"It is my opinion that if the liberties of this country – the United States of America – are destroyed, it will be by the subtlety of the Roman Catholic Jesuit priests, for they are the most crafty, dangerous enemies to civil and religious liberty. They have instigated MOST of the wars of Europe."

The ban on the order was lifted by Pope Pius VII in 1814 amidst the early days of the Congress of Vienna. This was the Congress that Kissinger declared his most beloved period in history which re-instated an oligarchical iron fist onto all of Europe ravaged by 20 years of Napoleonic wars^[3]. Working closely with Austrian Prince Metternich and the new Holy Alliance, the Jesuits became instrumental in maintaining controls throughout Europe as a secret mercenary force.

American inventor and artist Samuel F.B. Morse exposed much of this in his 1841 Foreign Conspiracies Against the Liberties of the United States wrote:

"Let us examine the operations of this Austrian Society [the St. Leopold Foundation], for it is hard at work all around us, yes, here in this country... With its headquarters in Vienna, under the immediate direction

and inspection of Metternich, ...it makes itself already felt through the [American] Republic. Its emissaries are here. And who are these emissaries? They are Jesuits. This society of men, after exerting their tyranny for upwards of two hundred years, at length became so formidable to the world, threatening the entire subversion to all social order, that even the Pope [Clement XIV] was compelled to dissolve them [in 1773]. They had not been suppressed, however, for fifty years, before the waning influence of Popery and Despotism required their useful labors to resist the light of Democratic liberty, and the Pope (Pius VII) simultaneously with the formation of the Holy Alliance, revived the order of the Jesuits in all their power. And do Americans need to be told what Jesuits are? If any are ignorant, let them inform themselves of their history without delay; no time is to be lost; their workings are before you in every day's events; they are a secret society, a sort of Masonic order with super added features of revolting odiousness, and a thousand times more dangerous. They are not merely priests, or priests of one religious creed; they are merchants, and lawyers, and editors, and men of any profession, having no outward badge (in this country) by which to be recognized; they are about in all your society. They can assume any character, that of angels of light, or ministers of darkness, to accomplish their one great end, the service upon which they are sent, whatever that service may be."^[4]

Even the Russian writer Dostoyevsky noted their evil ways stating: *"The Jesuits . . . are simply the Roman army for the earthly sovereignty of the world in the future, with the Pontiff of Rome for Emperor . . . that's their ideal . . . It's simple lust of power, of filthy earthly gain, of domination – something like a universal serfdom with them as masters – that's all they stand for. They don't even believe in God perhaps."*

Cecil Rhodes' Jesuit Constitution

In his 1877 will and testament^[5] even Cecil Rhodes called for modelling a new Church of the British Empire around *"the Jesuit constitution"*, and the Fabian Society directly modelled their techniques on this method of permeation theory in order to gain influence over all levers of culture, taste, and politics.

One of Cecil Rhodes' leading Round Table controllers W.T. Stead wrote that "Mr. Rhodes was more than the founder of a dynasty. He aspired to be the creator of one of those vast semi-religious, quasi-political associations which, like the Society of Jesus, have played so large a part in the history of the world. To be more strictly accurate, he wished to found an Order as the instrument of the will of the Dynasty, and while he lived he dreamed of being both its Caesar and its Loyola."^[6]

During his time running much of South Africa and Zimbabwe, Rhodes ensured that the order was granted generous land upon which many churches were built, soon becoming the largest land owners in South Africa

The Jesuit-run America Magazine even bragged that "In the early part of the 20th century, the Catholic Church, like many churches at the time, received land grants from the colonial administrators for missionary work. Cecil Rhodes, one of the entrepreneurial giants of the British colonial era, invited churches, the Catholic Church among them, into his newly acquired territories. Subsequently, the Catholic Church worked closely with colonial governments, particularly in British Africa."^[7]

Snow Becomes Black: The Case of Tavistock

London Tavistock social engineers like William Sargent emersed himself in studies of Jesuit techniques for mind control in his influential 'Battle for the Mind' (1955) which profoundly influenced cultural warfare for the next 70 years. Tavistock affiliated philosopher Bertrand Russell stated in his 'The Scientific Outlook' (1919) that:

"Psychology as pursued everywhere in the past was incapable of giving practical control over mental processes, and never aimed at this result. To this general statement there is, however, one important exception, namely psychology as studied by the Society of Jesus. Much that the rest of the world has only recently understood was apprehended by Ignatius Loyola, and impressed by him upon the Order which he founded. The two tendencies which divide progressive psychologists in our day, namely, psycho-analysis and behaviourism, are



David Hawk

both equally exemplified in Jesuit practice. I think one may say on the whole that the Jesuits relied mainly on behaviourism for their own training, and upon psycho-analysis for their power over penitents."^[8]

Only eight years prior to writing this work, Russell had taught in Beijing where he brought his peculiar interpretation of "western philosophy and science" into the minds of young elites emerging into influential positions in the Bolshevik-inspired climate of republican China.

Just as Ignatius Loyola's meditations featured a self-

hypnotic mantra that induced the practitioner into believing white is black if god willed it be so believed^[9], Russell's extension of this same mantra was elaborated upon in his 1954 'Impact of Science on Society' where he called for teams of psychiatrists to see how much it would cost to convince young people that snow is black writing:

"It may be hoped that in time anybody will be able to persuade anybody of anything if he can catch the patient young and is provided by the State with money and equipment... This subject will make great strides when it is taken up by scientists under a scientific dictatorship. Anaxagoras maintained that snow is black, but no one believed him. The social psychologists of the future will have a number of classes of school children on whom they will try different methods of producing an unshakable conviction that snow is black. Various results will soon be arrived at. First, that the influence of home is obstructive. Second, that not much can be done unless indoctrination begins before the age of ten. Third, that verses set to music and repeatedly intoned are very effective. Fourth, that the opinion that snow is white must be held to show a morbid taste for eccentricity. It is for future scientists to make these maxims precise and discover exactly how much it costs per head to make children believe that snow is black, and how much less it would cost to make them believe it is dark gray."^[10]

Some might believe that London's Tavistock which directed much of the counterculture movement of the 1960 is a purely western problem and of no concern to China^[11].

While China has gone far to heal the spiritual wounds caused by the Cultural Revolution which turned an armada of youth into radical red guards antagonistic to all deeper Confucian traditions under a cultural great reset, it is important to remain awake to lurking dangers even now since the minds and souls of the youth are still the primary battlefield on which humanity’s destiny is being fought.

In this regard Xi Jinping’s crackdown on online gaming addictions, effeminization of men and deification of celebrities is vital to protect the masses of youth whose souls have been long targeted for corruption by social engineers of the west in a form of modern opium war.

But what about the young elite who will emerge as leaders of the future? Is cracking down on videogame addiction and celebrity cultism adequate to protect them? What sort of additional dangers are being faced on this level?

The Foundation for the Eternal Feminine


Without going into great depth, I invite the reader to review the multi billion-dollar ‘Foundation of the Eternal Feminine’ founded in 2015 by systems analysis guru David Hawk (protégé of Tavistock’s Eric Trist)^[12]. This strange Beijing/US-based foundation professes to shape a new generation of

“Chinese Joan of Arcs” among the billionaire heiresses of China who represent a surprisingly large quotient of China’s young elite due to the post 1979 one China policy undertaken by Malthusian followers of the Club of Rome associated with Zhao Ziyang.

Describing the organization’s origins to a group of students in 2020, Hawk said:

“In 2015 I feared Donald Trump would become our next president. So I started a foundation in China which was called the Foundation for the Eternal Feminine and got some people to help me with it. Those people convinced some of the richest men in China owning some of the largest companies but also which only had a daughter. No son. They contributed \$650 million to this foundation... it was to prepare humans for climate change and particularly to prepare women to be in charge and to be leaders of organizations during this thing we’re gonna call climate change. So this was about climate change and how to find leadership in the next 30, 40, 50 years.”^[13]

Young Chinese women recruited to this operation, are given heavy doses of conditioning across the foundation’s several elite resorts in the USA, offering to re-connect the young ladies with the harmony of nature, Daoism and cure the world of the masculine toxicity of Confucianism and Platonism.



A third leader is Christine Engwall, a mid-western nurse practitioner who loves all animals, especially horses. They return the favor.



And an even wider variety of ideas of the Eternal Feminine?

Within the Foundation we will provide funding for gaining college degrees, networking with other students and faculty, providing access to leaders in

II. The Feminine

China Roses, by Enya

A. The Eternal Feminine – A Simple-minded Dick-Free Zone or a 21st Century Joan of Arc?

Humans are on a dream-filled death march. Led by non negotiable, masculine-behaving, lust for power, forgetful of others, social personality. This icon represents the essence of the species and its leadership. It is also out of touch with its context.

Men somehow gained the leading role in defining what is human, including a manly war against all that is natural and non-rational. This is seen in all religious teachings including the strange “Christian garden of Eden” story. It is also apparent in sporting events in America. It is perhaps most clearly depicted in the images of feminine servitude in Russian and Japanese porno movies?

Men seeking power over all things, especially that which they do not understand, has come to be shown as the essential trait of being manly where being “manly” is key to acting like a leader; i.e., acting like Donald Trump. Some mothers, perhaps Donald’s mother, encourage this attitude via their misplaced encouragement of sons learning to behave like idols.

THE ETERNAL FEMININE: IS IT A DIFFERENCE THAT CAN MAKE A DIFFERENCE TO LIFE?

Search ...

A QUEST FOR THE ETERNAL

The Foundation is not a platform for women to battle with the more virile strongly valued Donald Trump’s version of masculinity. Instead it offers an inquiry into alternative ideas offered by the eternal feminine and provides us access to nature to potentially modify how the species interacts with its environment, and specifically nature, and then itself. Humans need to rethink nature and its role in their lives. All development will not address the issues involved herein. It only expands on the industrial revolution ideal of machine over man. The cosmos is not impressed with human machinery.

The feminine perspective differs. It has a different attitude relative to life and the role of humans in it. The feminine attitude has been kept at bay for several centuries, with great force via the advent of the printing press and the quest as written, that thoughtful men only so. Then, via the development and

The Feminine: Naturally Sexual, Reflective or Responsible for Life?

Lao Tzu is obviously a very helpful figure to finding wisdom from the dilemma of what is the Feminine. Gregory Bateson is helpful in illustrating that such wisdom will lead to new kinds of ways to find new kinds of questions about the feminine. This is illustrated in the following photos, sketches and drawings of the Eternal Feminine: Image in history?



A typical page from the website of the Foundation for the Eternal Feminine designed to seduce and brainwash as many ‘princessling’ heiresses using tried and tested Tavistockian cult creation tactics

Describing a debate with leading members of China's Executive Committee over which philosophical pathway was the best for China in the 21st Century, Hawk stated:

"I argued China should get beyond Confucius. That Confucius was not serving them well. Too much orderliness. Too much stability. Too much obeying the rules. That in essence, China needed to go back to the wisdom of Lao Tzu and dump Confucian thinking."

The Foundation describes that its purpose *"is to tap into the feminine and the difference it allows for an end state. We wish to see if can make a difference to improving our relations to our various worlds via a wider perspective on who we are and what we shall become. We believe the female perspective on life offers a difference that can be very helpful and make a difference to living systems. Institutions are based in time and space, where the space of the Foundation is seen in the action in its two urban settings, Shanghai and New York, and its two rural retreats for reflection in the Eastern and Midwestern United States. These give a sense of the basis of and futures to be discovered in the Foundation. All institutions need a symbol, an icon of what they protect as they eternally become."*

On the Foundation's website, MK Ultra's Gregory Bateson figures prominently, alongside imagery of children, Chinese poetry, Leonard Cohen music, butterflies and dominatrixes [see image on previous page].

Anyone ignoring this cultural inroad attempting to penetrate the soul of China's upper crust princesslings should pause and consider what happened when the role of Tavistock's multifaceted war on the western baby boomer generation was overlooked in the 1940s and 1950s. As I outlined in *'How China's Gorbachev was flushed in 1989'* [see part 9] the principal use of Zhao Ziyang by the western oligarchy was to force closed systems of static equilibrium onto the management of China premised upon the supposed universal law of entropy^[14].

Following this trend of thinking, Hawk's foundation states that the eternal feminine "is consistent with the Second Law of Thermodynamics, a rule of science virtually ignored in education."

Luckily, it appears that Xi Jinping understands the dangers posed by Hawk's foundation as the Chinese government intervened to block Hawk's desires to lead the organization placing in his stead a young

heiress to China's largest beer company into its presidency leading Hawk to state that the current government likely wishes that he be arrested.

Pope Francis Tries to Cozy Up to China

On a more direct level of Jesuit influence currently pressing onto China's future, Jesuit Pope Francis' efforts to bring the Vatican back into the controlling position of the Chinese Catholic Church (whose bonds were severed by Mao in 1951) has resulted in a 2018 *'China-Vatican provisional agreement'*. This agreement demands that Beijing must submit all potential church officials to the Pope for approval before they can play a role in China's catholic community. Considering the Pope's efforts to green Christianity by uniting the faith with the deconstruction of civilization, Paris Accords, and Green New Deal, it is an alarm bell that should not be ignored.

When one takes these facts into consideration, even the most devoted reader of Epoch Times should understand why China has found the use of social credit systems, CCTV surveillance and regulating religious movements to be of high importance.

Purging the Traitors

It isn't merely the domains of cultural warfare and religious cults that Xi Jinping has to worry about, but additionally hives of foreign-directed agents operating on a multitude of domains within China's government and business community.

Many of these creepy figures were purged in 1989 with the ousting of Soros puppet Zhao Ziyang and the putting down of a regime change effort at Tiananmen Square^[15]. Other traitors left in a hurry in the months leading up to the 1997 return of Hong Kong to Beijing^[16] when many oligarchs loyal to the City of London decided to seek safer sanctuary in British Canada and the USA not knowing what fate would befall them by Beijing's courts.

Still others have been purged during the sweeping anti-corruption program launched by President Xi since 2012 including the recent purge of ex-Minister of Security Sun Lijun and his conspiratorial entourage affiliated with the networks of former President Jiang Zemin^[17].

In expelling Sun, the party's disciplinary committee wrote that the ex-minister had "created and spread

political rumors, taken actions against others, wove a web of deceit to obtain political capital and ... used unscrupulous means ... to form gangs, cliques, and interest groups within the party and build his personal power.”

Another major Chinese deep state operative who found himself in jail for life in 2018 was none other than Ma Jian, the former vice-chief of China’s Ministry of State Security who worked closely with Steve Bannon’s bosom buddy Miles Guo for years managing a shadow government within China spying both on the Chinese people and leading members of the CPC alike.

This battle between opposing paradigms within China gives one a clear insight into the sort of danger posed by World Economic Forum Trustee Jack Ma (member of Jiang Zemin’s Shanghai faction of the CPC^[18]) when he arrogantly criticized the CPC’s economic paradigm until he was removed to his mansion in Hangzhou and forced to eat some heavy servings of humble pie.

Much like the USA in 1776, many “united empire loyalists” chose to remain behind while other loyalists left to safer ground in British Canada^[19]. Those who remained behind forming a new local oligarchy wearing a patriotic veneer while working covertly for their chance to strike and bring the renegade colony back into the imperial fold as outlined by Cecil Rhodes.

Footnotes for Part 8

[1] Organized along masonic levels of initiation and penetrating psychological exercises, and constant examinations, talented Jesuits who are found to “pass” the many tests placed along their path and deemed to have the right stuff are brought to certain realizations. One of the most important realizations is that the acts of sin are not the fault of the person carrying out the sin. Rather than owning sin, arduous psychological conditioning outlined within Loyola’s Meditations persuades the devotee to give over the sin of their deeds to whichever commander passes down orders from on high, with the Supreme general at the top of the hierarchy being the ultimate source of sin.

[2] Cited in *The Jesuits in History* by Hector Macpherson, Macniver and Wallace, 1914

[3] [Kissinger’s Adoration of the 1815 Congress of Vienna: A Master Key into Universal History](#) by Matthew Ehret, Strategic Culture Foundation, September 6, 2019

[4] [Foreign conspiracy against the liberties of the United States](#), by Samuel B. Morse, NY Chapin publishing, 1841

[5] The [last will and testament of Cecil John Rhodes](#) : with elucidatory notes to which are added some chapters describing the political and religious ideas of the testator, Cecil Rhodes, edited by W. T. Stead (1902)

[6] *Last Will and Testament of Cecil John Rhodes*, p. 56

[7] [The Catholic Church and Zambia’s Elections](#) by Brendan Carmody, *America: The Jesuit Review*, March 04, 2002

[8] *The Scientific Outlook*, Bertrand Russell, Ruskin House p.176

[9] Loyola’s 13 Rule in his [Spiritual Meditations](#) reads: “To be right in everything, we ought always to hold that the white which I see, is black, if the Hierarchical Church so decides it, believing that between Christ our Lord, the Bridegroom, and the Church, His Bride, there is the same Spirit which governs and directs us for the salvation of our souls.”

[10] [Impact of Science on Society](#) by Bertrand Russell, AMS Press NY, 1954 p. 14

[11] [Cultural Warfare in the 20th Century: How Western Civilization Came Undone](#) by Matthew Ehret, Strategic Culture Foundation, October 9, 2021

[12] Official website of this strange foundation is <https://eternalfeminine.org>

[13] [Tavistock. Social Systems Science. Action Learning](#) by David Hawk, *System Thinking Ontario* 2020/08/10

[14] [Will Entropy Define the New World Paradigm?](#) By Matthew Ehret, Rising Tide Foundation, 2021

[15] [The Truth Behind the Myth of the 'Tiananmen Square Massacre'](#) By Dr. Dennis Etler, *Military Watch Magazine*, June 6, 2019

[16] [For Hong Kongers, Canada is beaten path out of China’s grip](#), *Business Fast News UK*, February 10, 2021

[17] [The hidden enemies in Xi’s midst](#), *Greenville Post*, Oct. 7, 2021

[18] [The fear of Jack Ma and Shanghai faction makes Jinping go for another Purge in China to get rid of all dissenters](#) by Sohil Sinha, *TFI Global News*, March 7, 2021

[19] [The 1804 Northern Secession Plot and the Founding Fathers of the Deep State](#) by Matthew Ehret, Strategic Culture Foundation, October 6, 2020



Breaking Free of Anti-Chinese Psyops 9 How China Banned Soros in 1989

To this day, many people are still unclear as to the nefarious role that Hungarian mega speculator-turned philanthropist-turned color revolutionary George Soros has played in international affairs over the past 40 years. Sadly, many of those who have woken to the systematic carnage created by the elderly sociopath tend to make the mistake of either 1) assuming that the man has run an international conspiracy to rid the world of nation states all by himself or 2) believe him to be a stooge for the “evil Chinese Communist Party” which seeks to overthrow the western Christian-based order.

The problem with those who acknowledge the existence of conspiracies to dismantle nation states and enslave much of the world population is not that they are wrong to be paranoid, or even that a color revolution just transpired within the USA itself. However, by deflecting attention away from the causal hand of British intelligence which has been at the heart of nearly every major historic manipulation suffered by the USA from 1776-present^[1], China has been made to appear as some shadowy global supervillain using their Soros-affiliated assets managing the western deep state in

the pursuit of global hegemony and the overthrow of “Christian values”.

The fact is that China was the first nation to successfully identify and purge Soros’ evil while the rest of the world was sleepwalking into a post-nation state order over 30 years ago.

To restate the point: While other countries were busy letting Soros’ armadas of Open Society foundations infiltrate them at every level, China had the wits to see the evil agenda for what it was and when a color revolution was attempted at Tiananmen Square by those same agencies which were ushering in a new age rape of the Soviet Union and dismantling of western representative democracy, China lost no time to remove Soros’ right hand man in China in 1989 who had managed to reach the apex of political power as General Secretary of the Chinese Communist Party and heir apparent to the ageing Deng Xiaoping.

Soros’ man was named Zhao Ziyang and during the 1980s, western press had already become accustomed to calling him “China’s Gorbachev”.

Who Was Zhao Ziyang?

Zhao Ziyang was still a teenager when the Long March had occurred in 1934-35, but soon found himself rising within the CPC administration becoming a party leader of Guangdong Province in 1951 and managing a broad program of torturing peasants who were suspected of hoarding food during the great famine of 1958-61.



Zhao Ziyang

Certain forces with influence seemed to appreciate that sort of thing at the time and his star rose even higher becoming Guangdong's Party Secretary. But a couple of years into the Cultural Revolution Ziyang found his luck run dry, as he became the subject of attacks by Red Guards working for four years in a mechanics factory in Hunan. Upon his surprising rehabilitation in 1972, Ziyang again found his star rising as he was made First Secretary and Revolutionary Committee Chair in 1973. In 1975, he was appointed Party Secretary of Sichuan Province, where his penchant for de-regulation and market-driven economics were put to use in reforming agricultural policy during the early years of the opening up under Deng Xiaoping.

Zhao's star rose incredibly fast during this period. By 1977, he was made Politburo Member, finding himself acting as Premier of the State Council from 1980 to 1987 followed by a stint as Secretary General of the CPC until his dishonorable ouster in 1989.

Today we have become accustomed to hear creepy transhumanists like Klaus Schwab and other technocrats giddily praise the Fourth Industrial Revolution, the merging of humans and

machines, Artificial Intelligence's "inevitable" replacement of human thought and the automation revolution which will supposedly render most of human labor redundant under a new "useless class". However these ideas are not new and were alive and vibrant in the mind of Zhao Ziyang, who was profoundly influenced by transhumanists like Alvin Toffler (author of *Future Shock* and the *Third Wave*) whose concepts of a post-industrial new age in many ways serves as a bible for the Great Reset agenda now underway.

Speaking at an October 9, 1983 conference in Beijing, Zhao said:

"Whether we call it the Fourth Industrial Revolution or call it the Third Wave, [these writers] all believe that Western countries in the 1950s and 1960s reached a high degree of industrialization and are now moving to an information society.... At the end of this century and the beginning of the next century, or within a few decades, there will be a new kind of situation in which breakthroughs in new technology that are happening now or will happen soon will be used for production and for society. This will bring a new leap in social productivity and thus a corresponding set of new changes in social life. This trend is worthy of our attention and must be carefully studied, based on our actual situation, in order to determine the next ten to twenty years of our long-range planning.... For us and for the future of the Four Modernizations, this is both an opportunity and a challenge."

The Battle for the Four Modernizations

The policy known as the Four Modernizations referred to by Zhao above was first formulated by China's great nation builder Zhou Enlai in 1963 as a multigenerational outline designed to guide China's emergence into the new millennium as modern technologically advanced nation. Zhou Enlai's plan hinged on an over-all economic and industrial revolution driven by breakthroughs in 1) Industrial productivity, 2) Agricultural productivity, 3) Defense and 4) Scientific/technological progress.

By the time Zhou died in 1976, followed soon thereafter by Mao Zedong, it became increasingly clear that the Gang of Four that had attempted to reset thousands of years of history within the decade of 1966-76 would not remain in power for long and Zhou's program increasingly became the driving force of China's long term development strategy. With Zhou's close ally Deng Xiaoping taking the helm

of the Communist Party in 1978 (after jailing the Gang of Four), conferences among the Central Committee of the CPC were convened to make the Four Modernizations a reality with Deng stating:

“We should select several thousand of our most qualified personnel within the scientific and technological establishment and create conditions that will allow them to devote their undivided attention to research. Those who have financial difficulties should be given allowances and subsidies... we must create within the party an atmosphere of respect for knowledge and respect for trained personnel. The erroneous attitude of not respecting intellectuals must be opposed. All work. Be it mental or manual, is labor.”



Deng Xiaoping and Zhou Enlai in 1963

Deng’s choice to uplift the Marxist concept of labor from merely material forces to embrace creative mental labor was brilliant and pointed China in a new and vibrant direction that would allow the Asian giant to emerge as an economic powerhouse within a few generations. However, whenever matters of scientific creativity and non-linear projections into the future are discussed, there is often much space for debate and interpretation as to what philosophies and pathways will best advance those non-linear objectives. It is here that ideologues of the new Malthusian revival then sweeping the western world came into play, and a life-or-death battle between open vs closed system theories of governance took place.

Kissinger’s Slave Labor Vision for China

Henry Kissinger’s program to open up China that began in earnest in 1971 at the height of the Cultural Revolution was premised on an ideological commitment to a post-nation state world order.



Kissinger and Mao in 1972 with Zhou Enlai in the middle

In Kissinger’s mind (and fellow Trilateral Commission members who took control of U.S. policy as modern Helmsmen over the dead bodies of JFK and his brother), the Chinese which largely found themselves in the First Industrial Revolution (of agriculturally-driven peoples) in 1971 should remain in a static condition as poor and uneducated workers in order to serve as zones of cheap labor to produce goods purely for export to western consumer markets. Those western consumer markets would not need those industries they once enjoyed which were now being exported under Kissinger’s program since the west had achieved its supposed “limits to growth” under the industrial paradigm (which Futurist Alvin Toffler labelled the “Second Wave”). Under the new age of “post-industrialism” (Toffler’s Third Wave), humanity was expected to have “evolved” into an information-driven society.

Describing his thesis in 1978, Toffler spoke of the emergence of the Third Wave and obsolescence of industrial civilization saying:

“This era is now screeching to a halt. Industrial civilization is now in a state of terminal crisis, and a new, radically different civilization is emerging to take its place on the world stage ... We are swiftly entering a new, more sophisticated state of evolutionary development based on far more advanced yet more appropriate technologies than any known so far. This leap to a new phase of history is bringing with it new energy patterns, new geopolitical arrangements, new social institutions, new communications and information networks, new belief systems, symbols, and cultural assumptions... Thus it must generate wholly new political structures and processes. I fail to see how it is possible for us to have a technological revolution, a social revolution, an information revolution, moral,

sexual, and epistemological revolutions, and not a political revolution as well In this sense the breakdown of government as we have known it-which is to say representative government... is chiefly a consequence of obsolescence. Simply put, the political technology of the industrial age is no longer appropriate technology for the new civilization taking form around us. Our politics are obsolete.”

Kissinger’s role as a neo-Malthusian was known to all, as his infamous *National Security Study Memorandum 200* (NSSM-200) of 1974 had already transformed American foreign policy from pro-development to pro-population reduction with the assumption that the computer models used in the Club of Rome’s *Limits to Growth* (1972) were somehow based in reality despite their total rejection of creative reason and technological progress.

Among the top remedies to population growth, NSSM-200 listed birth control and the withholding of food. Kissinger asked: *“is the U.S. prepared to accept food rationing to help people who can’t/won’t control their population growth?”*

Kissinger’s report didn’t mince words: *“The U.S. economy will require large and increasing amounts of minerals from abroad, especially from less developed countries. That fact gives the U.S. enhanced interest in the political, economic, and social stability of the supplying countries. Wherever a lessening of population pressures through reduced birth rates can increase the prospects for such stability, population policy becomes relevant to resource supplies and to the economic interests of the United States.... Although population pressure is obviously not the only factor involved, these types of frustrations are much less likely under conditions of slow or zero population growth.”*

Kissinger, Toffler and other devotees of the Club of Rome had no shortage of followers among the new breed of statecraft emerging in Deng Xiaoping’s China. These neo-Malthusians who preferred to look at humanity from the filter of mathematics and computer modelling wasted no time in infiltrating as many positions of influence as possible in the State Council and attempted to coopt the Four Modernizations towards anti-human ends.

Toffler’s Third Wave Crashes into China’s Shores

All of these figures would be centered around the powerful figure of Zhao Ziyang who was their

constant protector and facilitator throughout the 1980s.

One influential Malthusian who is given credit as architect of China’s One Child Policy and close collaborator of Zhao is Song Jian, a missile scientist who was trained in Norbert Wiener’s Cybernetics theory while studying in Russia in the 1950s.

After attending the 7th *World Congress of the International Federation of Automatic Control* in Finland in 1979, Song was introduced to the Club of Rome’s Limits to Growth models. According to researcher Robert Zubrin^[2], Song immediately translated the book into Chinese without attribution to the original authors, and immediately used its linear models to compute the trend in population, pollution and resource loss over the course of a century concluding that China’s optimal population (aka: “Carrying Capacity”) to be on the order of 650-700 million (nearly 300 million fewer than the total population of his own time). These Club of Rome ideas spread like fire and were soon adopted as Chinese policy resulting in one of the worst instances of decades-long infanticide in history with a population reproduction rate that has not even begun to recover 40 years later (despite the wise removal of one child limits in 2016 and two child limits this year).

As Cambridge researcher Julian Gewertz noted in his 2019 study ‘Futurists of Beijing’^[3], working as head of China’s State Science and Technology Commission, Song interfaced closely with Zhao to keep China’s science policy thinking tied to Club of Rome systems thinking.

Another figure who was instrumental in bringing Alvin Toffler’s ideas to China was a senior researcher at the Chinese Academy of Social Sciences named Dong Leshan who spent months touring the USA where he met with the futurist. Describing his tour in 1981, Dong wrote: *“Everyone I met and with whom I discussed American intellectual trends talked about [Toffler’s] book The Third Wave”*

Dong immediately organized the ‘Chinese Society of Future Studies’ to formally invite Toffler for his first trip to China months later whereby Toffler wrote to Dong requesting “meetings and interviews with your leading political figures and with persons responsible for long-term planning”. At the top of the list was Zhao Ziyang.

In March 1983, a Chinese publishing house called Sanlien translated the first Chinese edition of Toffler's *Third Wave* and a scandal immediately arose, since its ideas were admittedly antithetical to Marxism on every level. The mystical idea of social evolution outlined by Toffler, was merely a reformed eugenics masquerading under the guise of Transhumanism which posited that not ideas or intentions but rather blind a-moral forces were propelling human civilization towards higher states of complexity. These blind fatalistic "forces" were devoid of human intention and were moving our species inevitably through waves of development which included socio-political dynamics assigned to each wave (i.e.: First Wave = agricultural / feudal / pre-national, Second Wave = industrial / democratic / national, Third Wave = information / technocratic-feudal / post-nation state.)

The major insight offered by Toffler and his Chinese followers during this period was that China (and other underdeveloped nations) could skip the second wave of dirty industrialization and leap straight from the first to the third wave.

In 1983, Zhao stated: *"Toffler's Third Wave has a similar view. He believes that today's Third World countries may not have fully experienced the 'Second Wave' of development, but that they can take an entirely new route to achieve a 'Third Wave' civilization."*

All China required were "special economic zones" on the coasts for the purpose of importing raw and semi-finished material from abroad, clusters of low-wage labor intensive, low tech factories to transform those materials into finished goods in order to then be shipped back out to the consumer markets in the first world abroad. Money acquired by these means could be invested into third wave science programs with a

focus on genetic engineering, artificial intelligence and information systems which were Zhao's three priorities for China's future. All considerations of large-scale development driven by great projects and concrete goals that could shape science priorities were banned in the minds of "bottom up" free market theories promoted by Zhao, Kissinger and Toffler.

Historian Michael Billington has noted that the Trilateral Commission directly organized a conference in Beijing in 1981 in order to keep China locked into this feudal model writing^[4]:

"In May 1981, David Rockefeller chaired an international conference of the Trilateral Commission held in Beijing. At that meeting, Chase Manhattan Bank's chief, William C. Butcher, told the Xinhua news agency that China's reform would only succeed if they rejected large industry or great development projects in favor of labor-intensive production. Heavy industry and infrastructure, he said, "take two great things, a great deal of energy and a great deal of money, neither of which are abundant in China."

Another of Toffler's heresies which led to a brief banning of his book in 1984 was the idea that politics should be severed from economics. In Toffler's mystical worldview, the "force" of technological progress was of an evolutionary variety that could only be held back when human intentions meddled with it via political agendas and moral considerations. Zhao spent years arguing with the Politburo that economics be "liberated" from politics earning him the ire of statesmen recognizing the evil that was infusing itself into China.

Friedman Steps into China

Milton Friedman was among the first grouping of western economists invited to tour and lecture to the



Some of the most notable technocratic/feudal reformers who focused their energy onto China during the 1980s: left to right: Milton Friedman, Alvin Toffler, Henry Kissinger and George Soros

Chinese elite in 1979 meeting repeatedly with Zhao Ziyang on all voyages. After his 1988 China tour, Friedman described his two hour meeting with Zhao saying: *“We have a good impression of this person and his wisdom. He has profound knowledge of economic problems and is determined to enlarge the scope of the market. He is willing to experiment and learn and listen to the suggestions and opinions of other people.”*

Never one to reject fascism as the necessary enforcer of wage cutting, privatizations and “pro-market” reforms needed to subdue a population into accepting liberty over socialism (as seen in his support for Pinochet’s Chile), Friedman made a point that the Chinese Communist Party must be maintained as an absolute central power saying: *“At the same time, he [Zhao] has, if possible to safeguard the supreme authority of the communist party. Wonderful skill is needed for him to do so.”*

I make this point here and now because it is incredibly important for westerners to understand that the support that sociopaths like Friedman, Soros, or Kissinger have given to the Communist Party from time to time has always been contingent upon their commitment to bring the party under the control of an anti-human, anti-nation state priesthoods of Zhao-like puppet technocrats. To the degree that centralized power like that held by the CPC is run by true philosopher kings committed to the Confucian ‘Mandate of Heaven’ (aka: ‘Tian Ming’), then China’s CPC becomes a nightmare for utopian globalists.

George Soros and Zhao Ziyang

In 1986, Zhao sponsored the first of two new Soros-run think tanks with the “Fund for the Reform and Opening Up of China” using a million dollar grant by the speculator, followed by the Institute for Economic and Structural Reform (IESR) co-run by Zhao’s close advisor Chen Yizi. The IESR interfaced closely with the National Endowment for Democracy (aka: CIA) that set up two offices in China in 1988.

In his post-humously published autobiography, Zhao had written that during this time he had wished that *“China should adopt a free press, freedom to organize, and independent judiciary and a multiparty parliamentary democracy”*... Additionally, following the Glasnost/Perestroika model which was gearing up to rip apart the Russian economy under a post-history era, Zhao stated that he was then calling for *“the privatization of state owned enterprises, the*

separation of the Party and the State and general market economic reforms”.

In a 1989 interview^[5], Soros described the greatness of Gorbachev but pointed to his one criticism of economic incompetence saying: *“in China, by contrast, the Communist Party General Secretary Zhao Ziyang is an accomplished economist, with a think tank of brilliant young minds at his disposal.”*

Soros, Friedman and Toffler had every reason to be on cloud nine during the 1988-89 period. The painstaking work of many decades was finally coming to fruition as the western nations had been largely cleansed of pro-industrial statesmen who were resistant to the idea of a post-nation state New World Order. A few troublesome figures like Deutschebank’s anti-Malthusian Chairman Alfred Herrhausen and American economist Lyndon LaRouche were still causing problems, but solutions were soon found to put them out of Kissinger’s misery.^[6]

Not only were the western nations largely captured by a supranational technocracy, but finally Communist nations on the other side of the iron curtain were also melting in the furnaces of this same technocratic elite forging a ‘new order’. The Berlin Wall was trembling and the Soviet Union was on the cusp of collapse.

Despite all of this “success”, something inside of Asia was pushing back against the priests of the Fourth Industrial Revolution and that “something” had to be de-toothed.

Tiananmen Square’s Color Revolution Fail

It was here that the CIA’s James Lilley (Ambassador to China), the National Endowment for Democracy, and George Soros deployed all of their resources to activate a full-blown color revolution on June 4, 1989 with student demonstrations in Tiananmen Square bursting into violence.

With the active participation of modern color revolutionary Gene Sharp who was on the ground in Beijing for nine days during the protests, and an abundance of CIA-driven propaganda pumped through Radio Free America in Asia, training, funding and even the arming of violent reactionaries among the student groups with Molotov cocktails and guns, a chaos operation was put into motion that was

anything but a peaceful protest. Many of the assets coordinated and deployed by CIA front groups in China included vicious student anarchists whose efforts led to the murder of dozens of PLA soldiers whose charred carcasses can make anyone's stomach turn 30 years later. When the coup failed, and the government-led massacre couldn't be induced by provocateurs, all of the energy of global perception management were deployed to give the illusion that a massacre had transpired which has created a "holocaust"-like mythos to this very day.

When the bloodbath failed to be sparked, with only 200-300 deaths (many of which being PLA soldiers), the plan was aborted and the most radical provocateurs beholden to the Soros operation were carried off to safer grounds in the USA and Canada under an MI6/CIA operation titled "Operation Yellowbird". With the vast assistance of Hong Kong triads, these anarchists were snuck out of China where many received luxurious rewards and scholarships at Ivy league universities in the USA forming what the Washington Post's Gavin Hewitt described as "the nucleus of a democracy movement in exile".

Soros is Purged and Zhou Enlai's Vision Restored

In many ways, Tiananmen Square served as a sort of blessing in disguise for China, as the true evil that Zhao, Soros, and the Malthusian puss that had infected China's power structures was made visible for all to see. Zhao's "heroic" role as a "man-of-the-people opposed to the government's crackdown on the peaceful students" did not go as planned. Instead of being celebrated as the pro-freedom fighter which his controllers wished him to be, the protest ended with very little bloodshed and his role as usurper of China was exposed.

The Chinese Communist Party lost no time in shutting down all of Soros' operations, banishing the speculator for life, and removing Zhao from all positions of authority where he was placed under house arrest for the rest of his life until his death in 2005. Zhao's close ally Chen Yizi only avoided arrest by escaping to the USA to play a longer-term role in Soros' apparatus along with hundreds of other shills and traitors.



Zhao Ziyang and his Soros-tied aide Chen Yizi speaking to crowds at Tiananmen Square

Today, China has become a driving force for progress in defense of the sovereign nation state as a foundational stone of the Greater Eurasian Partnership and broader multipolar order premised on the UN Charter.

Due to China's ability to defend her economic sovereignty, maintain top down planning capabilities of a strong central government, national banking and bank separation of commercial vs investment activities, China has been able to create a system of growth that is antithetical to everything which Toffler, Soros, Schwab, Kissinger and the Club of Rome ideologues believe to be humanity's fixed destiny. In total defiance of the Third Wave ideologues that promoted the idea that China could become a "post-industrial" system run by mind-less Artificial Intelligence, and genetically engineered humans, with only horizontal democracy from below and a scientific priesthood managing the techno-feudal system from above, the BRI/New Silk Road has given a moral and intellectual principle to genuine long term trajectories that shape the best of China's young minds. The motive principle of creative reason, constant scientific progress, and moral righteousness that serves as the bedrock of Tian Ming have made the Belt and Road the perfect expression of Zhou Enlai's Four Modernizations vision.

Let there be no mistake, there are many surface similarities between the closed system thinking of cybernetics that animated the theories of the Fourth Industrial Revolution and Third Wave vs the open system thinking that animates China's New Silk Road.

Both approaches to “systems management” involve strong centralized power, and both are guided by “scientific planning” of the political economy.

It is only when we look at such factors as intention, morality, and respect for creative reason that striking differences come to light.

While Confucian traditions that seek to lift people out poverty, promote win-win cooperation, increase human rights, and enhance modes of creative expression governs post-Soros China, these factors are totally lacking in the closed Malthusian system that strives to impose entropy, mathematical equilibrium and absolute control onto humanity.

Where one uses computer modelling as a tool to serve the aims of the nation to achieve non-linear breakthroughs in science and technology in order which in turn overcome the ever-variable “limits to growth” of our relative carrying capacities, the Malthusian system seeks to cage all national planning to computer models that dictate fixed limits to growth.

Where one sees stability as fundamental and change as a secondary feature of the system, the other sees creative change as primary and states of stability as the secondary feature.

Said in his own words, Xi Jinping described this process in the following terms: *“Coordinated development is the unity of balanced development and imbalanced development. The process from balance to imbalance and then to rebalance is the basic law of development. Balance is relative while imbalance is absolute. Emphasizing coordinated development is not pursuing equalitarianism, but giving more importance to equal opportunities and balanced resource allocation.”*

In an earlier speech, Xi implicitly denounced the Third Wave ideology of Toffler and developed this concept even further:

“We must consider innovation as the primary driving force of growth and the core in this whole undertaking, and human resources as the primary source to support

development. We should promote innovation in theory, systems, science and technology, and culture, and make innovation the dominant theme in the work of the Party, and government, and everyday activity in society... In the 16th century, human society entered an unprecedented period of active innovation. Achievements in scientific innovation over the past five centuries have exceeded the sum total of several previous millennia... Each and every scientific and industrial revolution has profoundly changed the outlook and pattern of world development... Since the second Industrial Revolution, the U.S. has maintained global hegemony because it has always been the leader and the largest beneficiary of scientific and industrial progress.”

So the next time you find yourself entertaining the notion that China is the cause of your problems, take a step back and ask yourself why Soros isn’t allowed into their country, even though he is running yours.

Footnotes

[1] See Clash of the Two Americas vol 1-3, Canadian Patriot Press, 2021

[2] [China’s population-control holocaust: The bloody history of ‘Limits to Growth’](#) by Robert Zubrin, Washington Times, May 2012

[3] [The Futurists of Beijing: Alvin Toffler, Zhao Ziyang, and China’s “New Technological Revolution.” 1979–1991](#), Cambridge University Press: 12 March 2019

[4] [How the Conservative Revolution Tried to Destroy China](#), by Michael Billington, Executive Intelligence Review vol. 22, no. 10

[5] The Gorbachev Prospect by George Soros, The New York Review of Books, June 1, 1989

[6] While Herrhausen was assassinated in 1989, LaRouche was railroaded into prison months earlier and his international organizations shut down with Robert Mueller playing a role he would reproduce three decades later as a leading inquisitor of RussiaGate.

Appendix: Li Hongzhi: Intergalactic Messiah of Falun Gong and Epoch Times

One of the many surprising dimensions of the UFO cult in our modern times also overlaps with a strange Asian Scientology operation run by a reclusive billionaire named Li Hongzhi who has been housed in a 400 acre compound in New York state since 1999, when the figure was expelled from China, along with his entire cult.

Li Hongzhi is the leader of the Falun Gong and controller of an international array of media platforms including New Tang Dynasty TV and the influential Epoch Times. Despite being the most influential of the opinion shaping platforms run by Li Hongzhi, they are not alone, as the cult leader manages hundreds of other film production, television, media and educational outlets stretching across the entire world.

This strange character is noteworthy for two reasons: 1) His outlets have become the most influential drivers for anti-Chinese hysterics in our modern age- with especially high resonance among conservative types who tend to think in conspiratorial terms already, and 2) Li Hongzhi believes himself to be in direct communication with dozens of species of hostile aliens from other planets.

In 1999, Li Hongzhi gave a remarkable interview to Time Magazine where he laid out his understanding of the cause of humanity's corruption, the cause of scientific progress and his role within an intergalactic war over humanity. Li stated:

TIME: Why does chaos reign right now?

Li: "...The biggest cause of society's change today is that people no longer believe in orthodox religion. They go to church, but they no longer believe in God. They feel free to do anything. The second reason is that since the beginning of this century, aliens have begun to invade the human mind and its ideology and culture.

TIME: Where do they come from?

Li: The aliens come from other planets. The names that I use for these planets are different . Some are from dimensions that human beings have not yet discovered. The key is how they have corrupted mankind. Everyone knows that from the beginning until now, there has never been a development of culture like today. Although it has been several thousand years, it has never been like now.

The aliens have introduced modern machinery like computers and airplanes. They started by teaching mankind about modern science, so people believe more and more science, and spiritually, they are controlled. Everyone thinks that scientists invent on their own when in fact their inspiration is manipulated by the aliens. In terms of culture and spirit, they already control man. Mankind cannot live without science...

TIME: Are you a human being?

Li: You can think of me as a human being.

TIME: Are you from earth?

Li: I don't wish to talk about myself at a higher level. People wouldn't understand it."

Just like Laurence Rockefeller, Stephen Greer, and every other spooks active in the UFO truth community, Li Hongzhi maintains that all scientific progress is not only the consequence of alien influence with no regard for the divine spark of creativity embedded in humanity, BUT additionally, Li Hongzhi identifies humanity's reliance on modern science and technology to be the causes of our corruption. Who would such beliefs ultimately benefit?

Despite the fact that Li Hongzhi is quite obviously an asset of western intelligence with a profile as a self-professed messiah figure receiving unique messages and secret supernatural powers from aliens, his media empire continues to shape the soft minded citizens of the west who have increasingly come to believe that their greatest enemy is not the British Empire, or the Rockefeller Machine built up by the British Empire during the 20th century... but rather "evil commie China".

